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# 2016-2 OUT OF CYCLE SMALL SCALE DEVELOPMENT 

## AMENDMENTS TO THE 2010-2030 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ADOPTION BOOK

## INTRODUCTION

This is the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adoption public hearing book for the 2016-2 Out of Cycle Small Scale Development Amendment (2016-2) to the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) and Comprehensive Plan (CP) (Majorca). This amendment was heard by the Local Planning Agency (LPA) during an adoption public hearing held on September 15, 2016 and will go to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) for an adoption public hearing on October 4, 2016.

The 2016-2 Out of Cycle Small Scale Development Comprehensive Plan Amendment includes one privately-initiated Future Land Use Map Amendment (located in District 1) which has a concurrent substantial change request.

If the BCC adopts the proposed amendment, it will become effective 31 days after the public hearing. This amendment is expected to become effective in November 2016, so long as no challenges are brought forth for the amendment.
Any questions concerning this document should be directed to Alberto A. Vargas, MArch., Manager, Planning Division, at (407) 836-5802 or Alberto.Vargas@ocfl.net, or Gregory Golgowski, AICP, Chief Planner, Comprehensive Planning Section, at (407) 836-5624 or Gregory.Golgowski@ocfl.net.

2016-2 Out-of-Cycle Small-Scale Development Comprehensive Plan Amendment

| Amendment Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Concurrent } \\ \text { Rezozing or } \\ \text { Substantial Change } \end{gathered}$ | Owner | Agent | Tax ID Number(s) | General Location / Comments | Future Land Use Map Designation FROM: | Future Land Use Map Designation TO: | Zoning Map Desig. FROM: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zoning Map } \\ & \text { Designation } \\ & \text { TO: } \end{aligned}$ | Gross Acres | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rural } \\ \text { Settlement } \end{gathered}$ | JPA / City | Project Planner | Staff Rec | LPA Rec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-2-C-1-1 | Yes - Substantial Change Request CDR-16-08-297 CDR-16-08-297 | WPFF Majorca Land Investor, LLC | Jim Hall, vib, Inc. | Portion of 26-23-28-5411-00-030/031 26-23-28-5411-00-010/020/030/031 (overall Substantial Change Request) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Generally described as } \\ & \text { located on the west side of } \\ & \text { Majorca Place, west of } \\ & \text { Turkey Lake Road, north of } \\ & \text { W. Sand Lake Road, south } \\ & \text { of Toscana Boulevard, and } \\ & \text { east of Dr. Phillips } \\ & \text { Boulevard. } \end{aligned}$ | Commercial (C) | High Density Residential (HOR) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { PD (Planned } \\ \text { Development } \\ \text { District) (Majorca } \\ \text { PD) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PD (Planned } \\ \text { Development } \\ \text { District MDajora } \\ \text { PD) } \end{gathered}$ | 6.50 gross ac. | No | Adjacent to the City of Orland | Jennier DuBois | Adopt | Do not Adopt $(4-2)$ |

Orange County Planning Division Jennifer DuBois, Project Planner
Daniel Kilponen, Project Planner

BCC Adoption Staff Report Amendment 2016-2-C-1-1
PD/LUP Substantial Change CDR-16-08-297


Applicant/Owner: Jim Hall, VHB, Inc. / WPFF Majorca Land Investor, LLC
Location: Generally located on the west side of Majorca Place, west of Turkey Lake Road, north of W. Sand Lake Road, south of Toscana Boulevard, and east of Dr. Phillips Boulevard.

Existing Use: Undeveloped land Parcel ID Numbers:
FLUM Amendment: 26-23-28-5411-00-030/031 (portions of)
Substantial Change: 26-23-28-
5411-00-010/020/030/031
Tract Size:
FLUM Amendment: 6.5 gross/net developable acres
Substantial Change: 26.81 gross acres/9.2 net developable acres

| The following meetings and hearings have been held for this proposal: |  |  | Project Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report/Public Hearing |  | Outcome | Request: Commercial (C) to High Density Residential (HDR) |
| $\checkmark$ | A community meeting was held September 13, 2016, with 43 residents in attendance. | Negative - Attendees voiced concerns about traffic, compatibility with neighboring residential development, access management, and safety. | Proposed Development Program: Up to 325 multi-family dwelling units |
| $\checkmark$ | Staff Report | Recommend Adoption | Concurrent PD-LUP Substantial Change: Case CDR-16-08-297 <br> A proposed substantial change to the currently-approved Majorca Planned Development/Land Use Plan (PD/LUP), incorporating the requested residential development program, will be considered in conjunction with the Future Land Use Map Amendment application. |
| $\checkmark$ | LPA Adoption September 15, 2016 | Recommend Denial (4-2) | Public Facilities and Services: Please see the Public Facilities \& Services Appendix for specific analyses of each public facility. |
|  | BCC Adoption | October 4, 2016 | Transportation: Per the Transportation Planning Division, there are multiple failing roadway segments within the proposed project's impact area. However, development of the site under |
|  | PD-LUP Substantial Change | October 4, 2016 | the requested HDR designation will result in a net reduction in p.m. peak hour trips and will not adversely impact the area's transportation network. <br> Environmental: There is a Class I wetland and a portion of Spring Lake within the overall Majorca PD boundary. Orange County Conservation Area Determination CAD 01-034 was completed in 2002 for the Majorca PD and does not expire. <br> Schools: Capacity Enhancement Agreement (CEA) application \#OC-15-004-A1 will be considered by the Orange County School Board on September 27, 2016. |

## SITE AERIAL



## FUTURE LAND USE



Current Future Land Use: Commercial (C)

Special Area Information

Overlay District: The site is located within the Dr. Phillips Urban
Preservation District.

JPA: N/A

Rural Settlement: N/A

Airport Noise Zone: N/A

## FUTURE LAND USE - PROPOSED



Proposed Future Land Use:
High Density Residential (HDR)

## ZONING



Zoning: PD (Planned Development District)
(Majorca PD)
Existing Uses:
N : Toscana (townhome and condominium community)

S: Rialto (commercial and office complex), The Rialto Apartments, and My Neighborhood Storage Center

E: Dewey's Indoor Golf and Sports Grill,
Sweetwater Car Wash, and Tire Kingdom

W: Spring Lake

## Staff Recommendations

If the requested Future Land Use Map Amendment is adopted, the Board will then need to take action on the proposed substantial change to the Planned Development/Land Use Plan (PD/LUP). These items shall be addressed as two separate motions.

1. FUTURE LAND USE MAP AMENDMENT: Make a finding of consistency with the Comprehensive Plan (see Future Land Use Element Goal FLU2, Objectives FLU2.1 and FLU8.2, and Policies FLU1.1.1, FLU1.1.5, FLU8.2.1, and FLU8.2.2; and Housing Element Goal H1 and Objective H1.1), determine that the amendment is in compliance, and ADOPT Amendment 2016-2-C-1-1, Commercial (C) to High Density Residential (HDR).
2. CHANGE DETERMINATION SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE TO THE PD/LUP (September 7, 2016, DRC Recommendation): Make a finding of consistency with the Comprehensive Plan (see Future tand Use Element Goal FLU2, Objectives FLU2.1 and FLU8.2, and Policies FLU1.1.1, FLU1.1.5, FLU8.2.1, and FLU8.2.2; and Housing Element Goal H1 and Objective H1.1) and APPROVE the Majorca Planned Development/Land Use Plan (PD/LUP) substantial change (Case CDR-16-08297), subject to the following fifteen (15) conditions:
3. Development shall conform to the Majorca Planned Development/Land Use Plan (PD/LUP) dated "Received August 29, 2016," and shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and county laws, ordinances, and regulations, except to the extent that any applicable county laws, ordinances, or regulations are expressly waived or modified by any of these conditions. Accordingly, the PD may be developed in accordance with the uses, densities, and intensities described in such Land Use Plan, subject to those uses, densities, and intensities conforming with the restrictions and requirements found in the conditions of approval and complying with all applicable federal, state, and county laws, ordinances, and regulations, except to the extent that any applicable county laws, ordinances, or regulations are expressly waived or modified by any of these conditions. If the development is unable to achieve or obtain desired uses, densities, or intensities, the County is not under any obligation to grant any waivers or modifications to enable the developer to achieve or obtain those desired uses, densities, or intensities. In the event of a conflict or inconsistency between a condition of approval and the land use plan dated "Received August 29, 2016," the condition of approval shall control to the extent of such conflict or inconsistency.
4. This project shall comply with, adhere to, and not deviate from or otherwise conflict with any verbal or written promise or representation made by the applicant (or authorized agent) to the Board of County Commissioners at the public hearing where this development was approved, where such promise or representation, whether oral or written, was relied upon by the Board in approving the development, could have reasonably been expected to have been relied upon by the Board in approving the development, or could have reasonably induced or otherwise influenced the Board to approve the development. For purposes of this condition, a "promise" or "representation" shall be deemed to have been made to the Board by the applicant (or authorized agent) if it was expressly made to the Board at a public hearing where the development was considered or approved.
5. Pursuant to Section 125.022, Florida Statutes, issuance of this development permit by the County does not in any way create any rights on the part of the applicant to obtain a permit from a state or federal agency and does not create any liability on the part of the County for issuance of the permit if the applicant fails to obtain requisite approvals or fulfill the obligations imposed by a state or federal agency or undertakes actions that result in a violation of state or federal law. Pursuant to Section 125.022, the applicant shall obtain all other applicable state or federal permits before commencement of development.
6. Developer/Applicant has a continuing obligation and responsibility from the date of approval of this land use plan to promptly disclose to the County any changes in ownership, encumbrances, or other matters of record affecting the property that is subject to the plan, and to resolve any issues that may be identified by the County as a result of any such changes. Developer/Applicant acknowledges and understands that any such changes are solely the Developer's/Applicant's obligation and responsibility to disclose and resolve, and that the Developer's/Applicant's failure to disclose and resolve any such changes to the satisfaction of the County may result in the County not issuing (or delaying issuance of) development permits, not recording (or delaying recording of) a plat for the property, or both.
7. Property that is required to be dedicated or otherwise conveyed to Orange County (by plat or other means) shall be free and clear of all encumbrances, except as may be acceptable to County and consistent with the anticipated use. Owner/Developer shall provide, at no cost to County, any and all easements required for approval of a project or necessary for relocation of existing easements, including any existing facilities, and shall be responsible for the full costs of any such relocation prior to Orange County's acceptance of the conveyance. Any encumbrances that are discovered after approval of a PD Land Use Plan shall be the responsibility of Owner/Developer to release and relocate, at no cost to County, prior to County's acceptance of conveyance. As part of the review process for construction plan approval(s), any required off-site easements identified by County must be conveyed to County prior to any such approval, or at a later date as determined by County. Any failure to comply with this condition may result in the withholding of development permits and plat approval(s).
8. All acreages identified as conservation areas and wetland buffers are considered approximate until finalized by a Conservation Area Determination (CAD) and a Conservation Area Impact (CAI) Permit. Approval of this plan does not authorize any direct or indirect conservation area impacts.
9. Pole signs and new billboards shall be prohibited. Fascia signs shall comply with Chapter 31.5 of the Orange County Code, and ground signage shall comply with the Master Sign Plan.
10. Outside sales, storage, and display shall be prohibited.
11. Short-term/transient rental is prohibited within the multi-family portion of this PD. Length of stay shall be for 180 days or greater.
12. Unless the property is otherwise vested or exempt, the applicant must apply for and obtain a Capacity Encumbrance Letter (CEL) prior to construction plan submittal and the plat. Nothing in this condition, and nothing in the decision to approve this land use plan, shall be construed as a guarantee that the applicant will be able to satisfy the requirements for obtaining a CEL or a CRC.
13. The following Education Condition of Approval shall apply:
a. Developer shall comply with all provisions of the Capacity Enhancement Agreement entered into with the Orange County School Board as of September 27, 2016.
b. Upon the County's receipt of written notice from Orange County Public Schools that the developer is in default or breach of the Capacity Enhancement Agreement, the County shall immediately cease issuing building permits for any residential units in excess of the 0 residential units allowed under the zoning existing prior to the approval of the PD zoning. The County may again begin issuing building permits upon Orange County Public Schools' written notice to the County that the developer is no longer in breach or default of the Capacity Enhancement Agreement. The developer and its successor(s) and/or assign(s) under the Capacity Enhancement Agreement, shall indemnify and hold the County harmless from any third party claims, suits, or actions arising as a result of the act of ceasing the County's issuance of residential building permits.
c. Developer, and its successor(s) and/or assign(s) under the Capacity Enhancement Agreement, agrees that it shall not claim in any future litigation that the County's enforcement of any of these conditions are illegal, improper, unconstitutional, or a violation of developer's rights.
d. Orange County shall be held harmless by the developer and its successor(s) and/or assign(s) under the Capacity Enhancement Agreement, in any dispute between the developer and Orange County Public Schools over any interpretation or provision of the Capacity Enhancement Agreement.
e. Prior to or concurrently with the County's approval of the plat, documentation shall be provided from Orange County Public Schools that this project is in compliance with the Capacity Enhancement Agreement.
14. Prior to construction plan approval, hydraulic calculations shall be submitted to Orange County Utilities demonstrating that proposed and existing wastewater systems have been designed to support all development within the PD.
15. The following waivers from Orange County Code Section 38-1258 are granted for, and applicable to, Parcel I.D. Numbers 26-23-28-5411-00-030 and 26-23-28-5411-00-031 only:
a. A waiver from Section 38-1258(a) to allow a maximum height of 85 feet and 5 stories for multi-family buildings located within 25 feet of single-family residential, in lieu of a maximum height of a single story, for multi-family buildings located within 100 feet of single-family zoned property.
b. A waiver from Section 38 -1258(b) to allow a maximum height of 85 feet and 5 stories for $100 \%$ of the multi-family buildings located between 100 feet and 150
feet from single-family zoned property; in lieu of varying multi-family building heights, with a maximum of $50 \%$ of the buildings being a maximum of 3 stories and 40 feet and the remaining buildings being 1 or 2 stories when located between 100 feet and 150 feet from single-family zoned property.
c. A waiver from Section 38 -1258(c) to allow a maximum height of 85 feet and 5 stories for multi-family buildings located within 150 feet of single-family zoned property, in lieu of a maximum building height of 3 stories and 40 feet for multifamily buildings located within 150 feet of single-family zoned property.
d. A waiver from Section 38 -1258(d) to allow a maximum multi-family building height of 85 feet and 5 stories, in lieu of a maximum multi-family building height of 40 feet and 3 stories.
e. A waiver from Section $38-1258(\mathrm{e})$ to allow paved areas for multi-family development to be located 7 feet from any single-family zoned property, in lieu of 25 feet.
f. A waiver from Section 38-1258(f) to eliminate the requirement to construct a six-foot high masonry, brick, or block wall when multi-family development is located adjacent to any single-family zoned property.
16. Except as amended, modified, and/or superseded, the following BCC Conditions of Approval, dated August 23, 2011, shall apply:
a. The following waivers from Chapter 31.5 are approved:
17. A waiver from Section $31.5-67(b)$ is granted to allow for a height of fifteen (15) feet in lieu of twelve (12) feet for the on-site multi-tenant ground sign.
18. A waiver from Section $31.5-67(\mathrm{e})$ is granted to allow more than one (1) ground sign per parcel.
19. A waiver from Section $31.5-67(f)$ is granted to allow two (2) ground signs on a parcel with a right-of-way frontage less than four hundred (400) linear feet.
20. A waiver from Section $31.5-67(\mathrm{~h})$ is granted to allow for a maximum copy area of any ground sign to be one hundred fifty (150) feet in lieu of one hundred twenty (120) feet.
21. Except as amended, modified, and/or superseded, the following BCC Conditions of Approval, dated August 19, 2008, shall apply:
a. The Developer shall obtain reclaimed water and wastewater service from Orange County Utilities.
b. Buildings on the site shall not exceed eighty-five (85) feet in height. No outdoor recreation shall take place on the lake or on the lake side. The only exterior lights permitted on the lake side shall be security lights.
c. Lakeside landscaping shall include evergreens planted 30 feet on center, plus two understory trees for each evergreen.

## Analysis

## 1. Background and Development Program

The applicant, Jim Hall, is seeking to change the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) designation of the 6.5acre subject property, presently vacant, from Commercial (C) to High Density Residential (HDR). The property-consisting entirely of upland acreage-is a portion of the 26.81-acre Majorca PD. Approved on August 19, 2008, and amended on August 23, 2011, the Majorca PD is currently entitled for the development of up to 50,000 square feet of retail space and 33,360 square feet of professional office uses. To date, the 18,416-square-foot Dewey's Indoor Golf and Sports Grill and the 7,620-square-foot Tire Kingdom have been constructed within the PD boundary, in accordance with the approved PD Land Use Plan (LUP) and the present Commercial FLUM classification.

At this time, the applicant is proposing to change the FLUM designation of the Majorca PD's 6.5 undeveloped upland acres to HDR to allow for the development of up to 325 multi-family dwelling units. It is the applicant's intent to retain the Commercial classification on the PD's 2.7 developed acres. The Majorca PD was last considered during the 2015-2 Regular Cycle as Amendment 2015-2-A-1-3, entailing the same requested change from Commercial to HDR and the identical desired development program of 325 multi-family units on the PD's remaining upland acreage. On July 28, 2015, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) transmitted Amendment 2015-2-A-1-3 to the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO). The request subsequently returned for an adoption public hearing before the Local Planning Agency (LPA), which recommended adoption on December 17, 2015, but was withdrawn by the applicant prior to its scheduled January 26, 2016, adoption hearing before the BCC, along with the associated proposed substantial change to the Majorca PD Land Use Plan (Case CDR-15-07-205).
Staff notes that in addition to the Majorca PD's 6.5 undeveloped upland acres, the previous subject site encompassed 17.61 acres of wetlands and submerged land within Spring Lake. Although this undevelopable land still lies within the Majorca PD boundary, it has been eliminated from the HDR request, thus classifying the present application as a proposed small-scale amendment, as the property in question is now less than ten acres in size.

As stated in the new application package, the multi-family project is expected to consist of two buildings five stories/ 85 feet in height, which will include structured parking. The prospective developer, Wood Partners, anticipates that the apartments will be similar in appearance to their 200-unit Rialto Apartments complex located immediately south of the subject site within the mixeduse Rialto PD, with the same architect responsible for their design and the same management team slated to handle their day-to-day operation. If approved, the proposed project will maintain at least a 50 -foot setback from Spring Lake and will share access with the Rialto Apartments, with vehicular connectivity to both Turkey Lake Road and Sand Lake Road achievable via an internal roadway linking the two developments.

The subject property lies just north of the intersection of two minor arterial roadways, Turkey Lake Road and W. Sand Lake Road, and is situated in a densely developed area characterized by a mix of commercial, office, and residential uses and a variety of housing types, including single-family detached homes, townhomes, condominiums, and apartments. In addition to its adjacency to the above-mentioned commercial establishments within the Majorca PD boundary-both fronting Turkey Lake Road-and the Rialto Apartments, the site is bounded to the south by the Rialto commercial/office complex and a self-storage center and to the east by a car wash business. The Toscana residential community, located within the Orlando city limits, borders the property to the
north and features a combination of condominiums (also five stories in height and integrating structured parking) and townhomes.

In association with this requested amendment, a proposed substantial change to the currentlyapproved Majorca Planned Development/Land Use Plan (Case CDR-16-08-297) to incorporate the desired residential development program and revise the PD's current Conditions of Approval will be considered during the October 4, 2016, adoption public hearing before the Board of County Commissioners (BCC). On September 7, 2016, the Orange County Development Review Committee (DRC) voted to recommend approval of the substantial change application, encompassing the entirety of the 26.81 -acre PD, subject to the fifteen (15) conditions listed in this staff report.

## 2. Project Analysis

## Consistency

The requested FLUM amendment appears to be consistent with the applicable Goals, Objectives, and Policies of the Comprehensive Plan.

The subject property is located within the County's Urban Service Area (USA) Boundary and is situated in a highly urbanized area characterized by a mix of commercial, office, and residential activity. As discussed above, the applicant is seeking the HDR FLUM designation to allow for the development of the 325 -unit multi-family project on a vacant infill site within the Majorca PD. Staff finds this proposal consistent with Future Land Use Element Goal FLU2, which states that Orange County will encourage urban strategies such as infill development, coordinated land use and transportation planning, and mixed-use development, which promote efficient use of infrastructure, compact development, and an urban experience with a range of choices and living options. In the same vein, the request is consistent with Future Land Use Element Objective FLU2.1, which establishes that Orange County shall promote and encourage infill development through incentives identified in the Land Development Code for relatively small vacant and underutilized parcels within the County's established core areas in the Urban Service Area.

Staff further finds this request consistent with Future Land Use Element Policy FLU1.1.5, which encourages mixed-use development, infill development, and transit-oriented development to promote compact urban form and efficiently use land and infrastructure in the Urban Service Area. Staff notes that, if approved, the residential project will use infrastructure that is already in place. Per Orange County Utilities (OCU), potable water service will be provided by the Orlando Utilities Commission, while central sewer and reclaimed water service will be furnished by OCU, with no facility improvements necessary to maintain level of service standards. Moreover, the project would use the existing transportation network, which serves transit riders and pedestrians, as well as automobile drivers. A LYNX bus stop is located on Turkey Lake Road, at the entrance to the Majorca PD, and sidewalks are currently in place along Turkey Lake Road and W. Sand Lake Road to help provide for the safety of pedestrians.

As noted previously, the subject property is situated in an area characterized by a variety of housing types, including single-family detached homes, townhomes, condominiums, and apartments. The proposed FLUM Amendment and associated residential development program are consistent with Orange County's commitment to ensuring that sufficient land is available to meet the identified housing needs of its present and future residents. The prospective developer's intent to construct up to 325 multi-family units is consistent with Housing Element GOAL H1 and Objective H1.1, which state that the County will promote and assist in the provision of an ample housing supply, within a
broad range of types and price levels, and will support private sector housing production capacity sufficient to meet current and anticipated housing needs. Similarly, Future Land Use Element Policy FLU8.2.2 directs that continuous stretches of similar housing types and density of units shall be avoided. It is staff's belief that the proposed multi-family community will contribute to the mix of available housing options in an area of the County deemed appropriate for urban uses, as set forth in Future Land Use Element Policy FLU1.1.1.

## Compatibility

The requested FLUM amendment appears to be compatible with the development trend of the surrounding area. Future Land Use Element Objective FLU8.2 states that compatibility will continue to be the fundamental consideration in all land use and zoning decisions, while Policy FLU8.2.1 requires land use changes to be compatible with the existing development pattern and development trends in the area. As discussed earlier, the subject property is located in a densely developed area characterized by a mix of commercial, office, and residential activity and a variety of housing types. In addition, it is situated in close proximity to several major employers-including the Orlando Health Dr. P. Phillips Hospital, Walt Disney World, Universal Orlando, Sea World, Lockheed Martin, and the Orange County Convention Center-adding to its suitability for residential development. It is staff's belief that the proposed multi-family project would contribute to the County's larger goals of promoting infill and compact urban form within the Urban Service Area, providing for a range of living options, efficiently using existing infrastructure, reducing trip lengths, and encouraging accessibility via multiple modes of transportation. Staff, therefore, recommends adoption of this requested amendment.

## Public Facilities and Services

Environmental. The Environmental Protection Division (EPD) has informed staff that there is a Class I wetland and a portion of Spring Lake within the Majorca PD boundary. Orange County Conservation Area Determination CAD 01-034 was completed in 2002 for the Majorca PD and included the subject property. This determination does not expire.

The Normal High Water Elevation (NHWE) of Spring Lake was established at 98.7 feet NGVD 29 ( 97.71 feet NAVD 88) in the Lake Index of Orange County. The applicant shall clearly label and indicate the NHWE of the lake on all development plans or permit applications, in addition to any wetland and setback lines.

No construction, clearing, filling, alteration, or grading is allowed within or immediately adjacent to a conservation area without first obtaining permission from EPD. Please reference Chapter 15, Article X, Section 15-376 of the Orange County Code. Approval of this request does not authorize any direct or indirect impacts to conservation areas or protective buffers.

The removal, alteration, or encroachment within a Class I Conservation Area shall only be allowed in cases where no other feasible or practical alternatives exist, impacts are unavoidable to allow a reasonable use of the land, or where there is an overriding public benefit, as determined before the Orange County Board of County Commissioners.

The developer is responsible for addressing any adverse impacts, including secondary impacts, to surface waters, wetlands, or conservation areas that may occur as a result of development of the site. Preventive measures include, but are not limited to, a 25 -foot average undisturbed upland buffer along the wetland boundary, signage, pollution abatement swales, split rail fencing, retaining walls, or native plantings adjacent to the wetlands. The applicant has been directed to identify and
label the measures employed to prevent adverse conservation area impacts on all development plans and permit applications.

Development of the subject property shall comply with all state and federal regulations regarding wildlife or plants listed as endangered, threatened, or species of special concern. The applicant is responsible for determining the presence of listed species and obtaining any required habitat permits from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and/or the Florida Fish \& Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC).

Transportation. Under the subject property's present Commercial future land use designation, approximately 849,420 square feet of commercial space could potentially be developed. The applicant is now requesting approval to develop a multi-family project featuring up to 325 multifamily dwelling units. Using trip generation calculations from the $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition of ITE's Trip Generation Handbook, the Orange County Transportation Planning Division has determined that the currentlyapproved use would generate 2,034 new p.m. peak hour trips, while the proposed uses will generate 196 new p.m. peak hour trips—resulting in a net decrease of 1,838 p.m. peak hour trips.

The Transportation Planning Division's analysis of existing conditions revealed that there are several deficient roadway segments within the project's impact area. Sand Lake Road from International Drive to Kirkman Road, Turkey Lake Road from Sand Lake Commons Boulevard to Sand Lake Road, Wallace Road from Dr. Phillips Boulevard to Turkey Lake Road, and Universal Boulevard from Sand Lake Road to Pointe Plaza Avenue are currently operating below the adopted level of service. Planned or programmed roadway improvements within the project's impact area are as follows:

- SR 482/Sand Lake Road - Planned state roadway improvement to widen Sand Lake Road from west of Turkey Lake Road to west of John Young Parkway to six lanes. Construction is to be determined.
- International Drive - Planned roadway improvement to widen International Drive from Sand Lake Road to Kirkman Road to six lanes by 2030.

The short-term (Year 2020) analysis indicates that the currently-deficient segments will continue to operate below the adopted level of service with or without the proposed amendment. The longterm (Year 2030) analysis shows the same roadway deficiencies, including International Drive from Sand Lake Road to Kirkman Road and Sand Lake Road from Turkey Lake Road to International Drive.

In summary, roadway deficiencies are projected for the short-term and long-term scenarios with and without the proposed amendment. In addition, trip generation estimates of the proposed Future Land Use Map Amendment versus the currently-approved future land use designation result in a reduction of trips. Therefore, the requested amendment will not adversely impact the area's transportation facilities.

Final permitting of any development on this site will be subject to further review and approval under the capacity constraints of the County's Transportation Concurrency Management System. Such approval will not exclude the possibility of a proportionate fair share payment to mitigate any transportation deficiencies. To ensure that there are no revisions to the proposed development beyond the analyzed use, the land use will be noted on the County's Future Land Use Map and/or as a text amendment to the Comprehensive Plan.

Utilities. The subject site lies within the Orlando Utilities Commission's potable water service area. Per Orange County Utilities (OCU), County wastewater service is available, as 8 -inch and 12 -inch gravity mains are in place in the Turkey Lake Road right-of-way, and 24 -inch force mains are located
in the Sand Lake Road right-of-way. In addition, the property is situated within the County's reclaimed water service area, with a 30 -inch reclaimed water main in place in the Sand Lake Road right-of-way. Per OCU, no improvements to County facilities to maintain current level of service (LOS) standards are needed at this time.

Schools. Per Orange County Public Schools (OCPS), the middle school (Southwest Middle) and high school (Dr. Phillips High) that would currently serve the project are operating over capacity. The applicant has applied for a Capacity Enhancement Agreement (\#OC-15-004-A1), scheduled for consideration by the Orange County School Board on September 27, 2016.

## 3. Substantial Change Impact Analysis

Special Information. The Majorca PD was originally approved on August 19, 2008, and a Master Sign Plan was approved in 2011. The existing PD development program consists of 50,000 square feet of retail commercial and 33,360 square feet of office uses.

Through this Planned Development/Land Use Plan (PD/LUP) Substantial Change, the applicant is seeking to add 325 multi-family residential dwelling units to the development program.

Land Use Compatibility. The proposed PD/LUP Substantial Change would not adversely impact any adjacent properties or result in an incompatible land use pattern.

Comprehensive Plan (CP) Consistency. The affected parcels currently have an underlying Future Land Use Map (FLUM) designation of Commercial (C), and the proposed PD Change Determination Request (CDR) is inconsistent with this FLUM designation. However, the applicant has submitted a Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Amendment application (Amendment 2016-2-C-1-1) to change the future land use designation of the 6.5-acre subject site to High Density Residential (HDR). If Amendment 2016-2-C-1-1 is adopted, the PD Change Determination Request would be consistent with the new FLUM designation. The Board of County Commissioners Adoption public hearing for the FLUM Amendment is scheduled for October 4, 2016.

Community Meeting Summary. Community meetings were held during the 2015-2 Regular Cycle on April 30 (29 attendees), June 23 (17 attendees), October 1 (63 attendees), and November 9, 2015 (22 attendees), for this project (PD/LUP Substantial Change Case CDR-15-07-205) and its related FLUM Amendment (Amendment 2015-2-A-1-3). During those meetings, participants voiced concerns about traffic and congestion on area roadways, compatibility with neighboring residential development, access management, and safety. A community meeting concerning this present Change Determination Request and associated FLUM Amendment application was held on Tuesday, September 13, 2016, at Southwest Middle School. Attendees expressed the same concerns about traffic, compatibility with neighboring residential development, access management, and safety, as well as the site's potential annexation into the City of Orlando.

Overlay District Ordinance. The subject property is not located within an Overlay District.
Rural Settlement. The subject property is not located within a Rural Settlement.
Joint Planning Area (JPA). The subject property is not located within a JPA.
Environmental. There is a Class I wetland and a portion of Spring Lake located on this site. Orange County Conservation Area Determination CAD 01-034 was completed for this property with a certified survey of the conservation area boundary approved on July 17, 2002. This determination does not expire. The removal, alteration, or encroachment within a Class I Conservation Area shall only be allowed in cases where no other feasible or practical alternatives exist, impacts are
unavoidable to allow a reasonable use of the land, or where there is an overriding public benefit, as determined by the Orange County Board of County Commissioners.

Development of the subject property shall comply with all state and federal regulations regarding wildlife or plants listed as endangered, threatened, or species of special concern. The applicant is responsible for determining the presence of listed species and obtaining any required habitat permits from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and/or the Florida Fish \& Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC).

Transportation/Concurrency. Based on the Concurrency Management System database dated August 8,2015 , there are multiple failing roadway segments within a one-mile radius of this project. This information is dated and is subject to change. A traffic study and an approved Concurrency Encumbrance Letter (CEL) will be required prior to building permit issuance/construction plan submittal.

Parks and Recreation. Orange County Parks and Recreation staff reviewed the request but did not identify any issues or concerns.

Specific Project Expenditure Report and Relationship Disclosure Forms. The original Specific Project Expenditure Report and Relationship Disclosure Form are currently on file with the Planning Division.

## 4. Policy References

GOAL FLU2 - URBAN STRATEGIES. Orange County will encourage urban strategies such as infill development, coordinated land use and transportation planning, and mixed-use development, which promote efficient use of infrastructure, compact development and an urban experience with a range of choices and living options.

OBJ FLU2.1 INFILL. Orange County shall promote and encourage infill development through incentives identified in the Land Development Code for relatively small vacant and underutilized parcels within the County's established core areas in the Urban Service Area.

OBJ FLU8.2 - Compatibility will continue to be the fundamental consideration in all land use and zoning decisions. For purposes of this objective, the following policies shall guide regulatory decisions that involve differing land uses.

FLU1.1.1 - Urban uses shall be concentrated within the Urban Service Area, except as specified for the Horizon West Village and Innovation Way Overlay (Scenario 5), Growth Centers, and to a limited extent, Rural Settlements.

FLU1.1.5 - Orange County shall encourage mixed-use development, infill development and transitoriented development to promote compact urban form and efficiently use land and infrastructure in the Urban Service Area. The County may require minimum FARs and densities in its Land Development Code to achieve the County's desired urban framework. Infill is defined as development consistent with the Infill Master Plan (2008).

FLU8.2.1 - Land use changes shall be required to be compatible with the existing development and development trend in the area. Performance restrictions and/or conditions may be placed on property through the appropriate development order to ensure compatibility. No restrictions or conditions shall be placed on a Future Land Use Map change.

FLU8.2.2- Continuous stretches of similar housing types and density of units shall be avoided. A
diverse mix of uses and housing types shall be promoted.
GOAL H1 - Orange County's goal is to promote and assist in the provision of an ample housing supply, within a broad range of types and price levels, to meet current and anticipated housing needs so that all our residents have the opportunity to purchase or rent standard housing.

OBJ H1.1 - The County will continue to support private sector housing production capacity sufficient to meet the housing needs of existing and future residents.

## Majorca PD/ LUP - Cover Sheet
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BCC Adoption Staff Report
Amendment 2016-2-C-1-1
PD/LUP Substantial Change CDR-16-08-297

## Site Visit Photos



## PUBLIC NOTIFICATION



## Notification Area:

600 feet plus neighborhood and homeowners' associations within a one-mile radius of the subject site

253 notices sent

Community Meeting Memorandum

DATE: September 20, 2016
TO: Alberto A. Vargas, MArch., Planning Manager
FROM: Jennifer DuBois, Senior Planner
SUBJECT: Amendment 2016-2-C-1-1 (Jim Hall, VHB, Inc. for WPFF Majorca Land Investor, LLC) Community Meeting Synopsis

C: Project File

Location of Project: Generally described as located on the west side of Majorca Place, west of Turkey Lake Road, north of W. Sand Lake Road, south of Toscana Boulevard, and east of Dr. Phillips Boulevard.

Meeting Date and Location: Tuesday, September 13, 2016, at 6:30 PM at Southwest Middle School, 6450 Dr. Phillips Boulevard, Orlando, FL 32819

## Attendance:

| Commissioner | District 1 Commissioner S. Scott Boyd |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commissioner's Aide | District 1 Commissioner's Aide Diana Dethlefs |
| PZC/LPA Commissioners | Jimmy Dunn (District 1) and Yogesh Melwani (At-Large) |
| Orange County Staff | Greg Golgowski and Jennifer DuBois, Planning Division; |
|  | Renzo Nastase, Transportation Planning Division; and |
|  | Diana Almodovar, Development Engineering Division |
| Applicant | Jim Hall and Erika Hughes, VHB, Inc. |
| Residents | 253 notices sent; 43 residents in attendance |

Overview of Project: The applicant, Jim Hall, is seeking to change the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) designation of the 6.5-acre subject property, presently vacant, from Commercial (C) to High Density Residential (HDR). The property-consisting entirely of upland acreage-is a portion of the 26.81-acre Majorca PD. Approved on August 19, 2008, and amended on August 23, 2011, the Majorca PD is currently entitled for the development of up to 50,000 square feet of retail space and 33,360 square feet of professional office uses. To date, the 18,416 -squarefoot Dewey's Indoor Golf and Sports Grill and the 7,620-square-foot Tire Kingdom have been constructed within the PD boundary, in accordance with the approved PD Land Use Plan (LUP) and the present Commercial FLUM classification.

At this time, the applicant is proposing to change the FLUM designation of the Majorca PD's 6.5 undeveloped upland acres to HDR to allow for the development of up to 325 multi-family dwelling units.

Meeting Summary: Senior Planner Jennifer DuBois opened the meeting at 6:35 p.m. and provided an overview of the proposed Future Land Use Map Amendment and informed the meeting attendees of the upcoming Local Planning Agency (LPA) and Board of County Commissioners ( BCC ) adoption public hearing dates. Ms. DuBois noted that the Majorca PD was last considered during the 2015-2 Regular Cycle as Amendment 2015-2-A-1-3, entailing the same requested change from Commercial to HDR and the identical desired development program of 325 multi-family units on the PD's 6.5 undeveloped upland acres. However, the application was withdrawn prior to its scheduled January 26, 2016, BCC adoption hearing. She stated that in addition to this upland acreage, the previous subject site encompassed 17.61 acres of wetlands and submerged land within Spring Lake. Although this undevelopable land still lies within the Majorca PD boundary, it has been eliminated from the current request, thus classifying the present application as a proposed small-scale amendment, as the property in question is now less than ten acres in size. Ms. DuBois added that the Majorca PD's 2.7 Commercial-designated developed acres are also not included the application and asked the attendees if they had any questions. As none were raised, she turned the meeting over to the applicant, Jim Hall.

The applicant, Jim Hall, also provided an overview of the proposed project. Mr. Hall stated that the prospective developer, Wood Partners had developed the abutting 200-unit Rialto Apartments complex to the south, within the mixed-use Rialto PD. He noted that if approved, the requested 325 -unit multi-family project-situated within the Majorca PD-would be developed as the second phase of the Rialto Apartments. Mr. Hall informed the meeting participants that the proposed project would share access with the Rialto Apartments, with vehicular connectivity to both Turkey Lake Road and Sand Lake Road achievable via an internal roadway linking the two developments.

In response to area residents, Mr. Hall stated that the proposed five-story complex would be similar in height and appearance to the existing Rialto Apartments and would incorporate structured parking featuring approximately 500 spaces. He further verified that rents would range from $\$ 1,800$ to $\$ 2,800$ per month.

One meeting attendee, the manager of the adjacent My Neighborhood Storage Center selfstorage facility, expressed her support for the project. She stated that a number of homeless individuals have been camping on the subject site and have caused damage to her business.

Several residents in attendance voiced their belief that the High Density Residential future land use designation's maximum allowable density of 50 dwelling units per net acre is too high for the area. Some participants expressed their preference for additional commercial development on the site under its present Commercial classification.

Meeting attendees expressed numerous concerns about traffic and congestion on area roadways. Mr. Hall noted that the traffic study conducted for the proposed amendment revealed that development of a 325 -unit multi-family complex under the requested High Density Residential (HDR) future land use designation would generate less traffic than the development of the subject property for commercial purposes under the current Commercial future land use classification. Several residents, however, disagreed with that assertion.

Transportation Planning Manager Renzo Nastase explained that under current state statutes, a proposed project cannot be denied solely on the basis of failing roadways within its impact area. Mr. Nastase noted that while the current property owners are entitled to a certain number of trips resulting from the demolition of the hotel formerly located on the site, the proposed multifamily development will generate more trips than those to which the owners are presently entitled. The developer will therefore be required to mitigate for any additional impacts to the area transportation network. The developer would have the option to enter into a Proportionate Share Agreement with the County or make the improvements themselves. Such improvements could include the installation of traffic signals, median modifications, and the construction of turn lanes. Mr. Hall verified that the prospective developer understands that they will be required to mitigate for any roadway deficiencies.

Commissioner Boyd and Mr. Nastase provided an update on various current and proposed improvements to the area transportation network, which will collectively alleviate the roadway deficiencies. These include the Florida Department of Transportation's widening of Sand Lake Road to six lanes from John Young Parkway to a point just east of the l-4 interchange. Construction began this summer and is anticipated to be a two-year project. Mr. Nastase informed the meeting attendees that this project will encompass all major cross streets, with improvements to include signalization and turn lanes.

Commissioner Boyd and Mr. Nastase confirmed that the segment of Sand Lake Road from Turkey Lake Road to Apopka-Vineland Road is currently the subject of a County operational study that commenced in July. Potential improvements include a series of continuous turn lanes and improved signalization. Mr. Nastase encouraged the attendees to participate in the study.

In addition, the design of the Daryl Carter Parkway extension from S. Apopka-Vineland Road to Palm Parkway has been completed, with County funding already secured. Construction is scheduled to begin in February 2017 and is expected to be completed in two years. Furthermore, the construction of an interim diamond interchange at Daryl Carter Parkway is included in the I-4 Beyond the Ultimate project and is currently undergoing technical analysis by the federal government. Finally, the future Sand Lake Road/I-4 interchange project, entailing the complete reconfiguration of the existing interchange and improvements to surrounding sections of Sand Lake Road, is also associated with I-4 Beyond the Ultimate. However, no construction timetable has been established to date.

Several meeting attendees expressed skepticism about the proposed transportation improvements and voiced their opinion that the project should not be approved until the roadway problems are fixed. Both Commissioner Boyd and Mr. Nastase emphasized that the projects discussed are multi-year initiatives and that patience will be required.

The issue of the City of Orlando's proposed involuntary annexation of the subject property into the city limits was also a topic of discussion. Several attendees argued that the potential annexation should be considered voluntary, rather than involuntary. If classified as voluntary, they asserted, the proposal would be subject to the regulations pertaining to the Dr. Phillips Urban Preservation District, in which the site is located. Specifically, voluntary annexation may occur only if approved by a majority of the Board of County Commissioners after an advertised public hearing and by a majority of the registered voters residing within the boundaries of the
preservation district. One attendee expressed his belief that if the site is annexed, Orlando would be required to provide wastewater service—presently furnished by Orange County Utilities-to the Majorca PD, which would entail the southern extension of the city's existing sewer lines along Turkey Lake Road. Staff has since verified with Orange County Utilities, however, that the County would continue to provide wastewater and reclaimed water service to the site if annexation ultimately occurs. Staff notes that the first reading of the annexation ordinance before the Orlando City Council occurred on July 11, 2016. Commissioner Boyd confirmed that at the request of the County, the second reading of the annexation ordinance was deferred to October 24, 2016, to provide the applicant the opportunity to pursue this requested Orange County Future Land Use Map Amendment and concurrent substantial change to the currently-approved Majorca PD Land Use Plan (Case CDR-16-08-297) to incorporate the requested residential development program and allow for the multi-family project's construction within unincorporated Orange County.

In answer to a meeting attendee, Mr. Hall stated that daily water consumption per unit has been estimated at 250 gallons per day. The Orlando Utilities Commission currently provides water service to the commercially-developed portion of the Majorca PD and would also serve the proposed multi-family project.

In addition, several meeting participants voiced concern about overcrowding in the public schools that would serve the project. Mr. Hall confirmed that the prospective developer is in the process of obtaining a Capacity Enhancement Agreement (CEA) from Orange County Public Schools to address the issue of overcrowding at Southwest Middle School and Dr. Phillips High School. The CEA is scheduled for consideration by the Orange County School Board on September 27. Commissioner Boyd noted that the Dr. Phillips Area Relief High School project is currently in progress, which will alleviate the overcrowding problem at Dr. Phillips High. Staff notes that the project is presently in the rezoning stage (Case LUP-16-06-232).

Commissioner Boyd thanked the meeting participants for their participation and encouraged them to contact his office with any questions or concerns.

The meeting concluded at 8:20 p.m. The tone of the meeting was NEGATIVE.

ORDINANCE NO. 2016-


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING IN ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING THE ORANGE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, COMMONLY KNOWN AS THE "2010-2030 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN," AS AMENDED, BY ADOPTING A SMALL SCALE DEVELOPMENT AMENDMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 163.3187, FLORIIDA STATUTES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ORANGE COUNTY:

Section 1. Legislative Findings, Purpose, and Intent.
a. Part II of Chapter 163, Florida Statutes, sets forth procedures and requirements for a local government in the State of Florida to adopt a comprehensive plan and amendments to a comprehensive plan;
b. Orange County has complied with the applicable procedures and requirements of Part II of Chapter 163, Florida Statutes, for amending Orange County's 2010-2030 Comprehensive Plan;
c. On September 15, 2016, the Local Planning Agency held a public hearing at which it reviewed and made recommendations regarding the adoption of the proposed amendment to the Comprehensive Plan as described in this ordinance; and
i. On October 4, 2016, the Board held a public hearing on the adoption of the proposed amendment as described in this ordinance, and decided to adopt it.

Section 2. Authority. This ordinance is adopted in compliance with and pursuant to the Act, now known as the Community Planning Act, Sections 163.3161-163.3217, Florida Statutes, as amended.

Section 3. Amendment to Future Land Use Map. The Comprehensive Plan is hereby further amended by amending the Future Land Use Map designation as described at Appendix "A," attached hereto and incorporated herein.

## Section 4. Effective Dates for Ordinance and Amendment.

(a) This ordinance shall become effective as provided by general law.
(b) Pursuant to Section 163.3187(5)(c), Florida Statutes, the small scale development amendment adopted in this ordinance may not become effective until 31 days after adoption. However, if the small scale development amendment is challenged within 30 days after adoption, such amendment may not become effective until the Department of Economic Opportunity or the Administration Commission issues a final order determining the amendment to be in compliance. No development orders, development permits, or land uses dependent on this amendment may be issued or commence before the amendment has become effective.

## ADOPTED THIS 4th DAY OF OCTOBER, 2016.

ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA
By: Board of County Commissioners

By:
Teresa Jacobs
Orange County Mayor
ATTEST: Martha O. Haynie, County Comptroller
As Clerk to the Board of County Commissioners

By: $\qquad$
$s:$ :jprinsell $\backslash$ ordres $\backslash 2016-2$ out-of-cycle small-scale development ordinance - majorca project - 09-22-16.docx

| Appendix A* $^{*}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Privately-rnitiated Future Land Use Map Amendment |  |  |
| Amendment Number | Future Land Use Map Designation From: | Future Land Use Map Designation TO: |
| 2016-2-C.-1-1 | Commercial (C) | High Density Residential (HDR) |
| he Future Land Use Map (FLUM) shall not depict the above designation until such time as it becomes effective. |  |  |

Date:
August 26, 2016

# Interoffice Memorandum 

To: Alberto A. Vargas, MArch, Manager<br>Orange County Planning Division<br>From: J. Andres Salcedo, P.E., Assistant Director Utilities Engineering Division<br><br>\section*{Subject: Facilities Analysis and Capacity Report 2016-2 Small Cycle Out-of-Cycle Comprehensive Plan Amendment 2016-C-1-1; Majorca PD}

Orange County Utilities (OCU) staff reviewed the proposed development program as submitted by the Planning Division and have concluded that improvements to the County's wastewater treatment plant are not required to provide an adequate level of service to this property, consistent with the Comprehensive Plan's Wastewater Element. This site lies within Orlando Utilities Commission's water service area. Supporting documentation is provided in the attached Potable Water and Wastewater Facilities Analysis table.

As of today OCU has sufficient plant capacity to serve the subject amendment. This capacity is available to projects within OCU's service area and will be reserved upon payment of capital charges in accordance with County resolutions and ordinances. Transmission system capacity will be evaluated at the time of Master Utility Plan review and permitting, or at the request of the applicant.

If you need additional information, please contact me or Laura Woodbury at 407 2549928.
cc: Raymond E. Hanson, P.E., Director, Utilities Department Teresa Remudo-Fries, P.E., Deputy Director, Utilities Department Lindy Wolfe, P.E., Chief Engineer, Utilities Engineering Division of w 9/7/16 Laura Woodbury, P.E., Senior Engineer, Utilities Engineering Division LMW Gregory Golgowski, Chief Planner, Planning Division

8-26-16 Nicolas Thalmueller, Planner, Planning Division File: 37586; 2016-2 Out-of-Cycle
Notes:
Potable Water and Wastewater Facilities Analysis for 2016-2 Small Scale Out-of-Cycle

| Amendment Number | Parcel ID | Service Type and Provider |  | Main Size and General Location | Proposed Land Use | Maximum Density, Dwelling Units | Maximum Density, Hotel Rooms | ```Maximum Density Non- residential SF``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { PW } \\ \text { Demand } \\ \text { (MGD) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ww } \\ & \text { Demand } \\ & \text { (MGD) } \end{aligned}$ | Available Uncommitted PW Capacity (MGD) | Available Uncommitted WW Capacity (MGD) | OCU Service Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-2-C-1-1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 26-23-28-5411-00- } \\ 030 / 031 \end{gathered}$ | PW: Orlando Utilities Commission | PW: | N/A | High Density Residential (HDR) | 325 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.073 | 0.000 | 0.073 | South |
|  |  | ww: Orange County Utilities |  | 8 -inch and 12 -inch gravity mains are located in the Turkey Lake Road right-ofway. 14 inch and 24 inch force mains are located in the W. Sand Lake Road right-ofway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | RW: Orange County Utilities | RW: | A 30-inch reclaimed water main is located in the Sand Lake Road right-of-way. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. No plant improvements are needed to maintain LOS standards. This evaluation pertains soley to water and wastewater treatment plants. Transmission system capacity will be evaluated at the time of
Master Utility Plan review and permitting, or earlier, when requested by the applicant.
2. Abbreviations: PW - Potable Water; WW - Wastewater; RW - Reclaimed Water; WM - Water Main; FM - Force Main; GM - Gravity Main; MUP - Master Utility Plan; TBD - To be determined as the project

PARKS AND RECREATION DIVISION

MATT SUEDMEYER, MANAGER
4801 W Colonial Drive, Orlando. FL 32808
407-836.6200 • FAX 407-836.6210 • http://www.orangecountyparks.net

August 31, 2016

TO: Alberto Vargas, Manager, Planning
FROM: Bill Thomas, Planner III, Parks and Recreation
SUBJECT: Facilities Analysis and Capacity Report
2016-2 Out of Cycle Small Scale Comprehensive Policy Plan Amendments

The Parks and Recreation Division have reviewed the 2016-2 Out of Cycle Small Scale Comprehensive Policy Plan Amendments. Based on the information provided the development impacts do not exceed our countywide available parkland capacity (see attached chart), however, the projects still need to meet applicable development requirements for parks and recreation.

The Future Land Use Amendment maps have been compared to our existing and proposed park and trail facilities and there are no direct impacts.

BT:bt
c: Matt Suedmeyer, Manager, Parks and Recreation Bob Goff, Project Manager, Parks and Recreation
File: Comp Plan Amendments

August 31, 2016

| TO: | Nicholas M. Thalmueller <br> Orange County Planning Division |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | Daniel Divine, Manager <br> Research \& Development |
| SUBJECT: | $2016-2$ Small Scale Out of Cycle Amendment |

As requested, we have reviewed the impact of the existing and proposed development scenarios related to the 2016-2 Out of Cycle Amendment. The existing development scenario is undeveloped land and based on the dcvelopment scenario for proposed the Sheriff's Office staffing needs are 0.93 deputies and 0.45 support personnel to provide the standard level of service (LOS) to these developments.

Out of Cycle Amendment \#2016-2-C-1-1 is a proposed multifamily residential use development. This development is located in Sector Three. Sector Three is situated in the mid-western portion of Orange County and is approximately 82.934 square miles. In 2015 Sector Three had 193,190 calls for service. In 2015 the average response times to these calls were $00: 17: 36$ minutes for Code 1 ; 00:25:28 minutes for Code 2 ; and 00:06:49 minutes for Code 3.

The Orange County Sheriff's Office measures service requirements based on the number of calls for service generated and the number of staff needed to respond to those calls. All development generates impact, but at varying levels. In the 2013 update to the Law Enforcement Impact Fee Ordinance, the Sheriff's Office Level of Service was 745.28 calls for service per sworn officer per year. Support personnel are calculated by applying $48.8 \%$ to the sworn officer requirement. The 'formula' is land use $x$ unit of development $x$ calls per unit divided by $745.28=$ number of deputies required for that development. The 'formula' for the number of support personnel required is the number of deputies $* 48.8$ percent. These calculations are obtained from Orange County's Law Enforcement Impact Fice Study and Ordinance.

We have attached reports based on the existing and proposed development scenarios which show staffing needs and the salary for a newly hired deputy with associated equipment and supply costs and the civilian dollar amount for an entry level position with salary and benefits. Impact fees address capital cost only. All other costs must be requested from the Board of County Commissioners including salaries and benefits.

Mr. Nicholas Thalmueller
August 31, 2016
Page 2

It should be noted that this proposed development is in close proximity to the Sheriff's Office Firing Range where all firearms training for the Sheriff's Office as well as many other law enforcement agencies is performed. Continued encroachment by now development has the potential to adversely impact the ability to perform outdoor training.

As stated before, all new development creates new calls for service, which in turn creates a need for new additional manpower and equipment. If calls for service increase without a comparable increase in manpower our response times are likely to increase.

If you wish to discuss this information, please contact me or Belinda Atkins at 407 254-7470.

D.P.D.

DPD/bga
Attachments
c: Undersheriff Rey Rivero, Chicf Deputy Larry 7wieg, Major Jeff Stonebreaker, Captain Joseph Carter, CALEA 15.1.3
13) Out-of-Cycle Amendment \#2016-2-C-1-1 (fka 2015-2-A-1-3)

Majorca PD
FLU from Commercial (C) to High Density Residential (HDR)
Rezoning CDR-16-08-297 PD-LUP Substantial Change
Owner: WPFF Majorca Land Investor, LLC
Agent: Jim Hall, VHB, Inc.
Parcels: 26-23-28-5411-00-030/031
Address: west of Turkey Lake Road, north of W. Sand Lake Road
District: 1
Area: 8.70 gross acres

## EPD Comments:

There is a Class I wetland and a portion of Spring Lake on site. Orange County Conservation Area Determination CAD 01-034 was completed in 2002 for the Majorca PD that included the subject properties. This determination does not expire.

The Normal High Water Elevation (NHWE) of Spring Lake was established at 98.7 feet NGVD 29 ( 97.71 feet NAVD 88) in the Lake Index of Orange County. Clearly label and indicate the NHWE of the lake on all development plans or permit applications, in addition to any wetland and setback lines.

No construction, clearing, filling, alteration or grading is allowed within or immediately adjacent to a conservation area without first obtaining permission from EPD. Reference Orange County Code Chapter 15, Article X, Section 15-376. Approval of this request does not authorize any direct or indirect impacts to conservation areas or protective buffers.

The removal, alteration or encroachment within a Class I Conservation Area shall only be allowed in cases where: no other feasible or practical alternatives exist, impacts are unavoidable to allow a reasonable use of the land, or where there is an overriding public benefit, as determined before the Orange County Board of County Commissioners.

The developer is responsible for addressing any adverse impacts, including secondary impacts, to surface waters, wetlands, or conservation areas that may occur as a result of development of the site. Preventive measures include but are not limited to: 25 -foot average undisturbed upland buffer along the wetland boundary, signage, pollution abatement swales, split rail fence, retaining wall or native plantings adjacent to the wetlands. Identify and label the measures employed to prevent adverse conservation area impacts in all development plans and permit applications.

Development of the subject property shall comply with all state and federal regulations regarding wildlife or plants listed as endangered, threatened, or species of special concern. The applicant is responsible to determine the presence of listed species and obtain any required habitat permits from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and/or the Florida Fish \& Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC). $9 / 6 / 16 \quad$ Page 11 of 12
S:\Engineering Support\Comprehensive_Policy_Plan\Small Scale\2016-2\2016-2 Small Scale EPD Comments.doc

# Orange County Environmental Protection Division Comments to the Local Planning Agency for the 2016-2 Small Scale Comprehensive Plan Amendments 

Prior to commencement of any earth work or construction, if one acre or more of land will be disturbed, the developer shall provide a copy of the completed National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Notice of Intent (NOI) form for stormwater discharge from construction activities to the Orange County Environmental Protection Division, NPDES Administrator. The original NOI form shall be sent to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) by the developer.

Conservation Area Determination Data


Project. 01-034 CAD Majorca Place

## Austin Environmental Consultants, Inc.

8 Broadway Avenue, Suite G • Kissimmee, Florida 34741 Phone: 407.935.0535 • Fax: 407.935.0919

June 13, 2002

David Mahnken


Orange County
Environmental Protection Department
800 Mercy Drive, Suite 4
Orlando, Florida 32808

RE: Conservation Area Determination (CAD) File No. 01-034

Dear Mr. Mahnken:

Please find enclosed three (3) copies of signed and sealed wetland surveys for the Majorca Place project. The jurisdictional boundaries depicted on the surveys represent the flagged line that was reviewed and approved during our site visit on June 6, 2002. This information is being submitted to allow you to make a final ruling on the Conservation Area Determination (CAD), file No. 01-034. Your timely response on this matter would be greatly appreciated.

If you have any questions, or would like to discuss this project further, please feel free to contact me.
Sincerely,


Brian Powell, B.S.
Biologist
cc. Mr. Curtis Arrington, CMA \& Associates

## Austin Environmental Consultants, Inc.

8 Broadway Avenue, Suite G• Kissimmee, Florida 34741
Phone: 407.935.0535 • Fax: 407.935.0919

May 29, 2002

David Mahnken
Orange County
Environmental Protection Department
2002 East Michigan Avenue
Orlando, Florida 32806


RE: Conservation Area Determination (CAD) File No. 01-034

Dear Mr. Mahnken:

Per our telephone conversation on Friday May 24, 2002, I am submitting this letter to request a site inspection to re-address the jurisdictional boundaries of the onsite wetlands located within the Majorca Place project. A previous environmental consultant established the wetland line, and a CAD was approved based on a field review by Orange County EPD staff on July 20, 2001. Upon a review of the approved wetland boundary and its relation to onsite topographic data, the project engineer requested that I review the wetland line to determine if an accurate line was established. Upon a review of aerial photographs and the Orange County Soil Survey, Austin Environmental Consultants, Inc. (AEC) conducted a site inspection to review the jurisdictional limits of the onsite wetlands. The field inspection revealed that the southern portion of the established wetland line was fairly accurate, however the northern portion did not correlate with the hydric soil conditions present.

At the request of the property owner, AEC has delineated the onsite wetlands in accordance with the methodology presented in section 373.421, F.S. At your earliest convenience, I am requesting a site inspection to re-address the wetland jurisdictional boundaries previously approved by Orange County CAD file No. 01-034. If you have any questions, or would like to discuss the Majorca Place project further, please do not hesitate to call me.


Biologist
cc. Mr. Curtis Arrington, CMA \& Associates
To: David Mahnken

From: Rosle Rlvera

Date: May 29, 2002
RE: $\quad$ Conservation Area Determination (CAD) file No. 01-034
\# Pages, Including Cover: 2

## Dear Mr. Mahnken,

Attached find a copy of the letter from Brian Powell regarding a request for a site inspection to re-address the Jurisdictional boundaries of the onsite wetlands located within the Majorca Place project. The original letter will be mailed to your attentlon today.

## Rosle Rivera

Offce Manager
Austin Environmental Consultants, Inc.

```
AUSTIN ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS, Inc.
B Brondwey Avenue, Sulea C
KIBalmmet. PL 34741
Phone: (407) 935-0535
FAX: (407) 935-0919
```


# Austin Environmental Consultants, Inc. 

8 Broadway Avenue, Suite G © Kissimmee, Florida 34741

Phone: 407.935 .0535 - Fax: 407.935 .0919

May 29, 2002

David Mahniken<br>Orange Coustiny<br>Environmental Protection Department<br>2002 Enst etchegan Avenue<br>Orlando Flonida 32806

RE: Consenvation Area Determination (CAD) File No. 01-034

## Dear Mr. Mahnken:

Per our telephone conversation on Friday May 24, 2002, I am submitting this letter to request a site inspection to re-address the jurisdictional boundarles of the onsite wetlands located within the Majorca Place project. A previous environmental consultant established the wetland line, and a CAD was approved based on a field review by Orange County EPD staff on July 20, 2001. Upon a review of the approved wetland boundary and its relation to onsite topographic data, the project engineer requested that I review the wetland tine to determine if an accurate line was established. Upon a review of aerial photographs and the Orange County Soil Survey, Austin Environmental Consultants, Inc. (AEC) conducted a site inspection to review the jurisdictlonal limits of the onsite wetlands. The field inspection revealed that the southern portion of the established wetland line was falrly accurate, however the northern portlon did not correlate with the hydric soll conditions present.

At the request of the property owner, AEC has delineated the onsite wetlands in accordance with the methodology presented in section 373.421 , F.S. At your earllest convenlence, I am requesting a slte inspection to re-address the wetland jurisdictional boundaries prevlously approved by Orange County CAD file No. 01-034. If you have any questions, or would like to discuss the Majorca Place project further, please do not hesitate to call me.


Blologist
cc. Mr. Curtis Arrington, CMA \& Associates

Better Service - Better Ideas - Better Environment For All

July 17, 2002
Mr. Brian Powell
Austin Environmental Consultants, Inc.
8 Broadway Avenue, Suite G
Kissimmee, Florida 34741

## Subject: Conservation Area Boundary Survey Majorca Place Section 26, Township 23 South, Range 28 East <br> Application No.: 01-034CA <br> Orange County Commission District: 1

Dear Mr. Powell:
The Environmental Protection Division (EPD) has received your blueline survey dated "Received June 13, 2002", which delineates the extent of the approved Orange County Conservation Area on the above referenced property. This survey accurately depicts the jurisdictional wetland boundary agreed upon by EPD during a site inspection conducted on June 6, 2002.

Attached is a signed copy of the survey verifying the landward extent of the Conservation Area. If you should have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me at (407) 836-1481.

Sincerely,


Attachment
c: Florida Department of Environmental Protection

ENVIRONME:- PROTECTION DIVISION
BRUCE R. EA: anN, Interim Manager
Iceds Commerce Center
800 Mcrcy Drive, Suite 4
Orlando, Florida 32808-7896
$407-836 \cdot 1400$ - Fax $407-836-1499$
www.onetgov.net

October 4, 2001
Mr. Mark Ausley
Florida Environmental, Inc.
322 John Young Parkway
Kissimmee, Florida 34741

```
Subject: Conservation Area Class Determination Majorca Place Project Site Section 26, Township 23, Range 28
Application No.: 01-034 CAD
Orange County Commission District: 1
```

Dear Mr. Ausley:
As requested by the application submitted and dated "Received July 9, 2001", a Conservation Area Classification Determination has been completed in accordance with Orange County Code, Article X, Section 15-382. The presence of conservation areas and their classification was determined through a field inspection of wetland boundaries during a meeting with Mr. Doug Powers on July 20, 2001, and staff of the Orange County Environmental Protection Division (EPD).

The Conservation Areas existing onsite are classified as follows
Wetland Identification

| Number | Class | Vegetative Communities (FLUCFCS)/Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 640; vegetated non-forested wetland, connected to <br> Spring Lake |

Attached are copies of a blueline aerial photograph depicting the approximate location of wetland boundaries inspected and their identification numbers for the purpose of this class determination

In accordance with Orange County Code. Article X, Section 15-382(1) you have fifleen days of receipt of this letter to agree or disagree in writing with the Class designation. Upon agreement, this determination is binding. Should the determination not become binding, then future development of the property may be subject to current regulations including additional fees.

In accordance with Orange County Code, Article X, Section 15-376, "No person shall conduct any activities within or immediately adjacent to any wetland that would materially affect in an adverse way any wetland which has been determined to be a conservation area without first obtaining a permit as provided in Article X, Division 4 (Mitigation of Adverse Development) of this article".

October 2, 2001
CAD No.: 01-034
Page 2
Prior to any development as defined by F.S. $\S 380.04,3$ copies of a certified survey of the conservation areas classified herein shall be submitted to EPD for review and approval. The survey should depict the location and extent of the Conservation Areas on the project site as approved by Orange County. The flagged locations must be mathematically tied to established control points, describing the bounds by bearing and distance. Total wetland acreage (on-site), acreage per individual Conservation Area, and the wetland identification number must also be noted on the survey. Two copies of the survey will be signed and returned to you for your files.

If this office does not receive the survey within ninety days of this Conservation Area Determination, an additional fee will be required for EPD staff to re-inspect the wetland boundary.

This determination does not provide relief from other local, state, or federal policies, which regulate activity on the subject property.

If you should have any questions concerning this review, please do not hesitate to contact me at (407) 836-1432.


David M. Mahnken
Sr. Environmental Specialist
DMM/BJ/BRE:rb
Attachment
c: Florida Department of Environmental Protection

## ONSITE SOILS

- 3 - Basinger fine sand
- 6-Candler-Apopka fine sand
- 46 - Tavares fine sand
- 47 - Tavares Millhopper fine sand


| Majorca Place - Florida Land Use and Cover Classification System |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Acreage | Percent Cover |  |  |  |
| 191 | Undeveloped Land within <br> Urban Areas | 7.39 | 23.53 |  |  |  |
| 221 | Cirus Grove | 5.19 | 16.52 |  |  |  |
| 522 | Lakes larger than 100 acres <br> but less than 500 acres | 16.35 | 52.05 |  |  |  |
| 534 | Reservoirs less than 10 <br> acres | 0.99 | 3.15 |  |  |  |
| 615 | Lake Swamp | 1.49 | 4.75 |  |  |  |
| Totais |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 1 . 4 1}$ | 100 |

322 North John Young Parkway Kissimmee, FL 34741


# Application for Conservation Area Determination <br> (In Accordance with Orange County Wetland Conservation Areas Chapter 15, Article X, Orange County Code) 

Date: 5/23/01

| Send or | Orange County Environmental Protection Division <br> Deliver To: <br> Leeds Commerce Center |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | 800 Mercy Drive, |
|  | Orlando, F1 32808 |
| $\cdots$ | (407) 836-1400, Fax (407) 836-1499 |

County Commission District: $\qquad$
**Enclose a check for the filing fee of $\$ 646.00$ payable to The Board of Countv Commissioners**:

## PROPERTY OWNER

Name: Se'Belle Smith-Dymek / Dodia Mae Smith/Miranda Smith-Bai ${ }^{e} y$ Mailing Address: P.O. Box 421059
City: Kissimmee State: FI Zip: 32742-1059
Ehome Phone: $(\ldots)$ Work Phone (407). 847-5801

## APPLICANT

(Af the applicant is not the property owner of record, then the "Agent Authorization" section must be completed) Name: $\qquad$
Mailing Address:
City: $\qquad$ State: $\qquad$ Zip: $\qquad$ Phone ( )

## Agent

Name: Florida Environmental, Ince.
Mailing Address: 322 North John Young Parkway
City: Kissimmee
State: FI:
Zip: 34741
Phone (407)931-3700

## LOCATION OF PROPERTY

Street Address: $\begin{array}{r}262320 \text { TURKET LAKERI } \\ \hline 26000005\end{array}$

Section(s) 26 $\qquad$ Township $\qquad$ Range $\qquad$

Agyal Description: Please see enclosed

## SUBMITTAL REQUREMINTS: (Note: All submittals shall have the property/project bounduries delineated)

## Attached

[7. Two (2) aerial photographs (minimum scale $1^{\prime \prime}=300^{\circ}$ )
(1. Soil type and boundaries per SCS
7. USGS 7.5' quadrangle map
[1/ Property boundary survey or tax map.
(Q. A vegetation map using the current Florida Land Use, Cover and Forms Classification System.

Total Project Area: 31.4 acres $\qquad$
Total Wetland Area: 17.9 acres

Eexisting Uses on the Property: $\qquad$ Orange Grove

Proposed Uses on the Property: Commerci.al
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Therey certify, to the extent of my knowledge, that the above information provided is true and correct.
Applicant Signature
Date


Board of County Commissioners

N
O
W
$m$
$m$
 os?
ge llue

# TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES ANALYSIS 

MAJORCA RIALTO PHASE 2 APARTMENTS ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA


Prepared for:
Wood Partners 941 West Morse Boulevard Winter Park, Florida 32789

Prepared by:
Traffic Planning and Design, Inc.
535 Versailles Drive Maitland, Florida 32751 407-628-9955

## PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I am a Professional Engineer properly registered in the State of Florida practicing with Traffic Planning \& Design, Inc., a corporation authorized to operate as an engineering business, EB-3702, by the State of Florida Department of Professional Regulation, Board of Professional Engineers, and that I have prepared or approved the evaluations, findings, opinions, conclusions, or technical advice attached hereto for:

PROJECT: Majorca / Rialto Phase 2 Apartments
LOCATION: Orange County, Florida
CLIENT: Wood Partners

I hereby acknowledge that the procedures and references used to develop the results contained in these computations are standard to the professional practice of Transportation Engineering as applied through professional judgment and experience.
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## INTRODUCTION

This analysis was undertaken to support an application to amend the Orange County Comprehensive Policy Plan's (CPP) Future Land Use Map (FLUM). The application is for Majorca Apartments (Rialto Phase 2) planned development and consists of two parcels, 26-23-28-5411-00-030 and 26-23-28-5411-00-031(23 gross acres), which are located on the west side of Turkey Lake Road just north of West Sand Lake Road in Orange County, Florida.

The requested amendment is to change the FLUM designation of the property from Commercial Planned Development (Commercial-PD) to High Density Residential (HDR-PD). Figure 1 depicts the location of the proposed development and the one-mile radius primary impact area.

Figure 2 illustrates the proposed conceptual land use plan.

The development densities for the current and proposed FLUM are listed in Table 1.

Table 1
Development Densities

| Land Use Designation | Developable <br> Acres | Density | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Current FLUM |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial (PD) | 6.22 | 3.0 FAR | 812.83 KSF |  |
| Proposed FLUM | 6.22 | 50 units/acre | 311 Units |  |
| High Density Residential (PD) | 6 |  |  |  |

This study was performed in accordance with the methodology for a Comprehensive Plan Amendment Transportation Facilities Analysis adopted by Orange County.

## EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

The existing traffic conditions were evaluated within the project's primary influence area. This included the area's major roadways which were analyzed for daily and P.M. peak hour conditions.

The existing conditions on the roadway network were analyzed by comparing the latest available traffic volumes on each of the roadway segments with the adopted capacity thresholds. The existing conditions analysis was based on information from the Orange County Concurrency Management System (CMS) database and the Orange County 2013 Annual Traffic Counts. This information includes roadway segments, roadway characteristics, traffic volumes, and adopted capacities. Table 2 summarizes the existing conditions capacity analysis for roadways included in the analysis as per Orange County's $3 \%$ significance threshold. Relevant information is provided in Appendix A.

This analysis indicates that all of the roadway segments except the following roadway segments within the project's influence area currently operate with adequate capacity during the daily and peak hour conditions:

- I-4 - from Beachline Expressway to John Young Parkway
- Sand Lake Road - from International Drive to Kirkman Road
- Turkey Lake Road - from Sand Lake Commons Boulevard to Sand Lake Road

| Table 2 <br> Existing Conditions (2015) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seg ID | Roadway | Segment Limits |  | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Lns } \end{gathered}$ | Adopt LOS | Daily |  |  | Peak Hour |  |  |  | Deficient? |  |
|  |  | From | To |  |  | Volume | Capacity | Los | Volume | Dir | Capacity | Los | Daily | Peak |
| 107.0 | Dr Phillips Blvd | Sand Lake Rd | Wallace Rd | 4 | E | 19,315 | 39,800 | c | 859 | NB | 2,000 | c | N | N |
| 196.5 | International Dr | Pointe Plaza Ave | Sand Lake Rd | 4 | E | 23,254 | 39,800 | c | 830 | NB | 2,000 | c | N | N |
| 197.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Kirkman Rd | 4 | E | 17,650 | 33,800 | c | 692 | WB | 1,700 | D | N | N |
| 501.0 | Interstate 4 | Beachline Expy | John Young Pkwy | 6 | E | 150,993 | 116,600 | F | 6,250 | NB | 5,500 | F | Y | Y |
| 393.0 | Sand Lake Rd | Apopka-Vineland Rd | Dr. Phillips Blva | 4 | E | 27,221 | 39,800 | c | 1,338 | EB | 2,000 | c | N | N |
| 394.0 |  | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Turkey Lake Rd | 4 | E | 43,204 | 39,800 | E | 1,602 | WB | 2,000 | C | N | N |
| 394.5 |  | Turkey Lake Rd | International Dr | 6 | E | 62,707 | 59,900 | E | 2,148 | EB | 3,020 | C | N | N |
| 395.0 |  | International Dr | Kirkman Rd | 4 | E | 47,645 | 39,800 | F | 1,892 | wB | 2,000 | F | Y | Y |
| 437.1 | Turkey Lake Rd | Sand Lake Commons Blvd | Sand Lake Rd | 4 | E | 33,675 | 39,800 | C | 1,664 | NB | 2,000 | F | N | Y |
| 438.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Wallace Rd | 4 | E | 30,504 | 39,800 | c | 1,269 | NB | 2,000 | c | N | N |
| 439.0 |  | Wallace Rd | Vineland Rd | 4 | E | 31,640 | 39,800 | c | 1,469 | SB | 2,000 | c | N | N |
| 490.0 | Universal Blvd | Interstate 4 | Sand Lake Rd | 4 | E | 11,959 | 33,800 | c | 627 | SB | 1,700 | c | N | N |
| 491.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Pointe Plaza Ave | 4 | E | 10,590 | 33,800 | c | 780 | NB | 1,700 | D | N | N |
| 448.1 | Wallace Rd | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Turkey Lake Rd | 2 | E | 13,713 | 15,600 | c | 965 | WB | 800 | F | N | N |

Majorcal Rialto Phase 2 Apartments
Project № 4622

## PROGRAMMED AND PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS

The Orange County Capital Improvement Program, Public Works Department 10-Year Roadway Program, the 2030 Long Range Transportation Plan and Metroplan Orlando's 2040 Cost Feasible Plan were checked to identify any planned or programmed improvements (by 2030 ) to the existing transportation facilities in this area. Relevant information from these documents is provided in Appendix B.

The following improvements in the vicinity of the property were identified:

- I-4 - from Polk /Osceola County Line to SR 435/Kirkman Rd - Ultimate configuration for general use and managed lanes (2025)
- SR482/Sand Lake Road - from west of Turkey Lake Road to Universal Boulevard Widen to 6 lanes (2020)
- SR482/Sand Lake Road - from Universal Boulevard to west of John Young Parkway Widen to 6 lanes (2020)
- International Drive - from SR482/Sand Lake Road to Kirkman Road - widen to 6 lanes (2030)


## PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND TRIP GENERATION

The requested amendment to the FLUM will change the designation from Commercial PD to High Density Residential PD. The following paragraphs describe a comparison of the net change in the number of trips generated by the site under the two land use scenarios.

## Trip Generation

The trip generation for the existing and proposed land use densities was calculated using trip generation information published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in the Trip Generation Manual, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition and Orange County's new trip generation factors. Trip generation rates and calculations are summarized in Table 3, which shows the daily and P.M. peak hour trips (new) for the existing and proposed land uses. The ITE Trip Generation sheets and Orange County's new trip factors are provided in Appendix C.

Table 3
Trip Generation Calculation

| Land Use | ITE <br> Code | Size | Daily Rates | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Trip } \\ & \text { Factor } \end{aligned}$ | P.M. <br> Peak Rates* | Daily Traffic | Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Enter | Exit |
| Existing PD Land Use Designation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial (Shopping Ctr) | 820 | $\begin{gathered} 812.83 \\ \text { KSF } \end{gathered}$ | 32.65 | 0.81 | 3.00 | 21,496 | 1,975 | 948 | 1,027 |
| Proposed PD Land Use Designation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apartment | 220 | 311 Units | 6.46 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 2,009 | 190 | 123 | 66 |
| Net Change in Trips with Proposed Amendment |  |  |  |  |  | -19,487 | -1,785 | -825 | -961 |

*Determined from equations

From these calculations, the existing land use (Commercial-PD) designation would generate 21,496 daily trips and 1,975 P.M. peak hour trips as compared to the proposed amendment (HDR-PD) that would only generate 2,009 daily trips and 190 peak hour trips. Therefore, the site's daily trip generation will decrease by 19,487 daily trips, and the P.M. peak hour trip generation will decrease by 1,785 trips as a result of the proposed amendment.

## Trip Distribution

The latest adopted 2030 Orlando Urban Area Transportation Study (OUATS) travel demand model was employed using the Florida Standard Urban Transportation Modeling Structure (FSUTMS). The OUATS model was modified slightly to include the socio-economic (SE) data of the project and a select zone analysis was conducted to isolate the project traffic from the total background traffic on the roadway network. The select zone analysis was used to obtain a trip distribution for the project. Since the requested amendment is to change the FLUM designation of the property from Commercial-PD to HDR-PD, the model was run twice, one with the existing FLUM designation and second time with the proposed FLUM designation. The resulting model outputs are included in Appendix D. Traffic impacts of the proposed development on study segments are calculated based on the difference of trips generated by the above mentioned two scenarios.

## Impact Area

Orange County requires that, at a minimum, roadway segments within the project's primary impact area of 1-mile be analyzed. Additionally, the project's significant impact area is defined as roadway segments that the requested amendment consumes $3 \%$ or more of the adopted roadway segments' peak hour directional capacity. However, since this amendment will result in a negative trip generation, it will not have significant impacts on any segment. Therefore, the proposed amendment's study area will include the roadway segments within the primary 1 mile radius impact area and will not be expanded further.

## PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

Projected conditions were assessed to evaluate the impact of the proposed amendment on the roadway network. The projected conditions analysis was performed for the horizon year (2030) and the interim year (2020) with and without the proposed amendment scenarios.

## Background Traffic Volumes

Projected traffic volumes for the year 2030 were obtained from the Orange County projections. The relevant tables are provided in Appendix E. For the interim year 2020 analysis, background traffic volumes on the study segments are based on growth factors developed by comparing model growth rates and historical growth for reasonableness and they are shown in Table 4. At a minimum a $2 \%$ annual growth was used. Detailed traffic trend analysis worksheets are attached in Appendix F.

Table 4
Growth Rates

| Seg ID | Roadway | Segment Limits |  | Functional Class | Growth Factors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | From | To |  | Model | Counts | Selected |
| 107.0 | Dr Phillips Blvd | Sand Lake Rd | Wallace Rd | Collector | 4.64\% | 1.90\% | 2.00\% |
| 196.5 | International Dr | Pointe Plaza Avenue | Sandlake Rd | Min Art | 8.56\% | -1.57\% | 2.00\% |
| 197.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Kirkman Rd | Min Art | 13.26\% | -1.70\% | 2.00\% |
| 501.0 | Interstate 4 | Beachline Expy | John Young Pkwy | Prin Art Expy | 4.11\% | 0.09\% | 2.00\% |
| 393.0 | Sand Lake Rd | Apopka-Vineland Rd | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Collector | -0.23\% | -0.97\% | 2.00\% |
| 394.0 |  | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Turkey Lake Rd | Collector | -1.12\% | 0.17\% | 2.00\% |
| 394.5 |  | Turkey Lake Rd | International Dr | Min Art | 0.63\% | 3.39\% | 3.39\% |
| 395.0 |  | International Dr | Kirkman Rd | Min Art | 2.94\% | 0.74\% | 2.00\% |
| 437.1 | Turkey Lake Rd | Sand Lake Commons Blvd | Sand Lake Rd | Min Art | 4.44\% | 2.85\% | 2.85\% |
| 438.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Wallace Rd | Min Art | 1.53\% | 2.41\% | 2.41\% |
| 439.0 |  | Wallace Rd | Vineland Rd | Min Art | -0.88\% | 2.07\% | 2.07\% |
| 490.0 | Universal Blvd | Interstate 4 | Sand Lake Rd | Collector | 20.58\% | -3.14\% | 2.00\% |
| 491.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Pointe Plaza Ave | Collector | 21.32\% | 2.20\% | 2.20\% |
| 448.1 | Wallace Rd | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Turkey Lake Rd | Collector | 1.06\% | 2.48\% | 2.48\% |

## Interim Year 2020 Conditions (Base Condition)

The interim year analysis was conducted for the base condition, which assumes that the FLUM is not amended. This analysis is based on the existing and committed roadway network geometry and projected 2020 traffic volumes. Table 5 summarizes the analysis of the interim year 2020 condition (Base Condition).

Majorcal Rialto Phase 2 Apartments
Project № 4622
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The analysis of projected base conditions for the Interim Year 2020 indicates that the study roadway segments are projected to continue to operate within their adopted LOS except at the following locations:

- I-4 - from Beachline Expressway to John Young Parkway
- Sand Lake Road - from International Drive to Kirkman Road
- Turkey Lake Road - from Sand Lake Commons Boulevard to Sand Lake Road


## Interim Year 2020 Conditions (Proposed Condition)

With the amended FLUM as proposed, the project will not adversely impact the transportation facilities and will reduce the Interim Year roadway volumes. However, All the above mentioned segments will remain deficient. Table 6 summarizes the traffic volumes with the proposed FLUM amendment.
Table 5
2020 Base Condition Analysis

| Seg ID | Roadway | Segment Limits |  | \# | Daily |  |  | Peak Hour |  |  |  | Deficient? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | From | To | Lns | Volume | Capacity | LOS | Volume | Dir | Capacity | LOS | Daily | Peak |
| 107.0 | Dr Phillips Blvd | Sand Lake Rd | Wallace Rd | 4 | 21,247 | 39,800 | C | 945 | NB | 2,000 | C | N | N |
| 196.5 | International Dr | Pointe Plaza Avenue | Sand Lake Rd | 4 | 25,579 | 39,800 | C | 913 | NB | 2,000 | C | N | N |
| 197.0 | International Dr | Sand Lake Rd | Kirkman Rd | 4 | 19,415 | 33,800 | C | 761 | WB | 1,700 | D | N | N |
| 501.0 | Interstate 4 | Beachline Expy | John Young Pkwy | 6 | 166,092 | 116,600 | F | 6,875 | NB | 5,500 | F | Y | Y |
| 393.0 | Sand Lake Rd | Apopka-Vineland Rd | Dr. Phillips BIvd | 4 | 29,943 | 39,800 | C | 1,472 | EB | 2,000 | C | N | N |
| 394.0 |  | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Turkey Lake Rd | 4 | 47,524 | 39,800 | E | 1,762 | WB | 2,000 | C | N | N |
| 394.5 |  | Turkey Lake Rd | International Dr | 6 | 73,336 | 59,900 | E | 2,512 | EB | 3,020 | C | N | N |
| 395.0 |  | International Dr | Kirkman Rd | 4 | 52,410 | 39,800 | F | 2,081 | WB | 2,000 | F | Y | Y |
| 437.1 | Turkey Lake Rd | Sand Lake Commons Blvd | Sand Lake Rd | 4 | 38,474 | 39,800 | C | 1,901 | NB | 2,000 | F | N | Y |
| 438.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Wallace Rd | 4 | 34,180 | 39,800 | C | 1,422 | NB | 2,000 | C | N | N |
| 439.0 |  | Wallace Rd | Vineland Rd | 4 | 34,915 | 39,800 | C | 1,621 | SB | 2,000 | C | N | N |
| 490.0 | Universal Blvd | Interstate 4 | Sand Lake Rd | 4 | 13,155 | 33,800 | C | 690 | SB | 1,700 | C | N | N |
| 491.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Pointe Plaza Ave | 4 | 11,755 | 33,800 | C | 866 | NB | 1,700 | D | N | N |
| 448.1 | Wallace Rd | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Turkey Lake Rd | 2 | 15,413 | 15,600 | C | 1,085 | WB | 800 | F | N | N |

Table 6

| Seg ID | Roadway | Segment Limits |  | Daily |  |  |  | Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | From | To | Backgd | Project | Total | Capacity | Backgd | Project | Total | Dir | Capacity |
| 107.0 | Dr Phillips Blvd | Sand Lake Rd | Wallace Rd | 21,247 | -255 | 20,991 | 39,800 | 945 | -23 | 922 | NB | 2,000 |
| 196.5 | International Dr | Pointe Plaza Avenue | Sand Lake Rd | 25,579 | -1,263 | 24,317 | 39,800 | 913 | -116 | 797 | NB | 2,000 |
| 197.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Kirkman Rd | 19,415 | -815 | 18,600 | 33,800 | 761 | -75 | 686 | WB | 1,700 |
| 501.0 | Interstate 4 | Beachline Expy | John Young Pkwy | 166,092 | -2,254 | 163,838 | 116,600 | 6,875 | -206 | 6,669 | NB | 5,500 |
| 393.0 | Sand Lake Rd | Apopka-Vineland Rd | Dr. Phillips Blvd | 29,943 | -1,227 | 28,716 | 39,800 | 1,472 | -113 | 1,359 | EB | 2,000 |
| 394.0 |  | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Turkey Lake Rd | 47,524 | -1,609 | 45,915 | 39,800 | 1,762 | -148 | 1,615 | WB | 2,000 |
| 394.5 |  | Turkey Lake Rd | International Dr | 73,336 | -9,394 | 63,942 | 59,900 | 2,512 | -860 | 1,652 | EB | 3,020 |
| 395.0 |  | International Dr | Kirkman Rd | 52,410 | -2,705 | 49,705 | 39,800 | 2,081 | -248 | 1,833 | WB | 2,000 |
| 437.1 | Turkey Lake Rd | Sand Lake Commons Blvd | Sand Lake Rd | 38,474 | -2,340 | 36,134 | 39,800 | 1,901 | -215 | 1,686 | NB | 2,000 |
| 438.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Wallace Rd | 34,180 | -13,343 | 20,837 | 39,800 | 1,422 | -1,223 | 199 | NB | 2,000 |
| 439.0 |  | Wallace Rd | Vineland Rd | 34,915 | -5,041 | 29,874 | 39,800 | 1,621 | -461 | 1,160 | SB | 2,000 |
| 490.0 | Universal Blvd | Interstate 4 | Sand Lake Rd | 13,155 | -371 | 12,784 | 33,800 | 690 | -34 | 656 | SB | 1,700 |
| 491.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Pointe Plaza Ave | 11,755 | -855 | 10,900 | 33,800 | 866 | -78 | 787 | NB | 1,700 |
| 448.1 | Wallace Rd | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Turkey Lake Rd | 15,413 | -1,105 | 14,308 | 15,600 | 1,085 | -101 | 983 | WB | 800 |

2020 Proposed Condition Analysis

## Horizon Year 2030 Conditions (Base Condition)

Year 2030 projected conditions were analyzed for the base condition, which assumes no change to the FLUM designation for the property. This analysis is based on the projected background traffic volumes for the horizon year and the planned cost feasible roadway network for the same year. Table 7 summarizes the 2030 base conditions analysis.

The analysis indicates that the study roadway segments will continue to operate within their adopted LOS in the year 2030, with the following exceptions:

- International Drive - from Sand lake Road to Kirkman Road
- Interstate 4 - from Beachline Expressway to John Young Parkway
- Sand Lake Road - from Turkey lake Road to International Drive
- Universal Boulevard - from I-4 to Pointe Plaza Avenue
- Wallace Road - from Dr. Phillips Boulevard to Turkey Lake Road


## Horizon Year 2030 Conditions (Proposed Condition)

With the amended FLUM as proposed, the Horizon Year roadway volumes will decrease. All the above mentioned segments will remain deficient. However, the proposed amendment will not adversely impact the transportation facilities. Table 8 summarizes the 2030 traffic volumes with the proposed FLUM amendment. .
Table 7
2030 Base Condition Analysis

|  |  | Segment Limits |  | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Lns } \end{gathered}$ | Daily |  |  | Peak Hour |  |  |  | Deficient? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seg ID | Roadway | From | To |  | Volume | Cap | LOS | Volume | Dir | Cap | LOS | Daily | Peak |
| 107.0 | Dr Phillips Blvd | Sand Lake Rd | Wallace Rd | 4 | 32,752 | 39,800 | C | 1,629 | NB | 2,000 | C | N | N |
| 196.5 | International Dr | Pointe Plaza Avenue | Sand Lake Rd | 6 | 53,124 | 59,900 | C | 2,299 | NB | 3,020 | C | N | N |
| 197.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Kirkman Rd | 4 | 52,767 | 33,800 | F | 1,962 | WB | 1,700 | F | Y | Y |
| 501.0 | Interstate 4 | Beachline Expy | John Young Pkwy | 10 | 243,994 | 224,200 | F | 10,652 | NB | 10,620 | F | Y | Y |
| 393.0 | Sand Lake Rd | Apopka-Vineland Rd | Dr. Phillips Blvd | 4 | 26,264 | 39,800 | C | 1,315 | EB | 2,000 | C | N | N |
| 394.0 |  | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Turkey Lake Rd | 4 | 35,916 | 39,800 | C | 1,713 | WB | 2,000 | C | N | N |
| 394.5 |  | Turkey Lake Rd | International Dr | 6 | 68,648 | 59,900 | F | 2,556 | EB | 3,020 | C | Y | N |
| 395.0 |  | International Dr | Kirkman Rd | 6 | 68,648 | 59,900 | F | 2,556 | WB | 3,020 | C | Y | N |
| 437.1 | Turkey Lake Rd | Sand Lake Commons Blvd | Sand Lake Rd | 4 | 56,082 | 39,800 | F | 2,448 | NB | 2,000 | E | Y | N |
| 438.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Wallace Rd | 4 | 37,491 | 39,800 | C | 1,798 | NB | 2,000 | C | N | N |
| 439.0 |  | Wallace Rd | Vineland Rd | 4 | 27,441 | 39,800 | C | 1,268 | SB | 2,000 | C | N | N |
| 490.0 | Universal Blvd | Interstate 4 | Sand Lake Rd | 4 | 48,881 | 33,800 | F | 2,246 | SB | 1,700 | F | Y | Y |
| 491.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Pointe Plaza Ave | 4 | 44,454 | 33,800 | F | 2,146 | NB | 1,700 | F | Y | Y |
| 448.1 | Wallace Rd | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Turkey Lake Rd | 2 | 15,894 | 15,600 | F | 1,051 | WB | 800 | C | Y | Y |

Table 8

| Seg ID | Roadway | Segment Limits |  | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Lns } \end{gathered}$ | Daily |  |  |  | Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | From | To |  | Backg'd | Project | Total | Capacity | Backg'd | Project | Total | Capacity |
| 107.0 | Dr Phillips Blvd | Sand Lake Rd | Wallace Rd | 4.0 | 32,752 | -255 | 32,497 | 39,800 | 1,629 | -23 | 1,606 | 2,000 |
| 196.5 | International Dr | Pointe Plaza Avenue | Sand Lake Rd | 6.0 | 53,124 | -1,263 | 51,861 | 59,900 | 2,299 | -116 | 2,183 | 3,020 |
| 197.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Kirkman Rd | 4.0 | 52,767 | -815 | 51,952 | 33,800 | 1,962 | -75 | 1,887 | 1,700 |
| 501.0 | Interstate 4 | Beachline Expy | John Young Pkwy | 10.0 | 243,994 | -2,254 | 241,740 | 224,200 | 10,652 | -206 | 10,446 | 10,620 |
| 393.0 | Sand Lake Rd | Apopka-Vineland Rd | Dr. Phillips Blvd | 4.0 | 26,264 | -1,227 | 25,037 | 39,800 | 1,315 | -113 | 1,202 | 2,000 |
| 394.0 |  | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Turkey Lake Rd | 4.0 | 35,916 | -1,609 | 34,307 | 39,800 | 1,713 | -148 | 1,565 | 2,000 |
| 394.5 |  | Turkey Lake Rd | International Dr | 6.0 | 68,648 | -9,394 | 59,254 | 59,900 | 2,556 | -860 | 1,696 | 3,020 |
| 395.0 |  | International Dr | Kirkman Rd | 6.0 | 68,648 | -2,705 | 65,943 | 59,900 | 2,556 | -248 | 2,308 | 3,020 |
| 437.1 | Turkey Lake Rd | Sand Lake Commons Blvd | Sand Lake Rd | 4.0 | 56,082 | -2,340 | 53,742 | 39,800 | 2,448 | -215 | 2,233 | 2,000 |
| 438.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Wallace Rd | 4.0 | 37,491 | -13,343 | 24,148 | 39,800 | 1,798 | -1223 | 575 | 2,000 |
| 439.0 |  | Wallace Rd | Vineland Rd | 4.0 | 27,441 | -5,041 | 22,400 | 39,800 | 1,268 | -461 | 807 | 2,000 |
| 490.0 | Universal Blvd | Interstate 4 | Sand Lake Rd | 4.0 | 48,881 | -371 | 48,510 | 33,800 | 2,246 | -34 | 2,212 | 1,700 |
| 491.0 |  | Sand Lake Rd | Pointe Plaza Ave | 4.0 | 44,454 | -855 | 43,599 | 33,800 | 2,146 | -78 | 2,068 | 1,700 |
| 448.1 | Wallace Rd | Dr. Phillips Blvd | Turkey Lake Rd | 2.0 | 15,894 | -1,105 | 14,789 | 15,600 | 1,051 | -101 | 950 | 800 |

2030 Proposed Condition Analysis

## STUDY CONCLUSIONS

This Transportation Facilities Analysis was conducted in support of a CPPA application for the Majorca Apartments (Rialto Phase 2) Planned development located on the west side of Turkey Lake Road just north of West Sand Lake Road in Orange County, Florida. The analysis assessed the impacts on the roadway network resulting from the proposed amendment. The requested amendment is to change the FLUM designation of the property from Commercial Planned Development-PD to High Density Residential-PD. The findings of this analysis are as follows:

- The requested amendment will result in a net decrease of 19,487 daily trips and 1,785 peak hour trips on the roadway network.
- An analysis of existing conditions indicates that all roadway segments within the project's influence area currently operate at adequate Levels of Service except:
o I-4 - from Beachline Expressway to John Young Parkway
o Sand Lake Road - from International Drive to Kirkman Road
o Turkey Lake Road - from Sand Lake Commons Boulevard to Sand Lake Road
- The roadway capacity analysis for the interim year 2020 base conditions indicates that the study facilities will continue to operate adequately in the interim year except for the same as existing three roadway segments. The proposed amendment will not adversely impact the transportation facilities.
- In the CPP horizon year of 2030, the roadway facilities are projected to continue operating at adequate levels of service with the following exceptions:
o International Drive - from Sand lake Road to Kirkman Road
o Interstate 4 - from Beachline Expressway to John Young Parkway
o Sand Lake Road - from Turkey lake Road to International Drive
o Universal Boulevard - from I-4 to Pointe Plaza Avenue
o Wallace Road - from Dr. Phillips Boulevard to Turkey Lake Road

However, the proposed amendment will not adversely impact the transportation facilities and will reduce future roadway volumes on the roadway network.

APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A

## Existing CMS Data and 2013 Traffic Counts


Traffic Concurrency Management Program
Concurrency Link Information
APPLICATION \#:

| Lgth | Maint Agency | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | Function Class | Ln | AADT | PmPk | PkDir | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min } \\ & \text { Los } \end{aligned}$ | Total Cap | Comm <br> Trips | Avail Cap* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.85 | Cnty | U | Collector | 4 | 19,315 | 859 | NB | E | 2,000 | 119 | 1,022 |
| 1.4 | Cnty | U | Min Art | 4 | 23,254 | 830 | NB | E | 2,000 | 247 | 923 |
| 1.39 | Cnty | U | Min Art | 4 | 17,650 | 692 | WB | E | 1,700 | 129 | 879 |
| 7.41 | ST | U | Prin Art - Expy | 6 | 150,993 | 6,250 | NB | D | 3,500 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.71 | Cnty | U | Collector | 4 | 27,221 | 1,338 | EB | E | 2.000 | 32 | 586 |
| 0.62 | Cnty | U | Collector | 4 | 43,204 | 1,602 | WB | E | 2.000 | 138 | 260 |
| 0.43 | ST | U | Min Art | 6 | 62,707 | 2.148 | EB | E | 3.020 | 143 | 729 |
| 0.91 | ST | U | Min Art | 4 | 47,645 | 1.892 | WB | E | 2.000 | 199 | 9 |
| 1.43 | Cnty | U | Min Art | 4 | 33,675 | 1.664 | NB | E | 2,000 | 413 | 0 |
| 0.92 | Conty | U | Min Art | 4 | 30,504 | 1,269 | NB | E | 2.000 | 147 | 50.4 |
| 1.23 | Cnty | U | Min Art | 4 | 31,640 | 1,469 | SB | E | 2,000 | 76 | 43 |
| 1.06 | Cnty | U | Collector | 4 | 11.959 | 627 | SB | E | 1.706 | 53 | 1,010 |
| 1.02 | Cniy | U | Collector | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ | 10,590 | 780 | NB | E | 1,700 | 115 | 305 |
| \$. 03 | Cnty | U | Coliector | 2 | 13.713 | 965 | WB | E | 200 | 1.2 | ${ }^{4}$ |

[^0]Through Posted


port

${ }_{0}^{0.74} \mathbf{0}$ Miles N . Roper Rd
450 Ft S. Fowler Grove Bv 0.55 mi. E. of Apopka-Vineland Rd.
0.47 Miles S of Seminole County Line 0.47 Miles S of Semin Rd 0.53 Miles N of Colonial Dr 0.20 Miles S of Colonial Dr
0.19 Miles N of Lake Underhill Rd 0.19 Miles N of Lake Underhill Rd
0.29 Miles S. Lake Underhill Rd 0.16 Miles S. Berry Dease Rd 0.6 Miles E. of John Young Pkwy.
0.11 Miles N. kaley Av
400 FtS . Kaley Av 0.2 mi . E. of International Dr.
0.4 mi . W. of John Young Py. 0.4 mi . W. of John Young
0.41 Miles N. Colonial Dr
and 0.30 Miles S. Curry Ford Rd 0.28 Miles S. Lake Margret Dr
0.67 Miles W. Boggy Creek Rd 0.42 Miles S of Conroy-Windermere Rd 300 Ft N of Pine Springs Dr
0.21 Miles S of Wallace Rd 500 Ft E. S. Park Av
0.07 Miles S. University Bv 0.28 Miles N. Colonial Dr
0.58 Miles S. Colonial Dr 0.23 Miles S. Valencia College Ln
1.32 Miles S. Lake Underhill Rd 0.75 Miles N. of Lee Vista Bv
0.64 Miles N. Beggs Rd 0.23 Miles N. Forest City Rd
0.24 Miles S. Forest City Rd 0.16 Miles S. of Lee Rd
0.41 Miles S. Fairbanks Av 0.41 Miles S. Fairbanks Av
305 Ft S of Maury Rd 0
0
3
3
0
3
0
0
0
0 0.1 miles S. of Barberry Dr. 0.27 Miles W. Orlando Av 0.12 Miles W. Orange Av
0.22 Miles E. Orange Av 0.49 Miles W. Aloma Av



750 Ft. E. Powers Dr
0.4 mi. E. Candice Ct
0.20 Miles W of Universal Bv 150 Ft N of Jamaican Ct
482 Ft W of Convention Way 0.23 Miles SW of Avenida Vista
0.48 Miles Ne of Vineland Av
0.48 Miles Ne of Vineland Av
640 FtS of Westwood Bv
0.28 miles S of Central Florida Pkwy 411 Ft S of W Osceola Pkwy
0.25 Miles E of S Apopka Vineland Rd 1.30 W of SR 535 O.20 Miles S of Old Winter Garden Rd 600 Ft. E. of Wyndham Lake Bv. 0.4 Miles W. of Boggy Creek Rd.
0.2 Miles W. of Tradeport Dr. 600 Ft . S. of Wewahootee Rd. 400 Ft. E. of Moss Park Rd. 0.50 Miles S. Orange Blossom Tl
0.75 Miles N. Colonial Dr 0.17 Miles S. Colonial Dr 0.60 Miles S. East-West Ex (SR 408)
0.41 Miles N. Interstate 4









N
Counter Location




先

 "







| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Count } \\ & \text { Order } \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | Roadway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7126 | 20 | Tradeport Dr |
| 7129 | 40 | Tradeport Dr |
| 7127 | 20 | Tradeport Dr (One Way) |
| 7128 | 30 | Tradeport Dr (One Way) |
| 151 | 10 | Turkey Lake Rd |
| 1038 | 20 | Turkey Lake Rd |
| 152 | 30 | Turkey Lake Rd |
| 157 | 40 | Turkey Lake Rd |
| 6023 | 50 | Turkey Lake Rd |
| 7168 | 60 | Turkey Lake Rd |
| 8063 | 1 | Tyson Rd |
| 8023 | 1 | Universal Bv |
| 704 | 10 | Universal Bv |
| 705 | 20 | Universal Bv |
| 8090 | 30 | Universal Bv |
| 238 | 10 | University Bv |
| 236 | 20 | University Bv |
| 314.1 | 30 | University Bv |
| 241 | 40 | University Bv |
| 6079 | 50 | University Bv |
| 389 | 60 | University Bv |
| 251 | 70 | University Bv |
| 255 | 80 | University Bv |
| 243 | 10 | Valencia College Ln |
| 270 | 20 | Valencia College Ln |
| 7153 | 10 | Vineland Av |
| 7154 | 20 | Vineland Av |
| 7133 | 10 | Vineland Rd |
| 7134 | 20 | Vineland Rd |
| 7136 | 40 | Vineland Rd |
| 7135 | 30 | Vineland Rd |
| 6076 | 10 | Virginia Dr |
| 408 | 20 | Virginia Dr |
| 114 | 10 | W. Plant St |
| 108 | 20 | W. Plant St |
| 339.5 | 5 | Wallace Rd |
| 339 | 10 | Wallace Rd |
| 6048 | 20 | Washington St |
| 2011 | 10 | Waterford Chase Py |
| 8045 | 1 | Waterford Lake Pkwy |
| 799 | 10 | Wekiwa Springs Rd |
| 798 | 20 | Wekiwa Springs Rd |
| 25 | 30 | Wekiwa Springs Rd |
| 2017 | 10 | Welch Rd |
| 7049 | 10 | Welch Rd |
| 22 | 20 | Welch Rd |
| 454.9 | 10 | West Rd / Clarcona-Ocoee Rd |
| 2024 | 10 | West Rd / Ocoee Crown Pointe Py |
| 8015 | 1 | Westover Roberts Rd |
| 7149 | 10 | Westwood Bv |

 0.29 Miles S. Conroy-Windermere Rd
60 Ft W. Ferris Av 60 Ft W. Ferris Av
450 Ft E. Ferncreek Av

450 Ft E. Ferncreek Av 450 Ft E. Ferncreek Av
0.25 Miles W. Park Av
0.15 Miles E. Park Av 400 Ft E. Apopka Vineland Rd 500 Ft E. Spring Rain Dr
500 Ft E. Westmoreland
0.16 Mi. W. Seneca Falls Dr

400 Ft S. Welch Rd
400 FtS . Welch Rd
200 Ft S. Walnut Heights Rd
0.36 mi . W. Rock Springs Rd

600 Ft E. Ustler Rd




Westwood By
900 Ft N. Sand
0.2 Miles S. Sand Lake Rd 0.5 Miles N. Central Florida Py 400 Ft E. Narcoossee Rd 0.5 Miles N. Sand Lake Rd 600 FtS . International Dr 0.5 Miles S. Pointe Plaza 0.21 Miles E. Forsyth Rd 0.16 Miles W. Econlockatchee Tl 0.31 Miles E. Goldenrod Rd 0.11 Miles W. Dean Rd 0.25 Miles E. Dean Rd
E. Rouse Rd 0.25 Miles W. Econlockatchee Tl
0.75 Mile NE. SR 535
0.5 Miles NW. International Dr
400 Ft E. Backlot Rd 0.25 Miles W. Econlockatchee Tl
0.75 Mile NE. SR 535
0.5 Miles NW. International Dr
400 Ft E. Backlot Rd

300 Ft E. Cypress Creek Bv 0.16 Miles N. Conroy-Windermere Rd
0.29 Miles S. Conroy-Windermere Rd 0.37 Miles E. Alafaya Tl

## APPENDIX B

Programmed and Planned Improvements
improvement needs by five-year increments (2020, 2025, 2030, and 2040). The major assumption is that each project is completed within the five-year increments. A project cost was developed for each improvement using year 2013 as the base and coordinated with each of the local agencies. For SIS facilities, the costs were provided by FDOT. A cost was estimated for the year 2013 using the FDOT-provided inflation factors to have a common base for all categories.

All project needs - after removing those deemed constrained and/ or in exception areas or transportation districts (see Figure 4) - were prioritized. Different methodologies were used by each county to complete the ranking. Projects were then separated into three categories, based on the funding source eligibility: SIS, priority, and county.

SIS projects were based on the Cost Feasible Plan from FDOT. The MetroPlan Orlando priority projects include those deemed affordable, based on the available revenues by plan year. The county project list was pared down until anticipated revenues were exhausted. The cost feasible toll facilities list was developed using the master plans from Florida's Turnpike Enterprise, Central Florida Expressway Authority (formerly OOCEA), and the Osceola County Expressway Authority(OCX) and information gathered from each of the agencies to allocate projects into the anticipated years.

Based on projections, Orange County was able to fund 37 of 155 county projects. Osceola County was able to fund all 116 county project needs, plus 13 federal/ state projects. Seminole County was able to fund 22 of the 23 highway projects. Figure 7 provides the highway system component of the 2040 Cost Feasible Plan.

Lists of the adopted plan SIS, federal/ state, county/ city, and expressway/ toll road projects are presented in the following pages. The plan was unanimously adopted by MetroPlan Orlando Board on J une 11, 2014. Detailed project financial and priority information can be found in Appendix A: Budget Allocation.

| TABLE 5: STRATEGIC INTERMODAL SYSTEM (SIS) PROJ ECTS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Roadway | From | To | Improvement | Funded <br> by |
| I-4 | Polk/ Osceola <br> County Line | SR 435/ Kirkman Rd | Ultimate Configuration for <br> General Use \& Managed <br> Lanes | 2025 |


| Roadway | From | To | Improvement | Funded by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 15/ Hoffner Ave * | N of Lee Vista Blvd | W of SR 436 | Widen to 4 Lanes | 2020 |
| SR 15/ Hoffner Ave * | W of SR 436 | Conway Rd. | Widen to 4 Lanes | 2020 |
| SR 530/ US 192 * | Lake/ Orange Co. Line | E of Secret Lake Dr. | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| SR 482/ Sand Lake Rd. * | W of Turkey Lake Rd. | Universal Blvd. | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| SR 482/ Sand Lake Rd * | Universal Blvd. | W of John Young Pkwy. | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| J ohn Young Pkwy.* | at SR 482/ Sand Lake Rd. |  | Flyover | 2020 |
| SR 426/ CR 419 * | Pine Ave. | Avenue B | Widen to 4 Lanes | 2020 |
| SR 600/ US 17/ 92 * | W of Poinciana Blvd. | CR 535/ Ham Brown Rd. | Widen to 4 Lanes | 2020 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SR } 15 / 600 / \text { US } \\ & 17 / 92^{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Shepard Rd. | Lake Mary Blvd. | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| J ohn Young Pkwy. * | Portage St. | SR 530/ US 192 | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| US 17-92 * | Poinciana Blvd | Ham Brown Rd | Widen to 4 Lanes | 2020 |
| US 17/ 92 J ohn Young Pkwy | Portage St | US 192/ Vine St | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| SR 46 | Mellonville Ave. | SR 415 | Widen to 4 Lanes | 2020 |
| SR 434/ Forest City Rd. | Edgewater Dr. | Orange/ Seminole Co. Line | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| SR 423/J ohn Young Pkwy. | SR 50 | Shader Rd. | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| SR 434 | at CR 427 |  | Improve Intersection | 2020 |
| SR 434 | Range Line Rd. | US 17/ 92 | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements | 2020 |
| Hoagland Blvd. Phase 2 | US 17/ 92 | 5th St. | Widen to 4 Lanes/ Realign | 2020 |
| SR 414/ Maitland Blvd. | I-4 | Maitland Ave. | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| SR 434 | Smith St. | Franklin St. | Widen to 4 Lanes - Phase 1 | 2020 |
| SR 426/ CR 419 | Pine Ave. | Avenue B | ```Widen to 4 Lanes - Phase 2``` | 2025 |
| CR 419 | Avenue B | W of Lockwood Blvd. | ```Widen to 4 Lanes - Phase 3``` | 2025 |
| SR 50 | E. Old Cheney Hwy. | SR 520 | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2025 |
| SR 527/ Orange Ave. | SR 482/ Sand Lake Rd. | SR 15/ Hoffner Ave. | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 434/ Alafaya Tr. | SR 50 | McCulloch Rd. | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 15/ 600/US <br> 17/ 92 \& Lee Rd Ext | Norfolk Ave SR15/ 600/ US 17/92 | Monroe St./ Denning Dr | Construct medians/ improve Intersection/ Extend Road | 2025 |
| SR 46 | SR 415 | CR 426 | Safety Improvements Phase 1 | 2025 |
| SR 46 | SR 415 | CR 426 | Widen to 4 Lanes - Phase 2 | 2025 |
| J ohn Young Pkwy. | Pleasant Hill Rd. | Portage St. | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2025 |
| SR 535 | Orange/ Osceola Co. Line | I-4 | Widen to 6 Lanes (2 miles) and 8 Lanes ( 1.5 miles) PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| * Refer to FY14/ 15 - FY18/ 19 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) |  |  |  |  |


| SR 438/ Silver Star Rd | SR 429 | Bluford Ave | Widen to 4 Lanes - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 527/ Orange Ave | Pineloch Ave | Anderson St | Multimodal / CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 436 | US 17/ 92 | Wilshire Dr. | Widen to 8 Lanes/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 436 | Newburyport Ave | CR 427/ Ronald Reagan Blvd. | Intersection Improvements <br> - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 434 | SR 417 | Mitchell Hammock Rd | Widen to 4 Lanes - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| US 17/ 92 | at Pleasant Hill Rd |  | Inters Improv/ Potent. Flyover/ Crossover Diverted Left turn lanes PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| US 17/ 92 | SR 417 | SR 46/ 1st St | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 436 | Orlando International Airport | Orange/ Seminole Co. Line | Multimodal/ Context Sensitive Improvements to incl BRT - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 527/ Orange Ave | SR 50 | Princeton St | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| Virginia Dr./ Forrest Ave./ Corrine Dr. | SR 527/ Orange Ave | Bennett Rd | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| US 17/ 92 | SR 50 | Princeton St | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 15/ Conway Rd | at Gatlin Ave |  | Add Turn Lanes - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 436 | I-4 | US 17/ 92 | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 424/ Edgewater Dr. | at SR <br> 426/ Fairbanks Ave |  | ```Add Turn Lanes - PD&E Only``` | 2025 |
| SR 500/ US 441 | at Piedmont Wekiva Rd |  | ```Add Turn Lanes - PD&E Only``` | 2025 |
| SR 551/ Goldenrod Rd | SR 408 | SR 50 | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 526/ Robinson St | Rosalind Ave. | Maguire Blvd | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 50 | Orange Ave | Bumby Ave | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 424/ Edgewater Dr. | at SR 423/ Lee Rd |  | Add Turn Lanes - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| US 17/ 92 | Shepard Rd | Dog Track Rd | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 436 | Orlando International Airport | Orange/ Seminole Co. Line | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 436 | Wilshire Dr. | Orange/ Seminole Co. Line | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 426/ Aloma Ave | SR 436 | Orange/ Seminole Co. Line | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 482/ Sand Lake Rd | SR 500/ US 441 | SR 527/ Orange Ave | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 50 | Bumby Ave | Old Cheney Hwy. | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 552/ Curryford Rd. | Crystal Lake Dr. | SR 436 | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |


| SR 500/ US 441 | I-4 | SR 50 | Multimodal/ CSS <br> Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| SR 423/ Lee Rd | at I-4 |  | Add Turn Lanes - PD\&E <br> Only | 2025 |
| SR 435/ Kirkman Rd | SR 482/ Sand Lake <br> Rd | SR 50 | Multimodal/ CSS <br> Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 434 | Maitland Blvd. | SR 436 | Multimodal/ CSS <br> Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| US 17/ 92 | Lake Mary Blvd | SR 417 | Widen to 6 Lanes - PD\&E <br> Only | 2025 |
| SR 500/ US 441 | at Plymouth <br> Sorrento Rd | N. Tampa Ave | Hughey Ave | Add Turn Lanes - PD\&E <br> Only |
| SR 50 | SR 50 | Multimodal/ CSS <br> Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |  |
| SR 500/ US 441 | SR 435/ Kirkman Rd | N. Tampa Ave | Convert roadway segment <br> from rural to urban - <br> PD\&E Only | 2025 |
| SR 50 | Multimodal/ CSS <br> Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |  |  |
| SR 434 | MR 436 | Osceola Pkwy | Widen to 6 Lanes - PD\&E <br> Only | 2025 |
| SR 500/ US 441 | US 192 | Multimodal/ CSS <br> Improvements - PD\&E Only | 2025 |  |


| TABLE 7: ORANGE COUNTY PROJ ECTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway | From | To | Improvement | Funded by |
| Apopka-Vineland Road (SR 535) | SR 536 | I-4 WB Ramp | Widen to 8 Lanes | 2020 |
| SR 15 (Narcoossee Road) | SR 528 (BeachLine Expressway) | Lee Vista Boulevard | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| Apopka-Vineland Road (SR 535) | Osceola County Line | SR 536 | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| Central Florida Parkway | International Drive | SR 423 (J ohn Young Parkway) | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| SR 423 (J ohn Young Parkway) ** | SR 50 (Colonial Drive) | Shader Road | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2020 |
| International Drive | Hawaian Court | SR 482 | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2025 |
| Apopka-Vineland Road | CR 535 | Fenton Avenue | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2025 |
| Landstar Boulevard | Osceola County Line | SR 417 | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2025 |
| Destination Parkway | Universal Boulevard | J ohn Young Parkway | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2025 |
| Conway Road | Hoffner Road | Michigan Street | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2025 |
| Apopka-Vineland Road | Darlene Road | Kilgore Road | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2025 |
| US 441 (Orange Blossom Trail) | SR 50 (Colonial Drive) | J ohn Young Parkway | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2025 |
| J eff Fuqua Boulevard | 13 miles South of Boggy Creek Road | Heintzelman <br> Boulevard | Widen to 4 Lanes | 2025 |
| Conway Road | J udge Road | Hoffner Road | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2030 |
| **Refer to Prioritized Project List (PPL) |  |  |  |  |


| New Independence <br> Pkwy/ Wellness Way | Lake County Line | SR 429 | New/ Widen 4 Lanes | 2030 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Alafaya Trail | Huckleberry Finn <br> Drive | Lake Underhill Road | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2030 |
| Apopka-Vineland <br> Road | Kilgore Road | SR 482 | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2030 |
| Hiawassee Road | SR 50 | Silver Star Road | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2030 |
| Apopka-Vineland <br> Road | Fenton Avenue | Darlene Road | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2030 |
| Lake Nona <br> Boulevard | Tavistock Lakes <br> Boulevard | SR 417 (Greenway) | Widen to 6 Lanes | 2030 |
| Universal Boulevard | SR 482 | Pointe Plaza Avenue | Widen to 6 Lanes | - |

Orange County, Florida Public Works Department's 10 -Year Roadway Program
(by Fiscal Year)




## APPENDIX C

Orange County -Transportation Impact Fee Schedule
Orange County | Transportation Impact Fee Update

| Table D-1 (continued) <br> Calculated Transportation Impact Fee Schedule |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 1 \mathrm{ire} \\ \text { wuc } \end{array}$ | Land Use | Unit | Trip Rale | Trip rate Source | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Assessbie Trip } \\ \text { lenght } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total Trip Length | Trip Length Source $\qquad$ | \% New Trips | \% New Trips Source: | Na Vmili | Towalimpact Cost | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Annual cas } \\ \mathrm{Tax} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gas rax } \\ & \text { credi } \end{aligned}$ | Nal Impasal Fee | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curernt } \\ & \text { Adopted If } \\ & \text { Rate. } \end{aligned}$ | \% Charge |
| Retall: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 820 | Petal 500,001-1,000,000 styla ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | 1.000 spla | 30.33 | ITE 8tioquation | 3.51 | 4.01 | FL Curef ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ | 81\% | Flarve ${ }^{\text {Ef }}$ | 30.70 | \$12,09 | \$184 | \$2.593 | \$9,498 | S6,702 | 42\% |
| 820 | Retal 1,000,000-1,200,000 stya $\mathrm{a}^{\text {a }}$ | 1.000 spla | 28.46 | ITE 8 b equation | 3.75 | 4.25 | FLarve ${ }^{(1)}$ | 82\% | FLarve ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ | 31.16 | \$12,271 | \$185 | \$2,007 | \$9,664 | S6.118 | 58\% |
| 820 | Pealai greater than $1,200,000$ styla ${ }^{(29)}$ | 1,000 spla | 26.96 | IE Bit equation | 3.99 | 4.49 | FLarve ${ }^{(6)}$ | 83\% | Flarve ${ }^{\text {dit }}$ | 31.78 | \$12,519 | \$187 | \$2,036 | \$9,883 | \$5,953 | 69\% |
| 841 | NowNsed Aub Salos | 1,000 st | 26.40 | Blend ITE 8 in \& | 4.83 | 5.33 | Fl Stuios | 79\% | Fl. Sudios | 35.86 | \$14,124 | $\$ 207$ | \$2.917 | \$11,207 | \$4.799 | 134\% |
| 880 | Supemaket | 1.000 st | 10338 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Biend IE } 8 \mathrm{k} \text { \& } \\ \text { FL Studies } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 218 | 268 | FLSwdies | 56\% | Fl. Studies | 44.93 | \$17,996 | $\$ 290$ | \$4,087 | \$13,609 | \$10,208 | 33\% |
| 853 | Converience Maket w/Gs Pumps | 1,000 st | 75.14 | Blend TIE 8hs | 1.59 | 2.09 | FLSudies | 28\% | Fl. Studies | 122.85 | S48,386 | 5847 | \$11,938 | \$26,448 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | na |
| 862 | Home hiprovemend Supersiore | 1,000 st | 29.80 | Ife 8in Edition | 252 | 3.02 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Same as LUC } \\ \text { B20 (100-200k) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 67\% | Same as LUC 820 (100-200k) | 17.91 | \$7,055 | \$113 | \$1,593 | \$5.462 | n/a | na |
| 863 | Eectorics Supersbre | 1,000 st | 45.04 | ME Sh Edition | 1.33 | 1.83 | Same as LUC 820 ( 550 K ) | 43\% | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Same as LUC } 820 \\ (50 \mathrm{~K}) \end{array}$ | 9.17 | \$3.612 | \$66 | 5930 | \$2,682 | Na | Na |
| 912 | BankSavings Divo-h | 1,000 of | 159.34 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Biend ITE 8it \& } \\ \text { FL Sudies } \end{array}$ | 2.58 | 3.08 | Flswdies | 46\% | FL Studies | 67.32 | 520.515 | 421 | \$5,94 | \$20,581 | \$19,544 | 5\% |
| 925 | Dinking Place | 1.000 st | 113.40 |  | 1.33 | 1.83 | Same as LUC 820 ( $<50 \mathrm{~K}$ ) | 43\% | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Same as LivC } 8200 \\ \text { (50K) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | 23.09 | \$9,093 | \$167 | \$2,354 | \$6,739 | na | na |
| 931 | Quaity Restaurant | 1.000 st | 91.10 | Blend ITE 8th \& FLStudies | 3.30 | 3.80 | FL.Sudies | 77\% | FLStudies | 8241 | \$32,457 | я97 | \$7,005 | \$25,452 | \$10,018 | 154\% |
| 932 | Haht Tumover Restarant | 1.000 st | 116.60 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Blend } \Pi \text { IE } 8 \hbar \text { \& } \\ \text { FL Studies } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3.33 | 3.83 | FLStuios | 71\% | FL Studies | 99.14 | \$38,654 | \$592 | \$8,34 | \$20,310 | \$13,785 | 120\% |
| 934 | Fast food Rest widive-Thu | 1,000 st | 51.00 | Blend TE 8\% \& Fl Studies | 2.15 | 265 | FLSwdies | 58\% | FL Studies | 226.85 | \$89,346 | \$1,466 | \$20,662 | \$68,684 | \$21,809 | 214\% |
| 944 | Sarvice Staion | thol pos. | 168.56 | IEPA Edition | 200 | 250 | Fl. Sudies | 23\% | Fl Studies | 27.60 | \$10.872 | 5181 | \$2.551 | \$8,321 | n/a | Na |
| 947 | Sallservice Ca Wash | wash station | 10800 | TE8, Edifion | 229 | 279 | Fl Sudies | 68\% | FLStudies | 59.87 | \$23,581 | 5382 | \$5,334 | \$18,197 | Na | no |
| n/a | Tounis Retal | 1,000 st | 74.99 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Previous if } \\ & \text { Sudy } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 3.50 | 4.00 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Previous Tif } \\ \text { Susty } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 40\% | Previous If | 37.38 | \$14.720 | 5224 | \$3,157 | \$11,563 | \$3.638 | 218\% |
| n/a | Aub Service | 1.000 st | 25.67 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Provious If } \\ \text { Study } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 837 | 8.87 |  | 51\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Provious } \begin{array}{c} \text { IIF } \\ \text { Study } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 39.01 | \$15,344 | 527 | \$3,058 | \$12,306 | \$3,792 | 225\% |
| N/a | Dug Sbre | 1,000 st | 88.45 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Provious if } \\ & \text { Sudy }{ }^{(\pi)} \end{aligned}$ | 4.07 | 4.57 | Previous TIF <br> Sudy ${ }^{(3)}$ | 50\% | Previous TF Study ${ }^{(3)}$ | 64.09 | \$25,241 | 537 | 55.313 | \$19,928 | \$6,242 | 219\% |

[^1]Table D-1 (continued)

# Apartment <br> (220) 

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units <br> On a: Weekday

Number of Studies: 88
Avg. Number of Dwelling Units: 210
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.65 | $1.27 \ldots 12.50$ | 3.07 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Apartment <br> (220)

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Number of Studies: 90
Avg. Number of Dwelling Units: 233
Directional Distribution: $65 \%$ entering, $35 \%$ exiting
Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.62 | $0.10-1.64$ | $\cdots$ |

Data Plot and Equation


## Shopping Center (820)

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Feet Gross Leasable Area On a: Weekday

## Number of Studies: 302 <br> Average 1000 Sq. Feet GLA: 331

Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Feet Gross Leasable Area

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42.70 | $12.50-270.89$ | 21.25 |

Data Plot and Equation

$\times$ Actual Data Points
Fitted Curve

## Average Rate

Fitted Curve Equation: $\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.65 \operatorname{Ln}(X)+5.83$
$\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 7 9}$

## Shopping Center <br> (820)

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Feet Gross Leasable Area <br> On a: Weekday, <br> Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Number of Studies: 426<br>Average 1000 Sq. Feet GLA: 376<br>Directional Distribution: 48\% entering, 52\% exiting

Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Feet Gross Leasable Area

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.71 | $0.68-29.27$ | 2.74 |

Data Plot and Equation


APPENDIX D
Model Output Plot

## Project Distribution(Residential)



## Project Distribution(Residential)



## Project Distribution(Commercial)



## Project Distribution (Commercial)



## APPENDIX E

Orange County 2030 Tables





## APPENDIX F

Traffic Trends Analysis Worksheets

TRAFFIC TRENDS



TRAFFIC TRENDS
I-Drive -- Pointe Plaza to Sand Lake



*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS

| ขл!!a-I | :Кемиб! |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stot әбиело | :\# uolyeis |



*Axle-Adjusted
TRAFFIC TRENDS

| County: | Orange |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | $75-0130$ |
| Highway: | Interstate 4 |




TRAFFIC TRENDS
sd!!l!पd גa of pueןəu!^ eydody -- peoy әуеר pues

| County: | Orange |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 155 |
| Highway: | Sand Lake Road |



TRAFFIC TRENDS
peoy әуеך Кәулnı of sd!!!!पd גव -- peoy әуеר pues




## *Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS

*Axle-Adjusted
TRAFFIC TRENDS

TRAFFIC TRENDS

TRAFFIC TRENDS

peoy әэеןкем от peoy әуеר pues -- peoy әуеา Кәуın」

## *Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS

*Axle-Adjusted
TRAFFIC TRENDS

*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
Univeral Boulevard -- Sand Lake Road to south of Pointe Plaza



*Axle-Adjusted
TRAFFIC TRENDS

*Axle-Adjusted


[^0]:    change at any time. change at any time.

    Wednesday, Februay 14, 2015

[^1]:    Tindale-Oiver \& Associates, Inc.
    September 2012

