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## SITE OVERVIEW

- Southchase PD (DRI) approved in 1987
- Parcel 44A (14.5 Acre Project Site) is part of Parcel 44 (26.8 Acres)
- Parcel 44 is vested for concurrency
- Concurrency Vested Rights Certificate CVRC\#92-074
- Transportation Impact Fee Agreement dated August 1, 1988
- Proportionate Share Payments Made for Development of this Parcel
- Parcel 44 is entitled for 220,136 S.F. of Commercial Development
- Parcel 44A (Project site) is entitled for 108,277 S.F. of Commercial Development
- The project site is part of an existing master drainage system
- All utilities are available to the site
- Project site is a little over $1 / 4$ Mile of the Meadow Woods Sunrail Station


## APPROVED VS. PROPOSED

## APPROVED USE

Commercial - 108,277 S.F. (0.2 FAR)

VESTED TRIPS (PM PEAK)
645 (County Study)

APPROVED OPEN SPACE 20\%

PROPOSED USE
MF - 280 Units (Equiv. 32,340 S.F.)

110 (County Study) - 82.9\% Reduction

PROPOSED OPEN SPACE
25\% (25\% Increase in Open Space)

## APPROVED VS. PROPOSED - Contd.

APPROVED LOT COVERAGE 80\% Max.

APPROVED SETBACKS
25 Ft. Front/10 Ft. Side/10 Ft. Rear

## APPROVED HEIGHT

50 Ft./5 Stories
25 Ft . of SFR

## PROPOSED LOT COVERAGE

75\% Max. (6\% Reduction)

PROPOSED SETBACKS
25 Ft. Front/25 Ft. Side/ 25 Ft. Rear

## PROPOSED HEIGHT

50 Ft./4 Stories
165 Ft. from SFR for 4 Stories w/Enhanced Buffer

## SUMMARY

- Site currently entitled for higher intensity development than proposed
- Proposed project results in less trips than currently entitled and vested
- Proposed project results in more open space than current approval
- Proposed project provides larger building separation from SFR
- Proposed project results in enhanced buffer adjacent to SFR
- Proposed project does not alter the master drainage system
- Proposed project does not impact any wetlands
- All utility infrastructure is available to the site
- The project site is within a TOD Area about $1 / 4$ mile from Sunrail Station
- The site is within a Proposed Targeted Sector per Orange County Vision 2050 (Higher Density for Infill Development)
- The project provides much needed residential dwelling units.
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## TRAFFIG CONSIDERATIONS

- Proportionate share payment was required by the Southchase DRI to mitigate impacts to FDOT maintained off-site intersections in Orange County and Osceola County where the DRI showed significant or adverse impacts.
- Based on the Development Order for the DRI, $\$ 859,395$ of proportionate share payment was made for Parcel 44 . About $48 \%$ of this amount is for intersections in Orange County.
- An additional $\$ 15,720$ of proportionate share payment was made for Parcel 44, which is payment in full as required by the DRI for Transit Costs associated with two (2) transit shelters.
- In total, about $\$ 430,556$ (49.2\%) is attributed to this project site based on the development entitlement.

FEG


## Proportionate Share Payment Table

| Parcel Number | \% of Proportionat e Share Amount | Orange County <br> Amount | Osceola County Amount | Cumulative <br> Amount Due | Paid In Full |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/9 | 21.09 | \$349,139.89 | \$378,465.11 | \$ 727,605.00 | Yes |
| 11 | 0.38 | 6,290.81 | 6,819.19 | 13,110.00 | Yes |
| 13 | 12.55 | 207,762.24 | 225,212.76 | 432,975.00 | Yes* |
| 29A | 17.50 | 289,708.30 | 314,041.70 | 603,750.00 | Yes |
| 34 | 8.28 | 137,073.41 | 148,586.59 | 285,660.00 | Yes |
| 34A | 2.22 | 36,751.57 | 39,838.43 | 76,590.00 | Yes |
| 34B | 2.69 | 44,532.30 | 48,272.70 | 92,805.00 | Yes |
| 37 | 9.64 | 159,587.89 | 172,992.11 | 332,580.00 | Yes |
| 39 | 0.74 | 12,250.52 | 13,279.48 | 25,530.00 | Yes |
| 44 | $\underline{24.91}$ | 412,379.07 | 447,015.93 | 859,395.00 | Yes |
| Cumulative Total: | 100.00 | \$1,655,476.00 | \$1,794,524.00 | \$3,450,000.00 | \$3,017,025 |

Southchase Transit Costs -- Proportionate Share Based on Trips

| Parcel Number | Trips | Percentage | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8 / 9$ | 7,914 | 22.18 | $\$ 13,308$ |
| 11 | 142 | .40 | 240 |
| 13 | 4,711 | 13.20 | 7,920 |
| $29 a$ | 6,568 | 18.40 | 11,040 |
| 34 | 3,105 | 8.70 | 5,220 |
| 37 | 3,616 | 10.14 | 6,084 |
| 39 | 278 | .78 | 468 |
| 44 | 9,352 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 7 2 0}$ |
| Totals | 35,686 | 100.00 | $\$ 60,000$ |

## Trip Generation Comparison

| Scenario | Land-Use | LUC | Yield | Unit | Daily Trips | AM Peak Hour Trips |  |  | PM Peak Hour Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit |
| Approved | Shopping Plaza <br> (40-150k) | 821 | 108.277 | KSF | 10,231 | 382 | 237 | 145 | 978 | 469 | 508 |
|  | Pass-by Trips (34\%) |  |  |  | 3,479 | 130 | 81 | 49 | 332 | 160 | 173 |
|  | Net New External Trips |  |  |  | 6,753 | 252 | 156 | 96 | 645 | 310 | 336 |
| Proposed | Multi-Family (Mid-Rise) | 221 | 280 | DU | 1,289 | 112 | 26 | 86 | 110 | 67 | 43 |
|  | Net New External Trips |  |  |  | 1,289 | 112 | 26 | 86 | 110 | 67 | 43 |
|  | New Net External Trip Difference |  |  |  | -5,463 | -141 | -131 | -10 | -536 | -243 | -293 |
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## Intersection Delay

| Intersection | Scenario | AM Peak Hour Delay |  | PM Peak Hour Delay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overall Delay (sec) | \% Increase | Overall Delay (sec) | \% Increase |
| Orange Ave at Wetherbee Rd | Background | 101.0 | -- | 72.6 | -- |
|  | Commercial (108.277 KSF) | 101.2 | 0.20\% | 82.2 | 13.22\% |
|  | Multi-Family (280 DUs) | 101.7 | 0.69\% | 73.6 | 1.38\% |
| Landstar Blvd at Wetherbee Rd | Background | 81.2 | -- | 113.1 | -- |
|  | Commercial (108.277 KSF) | 83.9 | 3.33\% | 128.2 | 13.35\% |
|  | Multi-Family (280 DUs) | 81.5 | 0.37\% | 115.0 | 1.68\% |

Source: Synchro 11.0, HCM 6th Edition

## Q\&A
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## RESIDENTIAL SAFEGUARDS

- 6 Ft. Tall Wall along Western Boundary
- Enhanced Landscaping - 2 Rows of Canopy and Understory Trees Planted at 25 Ft. on Center ( 6 canopy trees and 6 understory trees per 100 L.F.)
- Multi-Family Buildings Located more than 150 Feet away from Western Property Line


## BUFFER EXHIBIT



LeCesse
FEG $\sqrt{6}$

## LINE OF SIGHT EXHIBIT



POTENTIAL COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT SITE SECTION
BUILDING SETBACK: 25
LeCesse

## Taft Area Commercial Freight Study <br> Recommended Minor Intersection Modifications

- S. Orange Avenue
- Add and improve pedestrian controls/facilities, modify pavement marking, add signage.
- Add intersection lighting.
- Landstar Boulevard
- Add and improve pedestrian controls/facilities and modify pavement marking
- Wetherbee Road
- Add intersection lighting.


## Intersection Delay (Percentage Increase only)

| Intersection | Scenario | AM Peak Hour Delay | PM Peak Hour Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% Increase | \% Increase |
| Orange Ave at Wetherbee Rd | Background | -- | -- |
|  | Commercial (108.277 KSF) | 0.20\% | 13.22\% |
|  | Multi-Family (280 DUs) | 0.69\% | 1.38\% |
| Landstar Blvd at Wetherbee Rd | Background | -- | -- |
|  | Commercial (108.277 KSF) | 3.33\% | 13.35\% |
|  | Multi-Family (280 DUs) | 0.37\% | 1.68\% |

Source: Synchro 11.0, HCM 6th Edition

## Taft Area Commercial Freight Study

## Recommended Capacity Improvement(Details FYI)

- Wetherbee Road
- Introduce an eastbound right turn at the existing full median opening (as agreed by the developer)
- Introduce an eastbound right turn at the proposed right in right out opening (as agreed by the developer)
- Orange Avenue
- Introduce a northbound left turn at the proposed Left In, Right in Right out (as agreed by the developer)

undeveloped site were noted as especially viable for redevelopment through this analysis. These parcels are illustrated on the map below.


## NORTHERN UNDEVELOPED SITE

 A large undeveloped site is located in the northwest portion of the 10 -minute walk area across Orange Avenue. This area is ripe for redevelopment, with higher densities closer to Orange Avenue that step back down to maintain the character of the neighborhoods to the west. This site's potential also depends on adequate safe and comfortable pedestrian and bicycle linkages and crossing of Orange Avenue to access the SunRail station.The Meadow Woods Golf Course is located directly across Landstar Boulevard from the station. It is currently vacant and offers a unique opportunity for infill redevelopment to support neighborhood needs and the TOD. New development within the golf course should add to the value and appeal of the existing homes that surround it. New roadway or pedestrian connections could be created through the redevelopment to allow for better access to the station from the homes to the southeast of the golf course. Drainage and stormwater, and utility needs would need to be considered as part of any new development.

## SUNRAIL STATION AREA AND

 VACANT LANDThe SunRail station location is currently surrounded by vacant land. Further to the west and across the tracks, there is also a large swath of undeveloped land that could support development. Both of these locations offer the potential for higher density, transit supportive development that can act as a gateway to the station, while maintaining the existing neighborhood character of the adjacent community. Stormwater and drainage issues will likely need to be considered due to the wet nature of the area.






[^0]:    Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition

