

From: Jeff Talton
To: [Robert Grimaldi](#); [Smith, Katie](#)
Cc: ["aaron@brevardlegal.com"](mailto:aaron@brevardlegal.com); [Vaupe, Jessica](#); [Lofton, Keondra](#); [Mercado, Anissa](#); [Ramirez, Natasha](#); [Lucero Carrillo-Moctezuma](#); [Grace E. Pope](#); [Karen Ashmeade](#)
Subject: RE: Petitions 2019-430 through 436
Date: Friday, April 17, 2020 1:04:53 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[image010.png](#)
[image011.png](#)
[image012.png](#)
[image013.png](#)
[image014.png](#)
[Reconsideration 2019-430 through 436.pdf](#)

All,

I am in agreement to the attached corrected values for petitions 2019-430 through 436 as stated from Orange County attorney. Please let this email serve as Aarons official approval with the reconsideration.

Thanks,

Jeff Talton
Senior Director

c. 678.848.2571 | f. 404.521.4977
jeff.talton@silveroakadvisors.com



1801 Peachtree St NE | Suite 225
Atlanta, GA 30309
SilverOakAdvisors.com

This email is confidential, and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the use of the addressee. Access to it by any other persons is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken, or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. When addressed to our clients, any opinions or advice herein are based on facts as stated and authorities that are subject to change, retroactively or prospectively.

Any advice contained in this email is limited to the conclusions specifically set forth herein and is based on the completeness and accuracy of the above-stated facts, assumptions and representations, presumed to be entirely complete and accurate. If any are not entirely complete and accurate, it is imperative that we be informed immediately, as that may have a material impact on our conclusions. We are relying upon the relevant provisions of the state and local statutes, the regulations there under, and the judicial interpretations thereof. These authorities are subject to change or modification.

From: Robert Grimaldi <rgrimaldi@ocpafll.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2020 4:59 PM
To: 'Smith, Katie' <Katie.Smith@occompt.com>; Jeff Talton <jeff.talton@silveroakadvisors.com>
Cc: 'aaron@brevardlegal.com' <aaron@brevardlegal.com>; Vaupe, Jessica <Jessica.Vaupe@occompt.com>; Lofton, Keondra <Keondra.Lofton@occompt.com>; Mercado, Anissa <Anissa.Mercado@occompt.com>; Ramirez, Natasha <Natasha.Ramirez@occompt.com>;

Lucero Carrillo-Moctezuma <lcarrillo-moctezuma@ocpafl.org>; Grace E. Pope <gpope@ocpafl.org>; Karen Ashmeade <kashmeade@ocpafl.org>

Subject: RE: Petitions 2019-430 through 436

Good afternoon,

Please see the attached Request for Reconsideration.

Thank you,

Robert Grimaldi, Esq.

Legal Advisor

Representing Rick Singh, CFA | Orange County Property Appraiser

200 S. Orange Ave | Suite 1700 | Orlando, FL 32801

407.836.5030 work | 407.836.5051 fax

rgrimaldi@ocpafl.org | www.ocpafl.org



This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for the individual or entity named. This message may be an attorney-client communication, and as such is privileged and confidential. If you are not the named addressee in this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution, copying, forwarding of this e-mail is prohibited and to do so might constitute a violation of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. section 2510-2521. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. In the event this communication contains a discussion of any U.S. federal or other tax-related matters, and unless specifically stated otherwise, this discussion is preliminary in nature and is subject to further factual development and technical analysis. Unless specifically stated otherwise, no part of this communication constitutes a formal legal conclusion or opinion of any kind.

From: Smith, Katie <Katie.Smith@occompt.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2020 8:18 AM

To: Robert Grimaldi <rgrimaldi@ocpafl.org>; 'JEFF.TALTON@SILVEROAKADVISORS.COM' <JEFF.TALTON@SILVEROAKADVISORS.COM>

Cc: 'aaron@brevardlegal.com' <aaron@brevardlegal.com>; Vaupel, Jessica <Jessica.Vaupel@occompt.com>; Lofton, Keondra <Keondra.Lofton@occompt.com>; Mercado, Anissa <Anissa.Mercado@occompt.com>; Ramirez, Natasha <Natasha.Ramirez@occompt.com>

Subject: RE: Petitions 2019-430 through 436

Good Morning Robert and Jeff.

Let's take the appropriate action to correct the analyst's reporting error and address the comments provided during the final meeting on April 14. In terms of process, ordinarily, the proper course of action would be a request for reconsideration as was done for various other petitions (2019-02145, 2019-02337 and 2019-02351.) And, we know that whatever was stated on the record during the final meeting cannot impact the special magistrate's recommendation unless acted upon by the VAB. Moreover, petitioner, Jeff Talton as copied, was not in attendance at the meeting. It is imperative that Jeff concur and respond in writing to Roberts request for reconsideration described below. This is not a new hearing and no evidence will considered.

Jeff - if you concur with remedying the incorrect value read into the record, then Robert

shall do the following.

Robert, please submit a Request for Reconsideration of the Value Adjustment Board's (VAB) decision on April 14, 2020, wherein the VAB accepted the special magistrate's recommendation to deny. You should describe the issue with your request to reconsider the VAB's decision to accept the special magistrate recommendation for petitions 2019-00430, 00431, 00432, 00433, 00434, 00435 and 00436. Explain the impacts of correcting the before values as well as whether or not this change impacts the tax roll. If you can do this as soon as possible, it will give Jeff time to respond and we should be able to fix this value issue as a discussion item. This needs to be as soon as possible. Aaron, if this occurs, I will ask that you present the issue to the VAB recapping what occurred and acknowledging that the both petition and property appraiser's office concur.

When responding, please respond all.

Much appreciated.

Katie Smith, CMC
Deputy Clerk
Manager, Clerk of the Board Department
201 S. Rosalind Avenue, Orlando, FL 32801
Phone 407-836-7301; Fax 407-836-5382
katie.smith@occompt.com



Florida has a very broad public records law. As a result, any written communication created or received by Orange County Comptroller officials and employees will be made available to the public and media, upon request, unless otherwise exempt, pursuant to Florida or Federal law. Under Florida law, email addresses are public records. If you do not want your email address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this office. Instead, contact our office by phone or in writing.



Sign up today and protect yourself from Property Fraud!

From: Robert Grimaldi <rgrimaldi@ocpaf.org>

Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2020 4:59 PM

To: Smith, Katie <Katie.Smith@occompt.com>; Vaupel, Jessica <Jessica.Vaupel@occompt.com>

Cc: 'aaron@brevardlegal.com' <aaron@brevardlegal.com>

Subject: RE: Petitions 2019-430 through 436

Just an update: we do have communication with the petitioner, according to the team, and they are searching for it.

Robert Grimaldi, Esq.

Legal Advisor

Representing Rick Singh, CFA | Orange County Property Appraiser

200 S. Orange Ave | Suite 1700 | Orlando, FL 32801

407.836.5030 work | 407.836.5051 fax

rgrimaldi@ocpafl.org | www.ocpafl.org



This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for the individual or entity named. This message may be an attorney-client communication, and as such is privileged and confidential. If you are not the named addressee in this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution, copying, forwarding of this e-mail is prohibited and to do so might constitute a violation of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. section 2510-2521. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. In the event this communication contains a discussion of any U.S. federal or other tax-related matters, and unless specifically stated otherwise, this discussion is preliminary in nature and is subject to further factual development and technical analysis. Unless specifically stated otherwise, no part of this communication constitutes a formal legal conclusion or opinion of any kind.

From: Smith, Katie <Katie.Smith@occompt.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2020 3:27 PM

To: Robert Grimaldi <rgrimaldi@ocpafl.org>; Vaupel, Jessica <Jessica.Vaupel@occompt.com>

Cc: 'aaron@brevardlegal.com' <aaron@brevardlegal.com>

Subject: RE: Petitions 2019-430 through 436

I'll put it on the agenda during the second final meeting. One question – have you been in contact with the petitioner? And, I am assuming they were denied.

From: Robert Grimaldi <rgrimaldi@ocpafl.org>

Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2020 3:23 PM

To: Smith, Katie <Katie.Smith@occompt.com>; Vaupel, Jessica <Jessica.Vaupel@occompt.com>

Cc: 'aaron@brevardlegal.com' <aaron@brevardlegal.com>

Subject: Petitions 2019-430 through 436

Katie, Jessica, and Aaron,

There was one issue that I brought up in my first statement to the board this afternoon and it was about petitions 430-436. I understand that the meeting subsequently went a bit in depth on other issues so this issue was passed over. For each of the petitions, our analyst inadvertently read the wrong values into the record. The values were actually lowered in favor of the petitioner prior to the hearing. There has been some previous correspondence between Lucero and the VAB clerks but, understandably, the magistrate has not or cannot change the value on the recommendation because it was not mentioned at hearing. However, the math on the magistrate's recommendation also does not add up due to this discrepancy. We do want the record to reflect the correct values so I was asked to read them into the record during the final meeting. I am not sure if that is the appropriate action however. Since we have a second final meeting, I was hoping you could provide some insight.

Thank you,

Robert Grimaldi, Esq.

Legal Advisor

Representing Rick Singh, CFA | Orange County Property Appraiser

200 S. Orange Ave | Suite 1700 | Orlando, FL 32801

407.836.5030 work | 407.836.5051 fax

rgrimaldi@ocpafl.org | www.ocpafl.org



This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for the individual or entity named. This message may be an attorney-client communication, and as such is privileged and confidential. If you are not the named addressee in this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution, copying, forwarding of this e-mail is prohibited and to do so might constitute a violation of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. section 2510-2521. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. In the event this communication contains a discussion of any U.S. federal or other tax-related matters, and unless specifically stated otherwise, this discussion is preliminary in nature and is subject to further factual development and technical analysis. Unless specifically stated otherwise, no part of this communication constitutes a formal legal conclusion or opinion of any kind.