

Orange County Government

*Orange County Administration Center
201 S Rosalind Ave.
Orlando, FL 32802-1393*



Draft Meeting Minutes

Monday, March 18, 2024

6:00 PM

County Commission Chambers

Charter Review Commission

CRC Members:

Homer Hartage, Chair

Lee Chira, Vice Chair

Mark Arias-Rishi Bagga-Dick Batchelor-Tom Callan-

Eric R. Grimmer-Erica Jackson-Chuck O'Neal-

Angel de la Portilla-Alisia Adamson Profit- Cornita A. Riley- Eugene Stoccardo-

Beverly Winesburgh-Dotti Wynn

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:05 p.m.

Present: 15 - Member Dick Batchelor, Member Alisia Adamson Profit, Member Angel de la Portilla, Member Eric R. Grimmer, Member Erica Jackson, Member Homer Hartage, Member Lee Chira, Member Mark Arias, Member Rishi Bagga, Member Tom Callan, Member Dotti Wynn, Member Eugene Stoccardo, Member Cornita A. Riley, Member Chuck O'Neal, and Member Beverly Winesburgh

Others present:

Deputy Clerk David Rooney
Assistant Deputy Clerk Jennifer Lara-Klimetz
CRC General Counsel Wade Vose
CRC Administrative Assistant Jessica Vaupel
Minutes Supervisor Craig Stopyra

Pledge of Allegiance**I. Roll Call**

Members Present: Member Batchelor, Member Jackson, Member de la Portilla, Member Winesburgh, Member Callan, Member Riley, Member Wynn, Chair Hartage, Vice Chair Chira, Member Grimmer, Member Bagga, Member O'Neal, and Member Stoccardo. A quorum was established and the meeting was called to order.

II. Chair / Vice Chair Comments

CRC Chair Hartage outlined the next phase of the CRC's process. It began three meetings ago when the CRC received its first final committee report from the Creation of the Public Bank Committee. Committee Chair Adamson Profit presented the report and the committee recommended the item not move forward. The full CRC agreed with their recommendation. At the previous full CRC meeting, the Sustainable Growth & Charter Clean Up Committee brought a proposal to the full CRC for the creation of a rural boundary. The proposal was remanded back to the committee for further studying.

CRC Chair Hartage added that the CRC will follow the same procedure in CRC meetings going forward. As the CRC reviews committee reports, the CRC will do either three things: 1) accept the report of the committee as presented, or 2) reject the report of the committee, or 3) accept the report with proposed changes and remand the issue back to the committee.

CRC Chair Hartage acknowledged to the CRC that remanding the issue back to the committee does not guarantee that the issue will move out of the committee or that it will not come out of the committee with the changes as recommended by the full CRC.

Following the CRC Bylaws, no proposed ballot issue shall be subject to a final vote of the full CRC until it has been on the agenda for discussion and consideration at a minimum of two (2) CRC

regular business meetings. At the time of the second reading, the report will be carried by a simple vote. Any meeting of the CRC to consider a second vote shall be properly noticed to the public.

CRC Chair Hartage, added that, during today's meeting, no vote is to include amendments to be placed on the ballot. The vote will be to move the topics out of the committee.

CRC Chair Hartage stated that the public will be allowed three (3) minutes to speak during public comment. Following public comment, CRC members will be allowed to make comments and ask questions to members of the public.

CRC Chair Hartage noted that the three (3) topics of the Governmental Structure Committee, listed as one agenda item, will be split into separate items. General Counsel Vose contributed to the discussion adding that the topics for no change are CRC Frequency and Separation of Powers. The topic of Expansion of County Commission will be presented by Committee Chair de la Portilla last.

Member Batchelor requested discussion from General Counsel Vose or the CRC Chair on the State Legislature preemption bill regarding the rural boundaries. CRC Chair Hartage indicated the discussion would occur later in the meeting.

III. Public Comment

CRC Chair Hartage acknowledged that Member Arias and Member Adamson Profit joined the meeting.

The following person addressed the CRC during public comment:

- Mark Bender

CRC Chair Hartage acknowledge Orange County Commissioner Michael Scott.

The following persons addressed the CRC during public comment (continued):

- Nelson Betancourt
- Luis Olguin
- Orange County Commissioner Michael Scott

At the request of Member Batchelor, General Counsel Vose advised the CRC of the developments from the Florida Legislature relating to the rural boundary, whereby a floor amendment was introduced to amend a bill adding to a particular Florida Statute the following language: A citizen led County Charter amendment, that is not required to be approved by the Board of County Commissioners, preempting any development order, land development regulation, comprehensive plan, or voluntary annexation, is prohibited, unless expressly authorized in a County Charter that was lawful and in effect on January 1, 2024. General Counsel Vose discussed the reason the State Senator introduced the floor amendment was to preempt

any proposed rural boundary amendment by the Charter Review Commission. He explained the bill has been adopted by both the Senate and the Florida House of Representatives and will be presented to the Governor who will either sign or veto the bill.

Member Batchelor commented on the preempted bill from the Florida Legislature. He requested additional information from General Counsel Vose regarding the Rural Boundaries Charter Amendment notwithstanding Joint Planning Agreements (JPA's) or City agreements. General Counsel Vose theorized, from reading the language and as it was explained by the State Senator who introduced the bill, it is addressed to a Charter Commission or an initiative petition process. The preemption would not encompass a Charter amendment proposed by the Board of County Commissioners and explained the bill is zeroing in on any Charter amendment of that particular origin that preempts development orders, land development regulations, comprehensive plans, or voluntary annexations. The proposed rural boundary amendment from the Sustainable Growth and Charter Clean Up Committee does not preempt any development order or annexation; further, preempting an annexation would be contrary to general law. The County comprehensive plan and land development regulation will preempt municipal regulation if and when the city comes to be in that area in the future and in General Counsel Vose's opinion, it does not interfere with joint planning processes. General Counsel Vose will discuss potential options with the Sustainable Growth and Charter Clean Up Committee members regarding the new legislation. The Florida Legislative floor amendment preempted a particular part of the CRC rural boundary amendment however, there are two parts to the CRC rural boundary amendment. One was the preemption of the municipal land use regulation in certain areas and the other was setting super majority voting thresholds for certain decisions particularly comprehensive plan amendments, and increasing densities or intensities. The super majority voting thresholds was untouched by the Florida Legislative bill. CRC Chair Hartage suggested General Counsel Vose provide a more detailed explanation to the Sustainable Growth & Charter Clean Up Committee regarding the Florida Legislative bill. Discussion ensued.

IV. Consent Item

- A. **CRC-24-091** Approval and execution of the minutes of the January 30 and February 19, 2024 meetings of the Charter Review Commission (CRC).

A motion was made by Member Grimmer, seconded by Member Wynn, to approve the minutes of January 30, 2024. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 15 - Member Batchelor, Member Adamson Profit, Member de la Portilla, Member Grimmer, Member Jackson, Member Hartage, Member Chira, Member Arias, Member Bagga, Member Callan, Member Wynn, Member Stoccardo, Member Riley, Member O'Neal, and Member Winesburgh

A motion was made by Member Winesburgh, seconded by Member Grimmer, to approve the minutes of February 19, 2024. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 15 - Member Batchelor, Member Adamson Profit, Member de la Portilla, Member Grimmer, Member Jackson, Member Hartage, Member Chira, Member Arias, Member Bagga, Member Callan, Member Wynn, Member Stoccardo, Member Riley, Member O'Neal, and Member Winesburgh

V. Committee Meeting Updates

- A. **CRC-24-092** Governmental Structure Committee Meetings Held on March 1 and 15, 2024
(Committee Chair de la Portilla)

At the request of CRC Chair Hartage, Committee Chair de la Portilla deferred his comments until his final report of the Governmental Structure Committee presentation later in the meeting.

- B. **CRC-24-093** Sustainable Growth & Charter Clean Up Committee Meeting Held on March 1, 2024 (Committee Chair Grimmer)

Committee Chair Grimmer presented an update on the Sustainable Growth and Charter Clean Up Committee. He mentioned the last committee meeting occurred on March 1, 2024, which was before the Senate and House passed its bill to limit proposed regulations by the Charter Review Commission with regards to rural development and boundaries. Committee Chair Grimmer thanked his fellow committee members for their comments on the rural boundary issue during member discussion of public comment. He added the members of the Orange County delegation and those elected officials voted in favor and against the Senate amendment and final version of the bill.

At the last committee meeting on March 1, 2024, Orange County Planning Staff presented information on Joint Planning Agreements, preservation districts, and identified the zoned rural and agricultural lands that remain available on the west side. Members of municipalities attended the committee meeting and provided insight of their issues on the west side of Orange County.

Committee Chair Grimmer indicated the Committee will further analyze and review the prospective bill currently in the Florida Legislature if signed by the Governor of Florida. He is hopeful the committee can create a rural boundary amendment based upon all the information presented and received during this Charter process.

- C. **CRC-24-094** Transportation Committee Meetings Held on March 5 and 15, 2024
(Committee Chair Callan)

Committee Chair Callan presented an update on the Transportation Committee. Committee Chair Callan indicated that the committee is waiting on General Counsel Vose to provide additional ballot language to the committee. CRC Chair Hartage indicated he is excited to see what will be produced by the committee as it is great opportunity to address some of the major transportation issues within Orange County.

- D. **CRC-24-095** Initiative Petitions Committee Meeting Held on March 15, 2024
(Committee Chair Wynn)

Committee Chair Wynn presented an update on the Initiative Petitions Committee. At the last committee meeting on March 15, 2024, the committee voted to approve the final report. Committee Chair Wynn indicated that she will present a full report at the next full CRC meeting. She added that General Counsel Vose is finalizing the ballot amendment verbiage.

VI. Committee Final Report Presentations

A. CRC-24-096 Governmental Structure Committee - CRC Frequency, Separation of Powers and Expansion of Commission Districts (First Meeting for Discussion and Consideration)

CRC Chair Hartage opened the Governmental Structure Committee final report for CRC Frequency, Separation of Powers, and Expansion of Commission Districts for discussion and consideration. The topics of CRC Frequency and Separation of Powers will be presented separately because the committee is recommending not to move forward with amendments on the ballot.

Committee Chair de la Portilla presented an update of the Governmental Structure Committee. His committee reviewed seven (7) topics during the CRC cycle, one of the topics was the frequency of the Charter Review Commission meetings. At the November 8, 2023, meeting the committee unanimously recommended to not make any changes to the current frequency of the CRC meetings the ongoing issues in Orange County. The committee felt that it was important that the County Charter Commission continually meet every four years.

A motion was made by Member de la Portilla, seconded by Member Chira, to accept the Governmental Structure Committee's recommendation and not make any changes to the frequency of the Charter Review Commission. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 14 - Member Batchelor, Member Adamson Profit, Member de la Portilla, Member Grimmer, Member Jackson, Member Hartage, Member Chira, Member Arias, Member Callan, Member Wynn, Member Stoccardo, Member Riley, Member O'Neal, and Member Winesburgh

Absent: 1 - Member Bagga

Committee Chair de la Portilla reported the committee's recommendation on the second topic, Separation of Powers. The committee began deliberating the issue in June 2023. The Committee heard from two former County Mayors, the current County Mayor and citizens on whether the County Mayor should continue to serve on both the executive and legislative branches. Committee Chair de la Portilla added that the committee reviewed Orange County's Charter as well as charters of the seven (7) largest metropolitan counties, Miami-Dade, Broward, Palm Beach, Orange, Duval, Hillsborough, and Pinellas, in Florida. Three of those metropolitan counties have a strong Mayor form of government. He mentioned in Miami-Dade and Duval counties, the Mayor does not vote and is only a member of the executive body furthermore, in those counties, the legislative body prepares the agenda, runs the meetings and the Mayor has veto power. The office of the Mayor in Orange County is unique in that it is only of the large counties where Orange County has a county wide elected Mayor who serves on both capacities the executive and legislative branches. Committee Chair de la Portilla added that part of the reason why this topic was created was because of the topic of the Expansion of County Commission districts when the committee considered at adding one district instead of two districts. In order to break the tie vote, either the Mayor votes twice as they do in the Orange County School Board or the Mayor's vote counts for two votes. The committee carefully reviewed this model however, at the committee meeting on March 1, 2024, the committee felt that it was not in their best interest and

recommended leaving the existing structure intact and keep the Mayor serving on the executive and legislative branches. One of the compelling reasons that the committee found, after reviewing the 1998 Orange County Charter Review Commission, was that they wanted the Mayor on record for every single vote that took place during the Board of County Commissioner meetings. Due to this compelling reason, Committee Chair de la Portilla indicated that the committee voted to keep the existing structure intact.

A motion was made by Member de la Portilla, seconded by Member Wynn, to accept the Governmental Structure Committee's recommendation to have the Mayor continue serving on both the executive and legislative side. No vote taken. Discussion ensued on the main motion.

Member O'Neal requested to make a motion, which would be contrary to Committee Chair de la Portilla's motion. As to Member O'Neal's request, CRC Chair Hartage listed the options provided based on Robert's Rules of Order. He indicated Member O'Neal could offer a substitute motion or an amendment but only if the amendment is accepted by the maker and the seconder of the motion. General Counsel Vose contributed to the discussion and mentioned a member wanting to make another motion could argue against the main motion before they intend to offer a new motion. CRC Chair Hartage suggested Member O'Neal make comments against Committee Chair de la Portilla's main motion or encourage the CRC members to support his motion. Discussion ensued.

Member O'Neal discussed a portion of Section 108, Division of Powers, of the Charter, and read the following regarding Section 108: "This Charter hereby establishes the separation between the legislative and executive functions of this government; the establishment and adoption of policy shall be the responsibility of the legislative branch, and the execution of that policy shall be the responsibility of the executive branch." Member O'Neal stated that Orange County has two separated branches of government. The legislative branch is the County Commission and the executive branch is the administrative branch. Member O'Neal and others believe in reviewing Section 108 Charter it is incompatible having a Mayor serve as head on both the legislative and executive branch. Member O'Neal mentioned questions arose regarding the separation of powers within Section 108 was first created in 1988, as indicated in the notes by the Charter Review Commission which he read as follows: Some members felt that the Chairman would be most effective if separate from the Board and if less powerful. Powerful had porgerative connotations in part because of the concerns for the possibility of concentrating power on special interests and the subsequent decrease in the power of the commission."

Member O'Neal added that, at the last committee meeting, the committee voted 6-2 in favor of not changing anything, however, minutes prior revealed that, the same committee, voted 4-4 on the topic. This showed that there was not an overwhelming consensus that this issue should not be changed. Member O'Neal indicated that General Counsel Vose prepared Exhibit A, which is in the Governmental Structure Committee packet. Exhibit A proposed removing the Mayor from the legislative branch, putting the Mayor solely in the executive branch, and that the Board of County Commissioners be comprised solely of commissioners, elected, by the citizen population. Member O'Neal believed that this is not a fully agreed upon best option not changing the separation of powers. Member O'Neal moved that this issue be remanded back to the

Governmental Structure Committee to hear public comment and have a third vote on the issue. Discussion ensued.

A motion was made by Chair Hartage, seconded by Member Arias, to call the question to end discussion. No vote taken.

The main motion was restated by CRC Administrative Assistant Vaupel to read as follows: To accept the Governmental Structure Committee's recommendation and to keep the Mayor on both the executive and legislative side.

A motion was made by Chair Hartage, seconded by Member Arias, to call the question to end discussion. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 15 - Member Batchelor, Member Adamson Profit, Member de la Portilla, Member Grimmer, Member Jackson, Member Hartage, Member Chira, Member Arias, Member Bagga, Member Callan, Member Wynn, Member Stoccardo, Member Riley, Member O'Neal, and Member Winesburgh

A motion was made by Member de la Portilla, seconded by Member Wynn, to accept the Governmental Structure Committee's recommendation and to keep the Mayor on both the executive and legislative side. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 13 - Member Batchelor, Member Adamson Profit, Member de la Portilla, Member Grimmer, Member Jackson, Member Hartage, Member Chira, Member Arias, Member Bagga, Member Callan, Member Wynn, Member Riley, and Member Winesburgh

Nay: 2 - Member Stoccardo, and Member O'Neal

Committee Chair de la Portilla presented the Governmental Structure Committee report of the Expansion of County Commission. He introduced the committee members, identified topics the committee reviewed, committee meeting dates, invited guests who appeared before the committee, brief history of the expansion of the Board of County Commissioners, estimate of fiscal impacts, growth of the County's budget, action taken by the Governmental Structure Committee, timeline for expansion of the Board of County Commissioners, and the recommended action for the Charter Review Commission.

A motion was made by Committee Chair de la Portilla, seconded by Member Riley, to accept the Governmental Structure Committee's recommendation for the expansion of the BCC by two members in 2026, subject to a financial impact analysis to be provided by the County Comptroller and presented to the CRC at a future meeting in April, and to schedule the proposal for a final vote. No vote taken. Discussion ensued.

CRC Chair Hartage offered a friendly amendment to the main motion to remove the word "April". Committee Chair de la Portilla accepted the friendly amendment but asked the month be changed to "May". General Counsel Vose indicated that there are no other meetings scheduled in

March. There is a CRC meeting scheduled in early April. CRC Chair Hartage withdrew his friendly amendment.

Member O'Neal compared the financial impact of County's overall budget to the estimate of the recurring annual costs of additional County Commissioners. He believes that people need representation and there are areas in Orange County that don't have adequate representation. Member O'Neal is in favor of the motion for representation for the population.

Member Stoccardo requested a point of clarification on the motion regarding the number of votes to approve the report and the fiscal impact analysis. Discussion ensued.

Member Batchelor requested clarification on the motion regarding the overall fiscal impacts of an election and recurring annual costs of additional County Commission district offices. Committee Chair de la Portilla repeated the financial impacts, one time cost of an election, and recurring annual costs of additional district commissioners including their staff. Member Batchelor supports the motion as presented by Committee Chair de la Portilla.

CRC Chair Hartage expressed his concern asking how Orange County's numbers compare to the other six (6) large urban counties with similar population which include municipalities. Committee Chair de la Portilla stated, of all the counties, Orange County Commissioners represents approximately 246,000 residents per commission district based upon the year 2022. CRC Chair Hartage requested that these population numbers be presented at the next CRC meeting that discusses this topic.

Member Callan thought today's vote was to transmit the report to the overall committee and not if he was in support or not in support of the topic. Discussion ensued. General Counsel Vose contributed to the discussion. CRC Chair Hartage reiterated the way the full CRC would handle votes are: 1) Accept the report of the committee; 2) Reject the report of the committee; 3) Accept the proposal as changed and remand back to the committee. CRC Chair Hartage explained that the CRC should be accepting the recommendation of the committee and then scheduling it for a first vote. CRC Chair Hartage added that the main motion is correct and the CRC should accept the recommendation because this is not the first reading. Discussion ensued.

Committee Chair de la Portilla explained his understanding was the first reading and the second reading is when the County Comptroller provides the fiscal financial statement and the third hearing to accept the CRC's entire final report in June. General Counsel Vose in reviewing the bylaws, he explained when a committee brought an affirmative recommendation, for a Charter amendment to be placed on the ballot, it would come as a presentation from a committee and placed on an agenda for discussion and consideration. He explained the two requirements in the Bylaws adopted by the CRC require that a proposal be placed on an Agenda for discussion and consideration at two separate meetings; further, that it be noticed when the CRC make a final vote. Therefore the understanding was tonight's meeting was the first of the required meetings and a subsequent meeting be scheduled and noticed in April in which the County Comptroller would provide the financial impact statement where the CRC could possibly make a final vote. CRC Chair Hartage communicated that his understanding of the bylaws were different in that he

expected the CRC to accept the recommendation of the Committee's report tonight; and further, schedule and notice two public hearings before the CRC's final vote of the Committee's recommendation.

General Counsel Vose suggested the main motion be revised to indicate the last sentence "..., and to schedule the proposal for a final vote" to read as follows "..., and to schedule the proposal for final votes." Committee Chair de la Portilla asked if the required fiscal analysis, prepared by the County Comptroller, needs to be voted on twice. Discussed ensued. General Counsel Vose contributed to the discussion.

CRC Chair Hartage recommended the action requested be split into two motions, one to accept the Governmental Structure Committee's recommendation for the expansion of the Board of County Commissioners by two members in 2026, and the second to direct the County Comptroller to initiate a impact fee analysis to correspond with the recommendation. General Counsel Vose contributed to CRC Chair Hartage's recommendation.

Member Callan made a motion to accept the Governmental Structure Committee's report and add the two seats in 2026. No seconder on Member Callan's motion was announced. CRC Chair Hartage supported Callan's motion, which was the same as Committee Chair de la Portilla's main motion, with the exclusion of the following "and to schedule the proposal for a final vote." Committee Chair de la Portilla discussed a memo sent by Eric Gassman regarding specific provisions of Section 703 of the Charter that requires a fiscal impact analysis. Mr. Gassman needs direction from the full CRC and a copy of the ballot language in order to proceed.

CRC Chair Hartage asked if Committee Chair de la Portilla would accept a modification to his main motion to accept the Governmental Structure Committee's recommendation for the expansion of the Board of County Commissioners by two members in 2026 subject to a final impact analysis to be provided by the County Comptroller.

Committee Chair de la Portilla withdrew his original motion and accepted CRC Chair Hartage's modification.

Committee Chair de la Portilla offered a new motion to accept the Governmental Structure Committee's recommendation for the expansion of the Board of County Commissioners by two members in 2026, and schedule the proposal for final votes. No seconder of the motion was announced. Discussion ensued. CRC Chair requested Committee Chair de la Portilla withdraw his original motion which was seconded by Member Riley, to accept the Governmental Structure Committee's recommendation for the expansion of the BCC by two members in 2026, subject to a financial impact analysis to be provided by the County Comptroller and presented to the CRC at a future meeting in April, and to schedule the proposal for a final vote. Committee Chair de la Portilla indicated he already withdrew that motion. CRC General Counsel Vose contributed to the discussion and recommended the first motion be to accept the Governmental Structure Committee's recommendation for the expansion of the BCC by two members in 2026.

Committee Chair de la Portilla made a new motion. A motion was made by Committee Chair de

la Portilla, seconded by Member Winesburgh, to accept the Governmental Structure Committee's recommendation for the expansion of the Board of County Commissioners by two members in 2026. No vote taken. Discussion ensued. Vice Chair Chira requested the word "recommendation" be removed from the new motion. Discussion ensued.

A motion was made by Member Stoccardo, seconded by Member Grimmer, to call the question to end discussion. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 15 - Member Batchelor, Member Adamson Profit, Member de la Portilla, Member Grimmer, Member Jackson, Member Hartage, Member Chira, Member Arias, Member Bagga, Member Callan, Member Wynn, Member Stoccardo, Member Riley, Member O'Neal, and Member Winesburgh

A motion was made by Committee Chair de la Portilla, seconded by Member Winesburgh, to accept the Governmental Structure Committee's recommendation for the expansion of the Board of County Commissioners by two members in 2026. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 12 - Member Adamson Profit, Member de la Portilla, Member Grimmer, Member Jackson, Member Hartage, Member Arias, Member Bagga, Member Callan, Member Stoccardo, Member Riley, Member O'Neal, and Member Winesburgh

Nay: 3 - Member Batchelor, Member Chira, and Member Wynn

A motion was made by Committee Chair de la Portilla, seconded by Member Batchelor, to direct the Orange County Comptroller to prepare a fiscal impact analysis on the Expansion of the County Commission by two members in 2026. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 14 - Member Batchelor, Member Adamson Profit, Member de la Portilla, Member Grimmer, Member Jackson, Member Hartage, Member Chira, Member Arias, Member Bagga, Member Wynn, Member Stoccardo, Member Riley, Member O'Neal, and Member Winesburgh

Absent: 1 - Member Callan

VII. Member Comments

Member O'Neal asked for clarification on the procedure on accepting committee reports and restated the options previously announced by Chair Hartage as follows: the CRC has a choice to accept the report, to reject the report, or to return the report back to the committee. CRC Chair Hartage confirmed that was correct.

Member Batchelor clarified for the record that there would be two public hearings on a committee's recommendation which was confirmed by CRC Chair Hartage. Member Batchelor also suggested working with the County for another press release. CRC Chair Hartage took Member Batchelor's suggestion under consideration and will meet with CRC Staff to discuss the idea.

Member Stoccardo voiced his concern that the CRC will not have enough time to finish their

agenda. CRC Chair Hartage indicated he will work with CRC Staff to schedule additional CRC meetings as necessary.

General Counsel Vose recommended based upon the policy instituted by the Comptroller's Office that only with direction from the full CRC will the Comptroller's Office prepare financial impact statements, he suggested if the CRC would entertain a motion to request the Comptroller prepare financial impact statements for any proposed Charter amendment reported out of committee. CRC Chair Hartage supported General Counsel Vose's recommendation.

A motion was made by Member Arias, seconded by Chair Hartage, to request the Comptroller prepare financial impact statements for any proposed Charter amendment reported out of committee. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 14 - Member Batchelor, Member Adamson Profit, Member de la Portilla, Member Grimmer, Member Jackson, Member Hartage, Member Chira, Member Arias, Member Bagga, Member Wynn, Member Stoccardo, Member Riley, Member O'Neal, and Member Winesburgh

Absent: 1 - Member Callan

VIII. Adjournment

A motion was made by Member Adamson Profit, seconded by Member Wynn, to adjourn the meeting. No vote taken.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 8:31 p.m.

Homer Hartage, Chair
2024 Charter Review Commission