



September 29, 2020

TO:

Mayor Jerry L. Demings —AND— Board of County Commissioners

FROM:

Jon V. Weiss, P.E., Director Planning, Environmental, and Development Services Department

CONTACT PERSON:

Brandy Driggers, MPA, Assistant Manager Zoning Division 407-836-5329

SUBJECT:

October 13, 2020 – Work Session Item Orange County Public Schools School Impact Fee Study Update

AGENDA

On May 10, 2016, the Board approved an ordinance updating school impact fees and student generation rates. Subsequent to that approval, and pursuant to the School Impact Fee Ordinance ("the Ordinance"), Orange County Public Schools began working on an interim fee study prior to the required four year interval. Per the Ordinance, the School Impact Fee Advisory Committee ("Committee") is charged with reviewing the Impact Fee Study.

This Committee reconvened on October 22, 2018, in preparation for review of the interim Orange County Public Schools School Impact Fee Study Update prepared by Tindale-Oliver. Pursuant to the Ordinance, the Committee consisted of seven appointed members: Eric Marks, Chairperson, Christine Bramuchi, Vice Chairperson, Juli Simas James, Roy Messinger, John Martinez, Tara Tedrow, and Dotti Wynn. The members met 10 times between October 2018 and August 2020 to review the methodology and components of the Impact Fee Study. The Committee's recommendations have been incorporated in the 2019 Orange County Public Schools School Impact Fee Study Update Final Report dated September 18, 2020, which is attached.

The methodology reviewed by the Committee involved the evaluation of the following components:

- Inventory
- Service Area and Enrollment
- Facility Service Delivery
- Cost Component
- Credit Component

#### Page Two

October 13, 2020 – Work Session Item

Orange County Public Schools School Impact Fee Study Update

- Net Impact Cost per Student
- Student Generation Rate
- Calculated Impact Fee Schedule
- School Impact Fee Schedule Comparison

At the October 13, 2020, Work Session, the consultant, Tindale-Oliver, will present the methodology and findings of the Impact Fee Study. Adoption of the final Impact Fee Study and updates to the code, including a new school impact fee schedule, will occur via a series of subsequent public hearings in the coming months.

This presentation is for information purposes only.

JVW/SS/mu:rep

#### Attachment



September 28, 2020

TO:

Mayor Jerry L. Demings -AND-Board of County Commissioners

Chairperson, Teresa Jacobs -AND-Orange County School Board

Superintendent Barbara M. Jenkins

FROM:

Chairperson, Eric Marks -AND-

Orange County School Impact Fee Advisory Committee

#### CONTACT PERSON: Christopher R. Testerman, AICP Deputy County Administrator

SUBJECT: Recommendation Report on the 2018/19 Orange County Public Schools School Impact Fee Study Update

The School Impact Fee Advisory Committee ("Committee") officially reconvened on October 22, 2018, to review the 2019 Orange County Public Schools School Impact Fee Study Update ("Impact Fee Study") prepared by Tindale-Oliver. Pursuant to the School Impact Fee Ordinance, codified at Article V, Chapter 23, Orange County Code (the "Ordinance") the group consisted of seven appointed members: Eric Marks, Chairperson, Christine Bramuchi, Vice Chairperson, Juli Simas James, Roy Messinger, John Martinez, Tara Tedrow, and Dotti Wynn. The members met ten (10) times total between October of 2018 and August of 2020 to review the methodology and components of the Impact Fee Study. Seven (7) of those meetings were between October of 2018 and June of 2019, culminating with a recommendation by the Committee on the Orange County Public Schools School Impact Fee Study Update Final Report dated August 9, 2019. However, due to legislative changes in the 2019 Legislative Session, OCPS asked the Committee to reconvene to consider additional changes to the methodology related to tiering of fees for single-family units, the inclusion of an interest carrying cost, and modifications to the

credit component of the impact fee methodology (collectively, the "Methodology Changes"). The Committee then held an additional three (3) meetings in July and August of 2020 to consider the Methodology Changes. The Committee's recommendations, including the Methodology Changes, have been incorporated in the Orange County Public Schools School Impact Fee Study Update Final Report dated September 18, 2020, and the accompanying draft Ordinance.

The methodology reviewed by the Committee included the following components:

- Inventory
- Service Area and Enrollment
- Facility Service Delivery
- Cost Component
- Credit Component
- Net Impact Cost per Student
- Student Generation Rates
- Calculated Impact Fee Schedule
- School Impact Fee Schedule Comparison

Once the Committee agreed on the methodology, Tindale-Oliver provided an impact fee comparison of the existing fee, the fee calculated using the 2011 and 2016 methodologies, and the fee calculated with the Committee's recommended changes to the 2019 methodology. The most significant changes were the creation of a separate fee category and student generation rate for multi-family high rise units and the approval of a tiered impact fee for single-family homes based on square footage to more equitably reflect the impacts of those land use types.

In addition to the initial methodology review, the Committee considered the Methodology Changes related to the School Impact Fee; one of which was whether to adjust the credit component of the methodology to provide a credit for only those capital millage revenues generated by residential land uses, as opposed to the current method which provides a credit for both residential and non-residential land uses. The committee was unable to reach a consensus on this issue and suggested that the Board of County Commissioners should discuss this as a policy consideration. Additionally, the Committee declined to include an interest cost / time value component in the fee methodology. This was intended to provide additional revenue to OCPS to recoup the interest expense or lost opportunity on funds that OCPS uses during construction of a new school facility to the extent that OCPS uses Certificates of Participation (COPs), or debt service, to fund new schools. However, given that OCPS is not issuing any new debt associated with capacity projects, the Committee declined to include the interest cost as part of the cost component of the methodology.

The Committee also suggested that the increase in the school impact fee be phased in order to allow the development community time to budget for the increase. Currently, Chapter 23 requires that the school impact fee methodology be updated at least every four (4) years, but it can be reviewed more frequently at the request of OCPS. The Committee expressed concerns about the impact of Covid-19 on the economy and Orange County Public Schools, specifically with regard to the current model of in-person learning, and therefore, suggested that the school impact fee may need to be reevaluated sooner than normal.

Attachments: Orange County Public Schools School Impact Fee Study Update Final Report dated September 18, 2020

c:

Byron Brooks, County Administrator Christopher R. Testerman, AICP, Deputy County Administrator Jon V. Weiss, P.E., Director, Planning, Environmental, and Development Services Department Joel Prinsell, Deputy County Attorney Whitney Evers, Assistant County Attorney John Morris, Chief Facilities Officer, OCPS Scott Howat, Senior Executive Director, OCPS Rick Collins, Chief Financial Officer, OCPS Dale Kelly, Senior Director, OCPS Amy Envall, General Counsel, Office of Legal Services, OCPS Jad Brewer, Staff Attorney III, Office of Legal Services, OCPS Steven Thorp, AICP Senior Administrator, OCPS Steve Tindale, P.E., AICP, Chief Executive Officer, Tindale-Oliver & Associates Nilgun Kamp, AICP, Principal, Tindale-Oliver & Associates



# OC Orange County Public Schools



## **Orange County Public Schools** School Impact Fee Update Study

**FINAL REPORT September 18, 2020** 





Prepared for:

**Orange County Public Schools** 6501 Magic Way, Bldg. 200 Orlando, FL 32809 ph (407) 317-3700

Prepared by:

**Tindale Oliver** 1000 N. Ashley Dr., #400 Tampa, Florida, 33602 ph (813) 224-8862 fax (813) 226-2106 E-mail: nkamp@tindaleoliver.com

## Orange County Public Schools School Impact Fee Update Study Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Legal Requirements	3
Inventory	5
Service Area and Enrollment	6
Facility Service Delivery	8
Cost Component	9
Credit Component	17
Net Impact Cost per Student	22
Student Generation Rates	24
Calculated School Impact Fee Schedule	26
School Impact Fee Schedule Comparison	27
Alternative Impact Fee Calculations	29

Appendix A – Orange County Public Schools Inventory
Appendix B – Building and Land Cost Analysis
Appendix C – Glossary of Acronyms and Terms

## Introduction

Orange County faces unique challenges in providing the necessary public infrastructure due to its demographic characteristics. More specifically,

- Orange County ranks 5<sup>th</sup> out of 67 Florida counties in terms of its current population.
- Ranks 8<sup>th</sup> in terms of projected population growth, at 1.4% per year through 2045.
- Ranks 2<sup>nd</sup> in absolute population growth, projected to add 586,000 population by 2045.

Orange County is a "young" county with a median age that is below the statewide average and ranks 10<sup>th</sup> in student generation rate. These demographics create significant challenges for Orange County local governments and public entities in responding to growth and providing the necessary facilities, including public schools. Given the reduction in state funding over time and more recent restrictions on capital millage as well as development review process, alternative funding options become more important in providing additional school capacity.

Orange County's school impact fee was last updated in 2016. At the time, given the rising construction prices, the School Board of Orange County requested an update to the Technical Study in two years instead of four years. To comply with this request and given the recent changes in variables affecting the school impact fee, Orange County Public Schools (OCPS) retained Tindale Oliver to prepare an updated study.

The methodology used to calculate the updated school impact fee is a consumption-based approach, which has also been used to calculate the current adopted school impact fee for Orange County as well as several school impact fees throughout Florida, including, but not limited to; fees in Collier, Lake, Osceola, Citrus, Highlands, Palm Beach, and Broward Counties. A consumption-based impact fee charges new development based upon the student generation

rate (demand), or the number of students a dwelling unit is expected to generate over the life of the home. A consumption-based impact fee is intended to charge new growth the proportionate share of the cost of providing a new student station available for use by new growth.

A consumptionbased methodology has been used for this study.

The impact fee calculations contained in this report are based on the most current and localized data available, consistent with the 2006 Florida Impact Fee Act as amended. Should one or more variables affecting the impact fee change significantly, a recalculation of the impact fee would be necessary prior to the scheduled update of the study. Changes that could potentially trigger a

recalculation of the impact fee include, but are not limited to, significant changes in the student generation rate, a considerable change in the cost per student, a change in amount or source of revenue available for capital expansion, or a decision to incur additional debt to fund new capacity.

The study methodology is documented in the following 10 sections of this technical report:

- Legal Requirements
- Inventory
- Service Area and Enrollment
- Facility Service Delivery
- Cost Component
- Credit Component
- Net Impact Cost per Student
- Student Generation Rates
- Calculated School Impact Fee Schedule
- School Impact Fee Schedule Comparison

In addition, alternative options to calculate some of the fee variables are presented in the final section of this report.

Information utilized in this analysis was obtained from OCPS, as well as other sources, as indicated, through April 2019.

## Legal Requirements

An impact fee is a one-time capital charge levied against new development to fund infrastructure capacity consumed by new growth. Impact fee revenues can only be used for capacity expansion projects and not for expenses related to replacement (except to the extent capacity is added), maintenance or operations. In Florida, legal requirements related to impact fees have primarily been established through case law since the 1980's. Generally speaking, impact fees must comply with the "dual rational nexus" test, which requires that they:

- Be supported by a study demonstrating that the fees are proportionate in amount to the need created by new development paying the fee; and
- Be spent in a manner that directs a proportionate benefit to new development, typically accomplished through a list of capacity-adding projects included in the School District's Capital Improvement Plan, Five-Year Work Plan or another planning document/Master Plan.

In 2006, the Florida legislature passed the "Florida Impact Fee Act," which recognized impact fees as "an outgrowth of home rule power of a local government to provide certain services within its jurisdiction." § 163.31801(2), Fla. Stat. The statute – concerned with mostly procedural and methodological limitations – did not expressly allow or disallow any particular public facility type from being funded with impact fees. The Act did specify procedural and methodological prerequisites, such as the requirement of the fee being based on most recent and localized data, a 90-day requirement for fee increases, and other similar requirements, most of which were common to the practice already. In 2009, the Act was amended to clarify that in any action challenging an impact fee, the government has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the imposition or amount of the fee meets the requirements of state legal precedent or the Impact Fee Act and that the court may not use a deferential standard. In 2019, the Act along with additional clarifying language:

- Impact fees cannot be collected prior to building permit issuance; and
- Impact fee revenues cannot be used to pay debt service for previously approved projects unless the expenditure is reasonably connected to, or has a rational nexus with, the increased impact generated by the new residential and commercial construction.

HB 7103 addressed multiple issues related to affordable housing/linkage fees, impact fees, and building services fees. In terms of impact fees, the bill required the following:

- When local governments increase their impact fees, the outstanding impact fee credits for developer contributions should also be increased. This requirement will operate prospectively.
- Local governments must credit against the impact fee any contributions related to public education facilities. Any contribution must be applied to reduce any education-based impact fees on a dollar-for-dollar basis at fair market value.
- This bill also allowed local governments to waive/reduce impact fees for affordable housing projects without having to offset the associated revenue loss.

SB 1066 that was signed in 2020 included language allowing impact fee credits to be assignable and transferable at any time after establishment from one development or parcel to another that is within the same impact fee zone or impact fee district or that is within an adjoining impact fee zone or district within the same local government jurisdiction. In addition, the bill added language indicating any new/increased impact fee not being applicable to current or pending permit applications submitted prior to the effective date of an ordinance or resolution imposing new/increased fees. Finally, HB 1339, which was also signed in 2020, requires reporting of impact fee data within the annual financial audit report submitted to the Department of Financial Services.

This technical report has been prepared to support legal compliance with existing case law and statutory requirements. In addition, impact fee calculations were reviewed by the School Impact Fee Advisory Committee, which was originally formed in 2005 by the Orange County Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) in coordination with OCPS. The purpose of the Advisory Committee is to recommend a methodology for performing updates to the school impact fee. The Advisory Committee reviews the school impact fee studies, updates methodology where necessary to utilize the most accurate and recent local data, and may review the school impact fee ordinances and recommend revisions to them. There were ten meetings held with the Advisory Committee to complete this review process.

## Inventory

OCPS' current inventory includes 191 traditional schools, which are included in the impact fee calculations along with three additional schools that are under construction and slated to open in 2019/2020 school year. The breakdown of school types follows:

- 125 elementary schools;
- 8 multi-level schools;
- 38 middle schools; and
- 20 high schools, which includes 4 ninth-grade centers that operate as part of the high schools.

A list of these schools is provided in Appendix A, Table A-1. In addition, OCPS also operates several alternative learning facilities, including vocational centers, community education centers, and technical schools. These schools are not funded with impact fee revenue and are not included in the impact fee calculations.

## Service Area and Enrollment

OCPS provides public education facilities for all school-age residents of Orange County. As such, this analysis includes all traditional public schools located throughout Orange County and operated by OCPS. Attendance boundaries can be redrawn to balance school enrollment with available school capacity and, therefore, can serve different geographic areas over time. As such, the appropriate impact fee district for public schools is countywide.

**Table 1** presents historical student enrollment for the past fourteen years, current enrollment for the 2018/19 school year, and projected enrollment for the next ten years, which was prepared by OCPS in January 2018. To be consistent with the inventory used in the impact fee analysis, the enrollment figures presented in this table only include those students attending (or projected to attend) the schools listed in Appendix A, Table A-1. The annual percent change for enrollment is presented in Table 1, as is a three-year average to account for any random fluctuations.

School Year	Timeframe <sup>(1)</sup>	Enrollment <sup>(1)</sup>	Annual Percent Change <sup>(2)</sup>	Three-Year Average Change <sup>(3)</sup>
2004-05	Historical	166,438	-	-
2005-06	Historical	168,612	1.3%	-
2006-07	Historical	169,107	0.3%	-
2007-08	Historical	169,045	0.0%	0.5%
2008-09	Historical	167,969	-0.6%	-0.1%
2009-10	Historical	166,680	-0.8%	-0.5%
2010-11	Historical	167,987	0.8%	-0.2%
2011-12	Historical	170,650	1.6%	0.5%
2012-13	Historical	172,611	1.1%	1.2%
2013-14	Historical	174,651	1.2%	1.3%
2014-15	Historical	178,106	2.0%	1.4%
2015-16	Historical	182,516	2.5%	1.9%
2016-17	Historical	185,208	1.5%	2.0%
2017-18	Historical	188,886	2.0%	2.0%
2018-19	Current	194,139	2.8%	2.1%
2019-20	Projected	195,957	0.9%	1.9%
2020-21	Projected	198,856	1.5%	1.7%
2021-22	Projected	202,370	1.8%	1.4%
2022-23	Projected	205,837	1.7%	1.7%
2023-24	Projected	209,953	2.0%	1.8%
2024-25	Projected	213,171	1.5%	1.7%
2025-26	Projected	217,125	1.9%	1.8%
2026-27	Projected	220,406	1.5%	1.6%
2027-28	Projected	224,194	1.7%	1.7%
2028-29	Projected	228,934	2.1%	1.8%

Table 1 Orange County Public Schools Enrollment Summary

1) Source: Orange County Public Schools; includes only the students attending traditional schools shown in Appendix A, Table A-1

2) Percent change from one year to the next

3) Average change over the past three years

## **Facility Service Delivery**

For educational facilities impact fee calculation purposes, service delivery is measured in terms of gross permanent square footage per permanent station. In the case of OCPS, this measure is based on recent construction (more specifically schools constructed or under construction between 2016 and 2019).

**Table 2** illustrates the facility service delivery by school level in Orange County. As shown, the facility service delivery for elementary schools is 110.8 gross square feet per permanent student station, 130.0 gross square feet per permanent student station for middle schools, and 129.8 gross square feet per permanent student station for senior high schools.

Describerthem		School Type	
(การสนโปลาอาก	Elementery	Middle	High
Gross Permanent Square Footage <sup>(1)</sup>	278,251	757,377	376,016
Permanent Student Stations <sup>(1)</sup>	2,511	5,224	2,898
Gross Square Feet per Student Station <sup>(2)</sup>	110.8	130.0	129.8

Table 2 Facility Service Delivery

 Source: Orange County Public Schools. Figures are based on new schools constructed between 2016 and 2018, excluding OCPS Academic Center for Excellence since this school did not reflect typical OCPS design characteristics

2) Gross permanent square footage divided by permanent student stations

## Cost Component

The capital costs of providing educational facilities include several components, such as the school facility cost, transportation cost, and ancillary facility costs. This section addresses each of these components.

## Facility Cost per Student Station

The first step in determining the cost of providing public schools in Orange County is to calculate the facility cost per student station. Several cost components must be considered when calculating the total cost of constructing a school, including planning and site preparation costs; construction costs; furniture, fixtures, and equipment (FF&E) costs; and the cost of land. The facility cost per student station for each type of school

The cost of a school includes various components, such as facility cost (buildings and land), transportation costs, and ancillary facility costs.

is developed based on these cost components, which are described in more detail in the following subsections.

## Construction, Non-Construction, and FF&E

To determine the construction, non-construction, and FF&E costs associated with building a new school in Orange County, the following information was evaluated:

- Schools built in Orange County between 2016 and 2017 as well as schools that are currently under construction, which includes school construction started in 2018 and 2019;
- OCPS' cost estimates for future schools;
- Insurance values of the existing schools;
- School cost information from other Florida counties; and
- Discussions with representatives from OCPS.

Detailed information on cost estimates is included in Appendix B.

**Table 3** presents the cost per gross square foot figures for the non-construction, construction,and FF&E cost components for each school type. For illustration purposes, Table 3 also presents

the weighted average figure for each cost component, based on schools that are programmed to be constructed over the next ten years.

## Land Cost

For each school type, the land cost per gross square foot is based on a value of \$115,000 per acre. This cost per acre is based primarily on a review of the following:

- Land purchases by OCPS between 2015 and 2018, as well as cost estimates associated with properties that are under contract;
- A review of current market value of land from the Property Appraiser database where the existing schools are located;
- An analysis of vacant residential land sales in Orange County over the past three years for parcels of similar size (non-OCPS purchases);
- An analysis of market value of vacant residential land from the Property Appraiser database for parcels of similar size and location to the current inventory; and
- Discussions with OCPS representatives.

Appendix B documents the results of land value analysis in further detail. The land cost per gross square foot by school type was developed based on acres per 1,000 gross square feet for the development of future prototype schools. The resulting land cost figures for each school type are also presented in Table 3.

Finally, Table 3 includes a comparison of OCPS' estimated student station cost to those published by the Florida Department of Education (FDOE). In 2016, the Florida Legislature passed House Bill 7029, requiring that beginning July 1, 2017, schools districts may not use funds from any other sources for new construction of educational plant space that exceeds the statutory maximum cost per student station. The legislation also required the Office of the Economic and Demographic Research (EDR) to conduct a study of the cost per student station. EDR report was completed in January 2017; however, the Legislation has not yet adjusted the cost per station based on the findings of the study. Two primary recommendations of the report included:

 Use of cost per square foot as the unit cost as opposed to cost per student station in setting limits for school construction, as this approach aligns with the conventional method of estimating costs in the construction industry and allows for design differentials; and • Recognition of cost variations by geographic region.

At this time, the Florida Department of Education (FDOE) continues to use the indexed 2006 construction cost figures. The currently used cost figures include construction, architectural/design, and FF&E costs but exclude land, facility support, and other support costs. Table 3 presents a comparison of the student station cost estimates against the maximum cost estimates per student station published by the FDOE, which range from \$23,050 for elementary schools to \$32,332 for high schools. In other words, estimated local cost per student station is approximately 12 percent lower than the FDOE cost for elementary schools, 11 percent lower for middle schools, and 24 percent lower for high schools, indicating OCPS is constructing schools at a lower cost than the FDOE cost allowances per student station.

Cost Component	Elementary School	Middle School	High School	Weighted Average
Square Feet per Student Station (LOS) - Recent Construction <sup>(1)</sup>	110.8	130.0	129.8	-
Additional Planned Permanent Student Stations <sup>(2)</sup>	10,881	8,057	8,637	27,575
School Facility Cost Components:				
Non-Construction Cost per Gross Sq Ft <sup>(3)</sup>	\$40.60	\$37.80	\$42.00	\$40.20
Construction Cost per Gross Sq Ft <sup>(4)</sup>	\$145.00	\$135.00	\$150.00	\$143.56
FF&E Cost per Gross Sq Ft <sup>(5)</sup>	\$11.60	\$10.80	\$12.00	\$11.48
Other Cost per Gross Sq Ft <sup>(6)</sup>	\$14.50	\$13.50	\$15.00	\$14.36
Land Cost per Gross Sq Ft <sup>(7)</sup>	\$18.63	<u>\$15.18</u>	\$16.79	\$16.91
Total Facility Cost per Gross Sq Ft <sup>(8)</sup>	\$230.33	\$212.28	\$235.79	\$226.51
Total Facility Cost per Student Station <sup>(9)</sup>	\$25,521	\$27,596	\$30,606	\$27,720
Total Facility Cost per Student Station Excluding Land,				
Facility Support & Other Costs	\$20,243	\$22,113	\$24,532	\$22,133
DOE Cost per Student Station <sup>(10)</sup>	\$23,050	\$24,892	\$32,332	\$26,495

		Tab	le 3		
School	Facility	Cost	per	Student	Station

1) Source: Table 2

2) Source: Orange County Public Schools. Figures are based upon schools planned to be built over the next ten years.

3) Estimated at 28% of construction cost based on estimates obtained from OCPS and recent costs obtained from other Florida School Districts. See Appendix B for further detail.

4) Construction cost is estimated to range from \$135 per gross square foot to \$150 per gross square foot, based on information on recently built schools, insurance values of existing schools, and recently constructed schools in other Florida jurisdictions. See Appendix B for further detail.

5) Estimated at 8% of construction cost based on recently built schools in Orange County and recently constructed schools in other Florida jurisdictions. See Appendix B for further detail.

6) Estimated at 10% of the construction cost based on recently built schools in Orange County. Includes moving costs, classroom technology, curriculum items, HVAC commissioning, building envelope consultant, PM fees, audit costs, environmental/abatement, material testing, etc.

7) The land cost per square foot for each school type is based on the acreage per 1,000 gross square feet for future schools at a cost of \$115,000 per acre. See Appendix B for further detail.

8) Sum of the school facility cost per gross square foot (Items 3 thru 7)

9) The gross square feet per student station (Item 1) multiplied by the total school facility cost per gross square foot (Item 8) for each school level. Weighted average is based on the distribution of planned student stations by school level (Item 2).

10) Student Station Cost Factors published by the Florida Department of Education (FDOE) on December 4, 2018 for March 2019

## Net Interest Carrying Cost

A cost component for the interest expense or lost opportunity on funds that OCPS uses during construction of a new school facility could be considered to the extent that OCPS uses Certificates of Participation (COPs), or debt service, to fund new schools. Although OCPS has previously used COPs to partially fund capacity addition projects, based on discussions with OCPS and to provide a more conservative estimate, interest carrying cost was not included during the last update study. Given that OCPS is not issuing any new debt associated with capacity projects, this study continues to exclude interest carrying cost.

## Weighted Average Total Facility Cost per Student by School Type

The total facility impact cost per student for each school type is based on the facility cost per student station figures derived in Table 3 and is typically calculated by multiplying the cost per student station by the number of total permanent stations and dividing by current student enrollment. This adjustment of dividing the cost per student station by the ratio of current student enrollment to available capacity converts the cost per student station to a cost per student. In addition, this calculation accounts for the current surplus or shortage in permanent capacity and adjusts the costs accordingly. If there is available capacity (e.g., currently more permanent student stations than enrollment), then the total facility cost per student increases to reflect that more than one station is being built for each student to allow for operational capacity. Similarly, if there are currently more students enrolled than available capacity, the cost per student is adjusted downward.

In the case of Orange County, on a districtwide basis, there is currently ten percent surplus of available capacity in elementary schools and six percent for middle schools, and a shortage of three percent for high schools.

The District's current adopted LOS standards call for an enrollment to FISH permanent capacity of 110 percent for elementary schools, 100 percent for middle schools, and 100 percent for high schools. While achieved LOS reflects the community's investment into educational facilities infrastructure, the adopted LOS standards reflect OCPS' intended service level in the future. Given this, impact fee calculations use the higher of these two figures, which results in more conservative impact fee levels. In the case of OCPS, the adopted LOS standards result in more conservative impact fee levels. As shown in Table 4, utilizing the achieved LOS results in a weighted average total facility impact cost of \$29,100 per student versus \$26,800 per student, utilizing the adopted standards.

Calculation Step	Elementary School	Middle School	High School	Weighted Average / Total
Facility Impact Cost per Student				
Facility Cost per Permanent Student Station <sup>(1)</sup>	\$25,521	\$27,596	\$30,606	\$27,720
2018/2019 Student Enrollment <sup>(2)</sup>	91,060	44,157	58,922	194,139
Permanent Capacity based on Existing Inventory <sup>(3)</sup>	100,990	47,091	57,314	205,395
Achieved LOS (Ratio of Enrollment to Permanent Capacity) <sup>(4)</sup>	90%	94%	103%	95%
Adopted LOS Standard (Enrollment to Permanent Capacity) <sup>(5)</sup>	110%	100%	100%	N/A
Additional Planned Permanent Student Stations (2019-2028) <sup>(6)</sup>	10,881	8,057	8,637	27,575
Total Facility Impact Cost per Student - Using Achieved LOS (7)	\$28,357	\$29,357	\$29,715	\$29,075
Total Facility Impact Cost per Student - Using Adopted LOS Standard <sup>(8)</sup>	\$23,201	\$27,596	\$30,606	\$26,805

Table 4 Weighted Facility Impact Cost per Student

1) Source: Table 3

2) Source: Orange County Public Schools

3) Source: Appendix A, Table A-1

4) Projected student enrollment (Item 2) divided by projected permanent capacity (Item 3)

5) Source: Orange County Public Schools

6) Source: Table 3

7) Facility cost per student station (Item 1) divided by the achieved LOS (Item 4)

8) Facility cost per student station (Item 1) divided by the adopted LOS standard (Item 5)

## Total Cost per Student

In addition to the facility cost per student calculated in Table 4, the total facility cost per student includes two additional cost components: the capital costs associated with providing transportation services and ancillary facilities. Both of these cost components are calculated on a per-student basis and are not dependent on school type. Each of these additional cost components is discussed in further detail below.

## **Transportation Costs**

The first additional cost component is the cost of providing transportation to students. OCPS currently owns 1,120 buses used for student transportation. Based on information provided by OCPS, the current cost of a bus averages approximately \$123,000, which is within the range of school bus cost observed in other jurisdictions. In addition to buses, OCPS has 507 vehicles that are part of the "white fleet," which includes other vehicles such as vans, trucks, and trailers. The average cost of the white fleet was estimated by OCPS at approximately \$29,000 per vehicle. The result is a total fleet value of \$151.9 million; \$14.5 million of which is for the white fleet and \$137.4 million is for buses. The total value of the transportation fleet was then divided by the current 2018/19 student enrollment to calculate the transportation cost per student. As shown in **Table 5**, the total transportation services cost amounts to \$783 per student.

## Ancillary and Administrative Facilities Costs

The other capital cost component is for the ancillary facilities that are necessary for the District to provide support services for students, schools, transportation services, and administrative personnel. The District currently has approximately 748,000 square feet of ancillary facilities for transportation, maintenance, warehouse, and administrative functions.

Based upon a review of the insurance values and the costs observed in other jurisdictions, an estimated value of \$190 per square foot is used, which resulted in total building value of \$142 million.

The cost of land for ancillary facilities also is included in the ancillary facility values. The land value for ancillary facilities is the same as that used for schools (\$115,000 per acre), which resulted in total land value of \$22.7 million.

As presented in Table 5, the total ancillary facility cost totals \$848 per student, which is calculated by dividing the total ancillary facility by the 2018/2019 enrollment.

Description	Figure
Transportation Services Cost per Student	
Total Current Value of Transportation Services <sup>(1)</sup>	\$151,939,164
2018/2019 Enrollment <sup>(2)</sup>	194,139
Total Transportation Services Cost per Student <sup>(3)</sup>	\$783
Ancillary Facility Cost per Student	
Building Value for Ancillary Facilities <sup>(4)</sup>	\$142,041,720
Land Value for Ancillary Facilities <sup>(5)</sup>	\$22,655,000
Total Current Value for Ancillary Facilities <sup>(6)</sup>	\$164,696,720
Total Ancillary Facility Cost per Student <sup>(7)</sup>	\$848

Table 5
Transportation and Ancillary Facility Cost per Student

1) Source: Orange County Public Schools

2) Source: Table 1

- Total current value of transportation services (Item 1) divided by enrollment (Item 2)
- 4) Square footage inventory obtained from Orange County Public Schools multiplied by \$190 per square foot
- 5) Acreage obtained from Orange County Public Schools multiplied by \$115,000 per acre (see Appendix B for further explanation on land value)
- 6) Sum of the building value (Item 4) and land value (Item 5) of the District's current inventory of ancillary facilities
- 7) Total value for ancillary facilities (Item 6) divided by enrollment (Item 2)

## **Credit Component**

To ensure that new residential development is not being overcharged for the capital costs associated with new public schools, and that each new residential development pays the appropriately calculated impact fee, a credit for non-impact fee revenue generated by new development that is used towards capital expansion of school facilities must be considered in the credit component of the school impact fee. A credit for school impact fees is not given for revenue generated by new development that is used for capital renovation of existing education facilities or for maintenance and operational costs, as this is not consistent with the purpose of impact fees.

Based upon a review of the capacity addition expenditures over the past five years and planned expenditures over the next five years, it has been determined that, in addition to impact fees, OCPS uses capital millage, sales tax revenues, and other local revenues to fund the capital expansion of school facilities. Because the District has previously utilized Certificates of Participation (COPs) for capacity expanding projects, a credit for the remaining debt service payments is also given.

## Capital Improvement "Cash" Credit

The Orange County School Board has the authority to levy up to 1.5 mills of the countywide ad valorem tax to generate revenue for education. In Orange County, the current millage rate is equal to the 1.5 mill maximum. In addition to the capital improvement tax, the Orange County School Board has been utilizing sales tax revenues and other local revenues to fund the capital expansion of public schools in Orange County.

As shown in **Table 6**, the average annual expenditure over this ten-year period amounts to approximately \$51.1 million. To calculate the revenue credit per student, the average annual expenditure is divided by the average annual enrollment for the same time period. As shown, this figure amounts to \$268 per student per year.

Once the revenue credit per student is calculated, a credit adjustment is needed for the portion of the revenue credit funded with ad valorem tax revenues, which is approximately 10 percent of the cash funding. This adjustment accounts for the fact that new homes tend to pay higher property taxes per dwelling unit than older homes and was estimated based on a comparison of

the average taxable value of newer homes to that of all homes. As presented, the adjusted revenue credit per student amounts to \$279.

Finally, the total credit over a 25-year period, which is considered to be the time frame when major repairs or renovation is needed for structures built, is calculated at \$4,138 per student.

	Table	: 6		
Capital	Improvement	Credit	per	Student

Revenue Sources	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	Total
Drange County Half-Cent Sales Tax											and the second
Capacity Addition to Existing Schools	\$31,507,833	\$24,267,909	\$21,733,763	\$33,970,985	\$18,916,909	\$24,479,596	\$330,370	-	-	-	\$155,207,365
New Schools	-\$91,500	\$641,847	\$5,804,675	\$52,443,525	\$37,736,571	\$37,149,909	-	\$75,250,000	\$1,550,000	\$62,910,000	\$273,395,027
Digital Curriculum (New Growth Portion)		-	-	-	-	\$3,529,257	\$4,555,964	\$3,663,849	\$2,000,418	\$1,938,403	\$15,687,891
Total						_					\$444,290,283
Capital Improvement Tax										0-0-0	
Site Acquisition	-	-		-	\$1,511,384		-	-	-	-	\$1,511,384
New Schools	-	-		-	-	-	-	\$50,000,000	-	-	\$50,000,000
Total											\$51,511,384
Other Local Sources (Mitigation, Property Sal	les, etc.)										
Capacity Addition to Existing Schools		-	\$210,304	\$52,243	\$17,852		-	-	-	-	\$280,399
Site Acquisition	•	-	-	-	\$1,129,427	-	-	-		-	\$1,129,427
New Schools	-	\$1,102,868	\$4,286,941	\$2,580,397	\$4,452,155	\$1,228,517	-	-	\$574,500	-	\$14,225,378
Total											\$15,635,204
Total Expenditures	\$31,416,333	\$26,012,624	\$32,035,683	\$89,047,150	\$63,764,298	\$66,387,279	\$4,886,334	\$128,913,849	\$4,124,918	\$64,848,403	\$511,436,871
Average Annual Expenditures <sup>(2)</sup>						-					\$51,143,687
Average Annual Enrollment <sup>(3)</sup>											190,652
Revenue Credit per Student <sup>(4)</sup>											\$268.26
- Portion Funded with Ad Valorem Tax Reven	ues <sup>(S)</sup>										\$27.02
- Portion Funded with Other Revenues <sup>(6)</sup>					_		_				\$241.24
Credit Adjustment Factor <sup>(7)</sup>											1.40
Adjusted Revenue Credit per Student (Ad Valorem Portion Only) <sup>(8)</sup>									\$37.83		
Total Adjusted Revenue Credit per Student <sup>(9)</sup>									\$279.07		
the second s											
Capitalization Rate <sup>(10)</sup>											4.50%
Capitalization Rate <sup>(10)</sup> Capitalization Period, Years <sup>(13)</sup>											4.50% 25

1) Source: Orange County Public Schools

2) Total expenditures divided by 10 to calculate the average annual expenditure

3) Source: Table 1. Average enrollment over the 10-year time span

4) Average annual expenditures (Item 2) divided by the average annual enrollment (Item 3)

5) Portion of the revenue credit per student funded with ad valorem tax revenues only

6) Revenue credit per student (Item 4) less the portion funded with ad valorem tax revenues (Item 5)

7) Adjustment factor to reflect higher ad valorem taxes paid by new homes

8) Revenue credit per student funded with ad valorem tax revenues (Item 5) multiplied by the credit adjustment factor (Item 7)

9) Sum of the revenue credit per student funded with other revenues (Item 6) and the adjusted revenue credit per student (Item 8)

10) Interest rate the District is likely to pay for future bonds, estimated by Orange County Public Schools

11) Time period after which major repairs are needed

12) Present value of the total adjusted revenue credit per student (Item 9) at 4.50% interest rate (Item 10) over a 25-year capitalization period (Item 11)

#### Debt Service Credit per Student

As mentioned previously, OCPS has utilized COPs to pay for a portion of the capacity expansion projects and given that there is still an outstanding debt service, a credit is calculated for the future payments related to capacity expansion projects. The District uses ad valorem and sales tax revenues to pay the debt service.

To calculate the debt service credit per student, the remaining payments were brought back to present value, based on the number of years and annual interest rate of each COP issue. Once the present value of remaining payments is calculated, each debt issue is divided by the average annual enrollment for the time period remaining.

Similar to the capital improvement credit, the portion of the debt service credit per student paid back with ad valorem tax revenues is adjusted to account for the fact that newer homes tend to pay higher property taxes than older homes. As presented in **Table 7**, the adjusted total debt service credit per student amounts to \$692.

Description	Funding Source <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Years of Remaining Payments <sup>(2)</sup>	Remaining Payments Due for Expansion <sup>(2)</sup>	Present Value of Total Remaining Payments <sup>(2)</sup>	Average Annual Enrollment <sup>(3)</sup>	Debt Service Credit per Student <sup>(4)</sup>
Certificates of Participation						
COPS 2008E Issue	Ad Valorem Tax	4	\$13,255,423	\$11,243,934	200,755	\$56
COPS 2009B - QSCB Issue	Sales Tax	6	\$2,489,042	\$2,149,087	204,357	\$11
COPS 2010A - QSCB Issue	Sales Tax	11	\$10,177,065	\$10,177,065	213,623	\$48
COPS 2016A Issue	Ad Valorem Tax	6	\$51,445,290	\$46,824,766	204,357	\$229
COPS 2017A Issue	Ad Valorem Tax	7	\$37,388,339	\$34,378,697	206,181	\$167
Total Debt Service Credit per Stude	ent					\$511
- Portion Funded with Ad Valorem	Tax Revenues <sup>(5)</sup>					\$452
- Portion Funded with Sales Tax Re	venues <sup>(6)</sup>					\$59
Credit Adjustment Factor <sup>(7)</sup>						1.40
Adjusted Credit per Student (Ad Va	alorem Portion Only) <sup>(8)</sup>					\$633
Adjusted Total Debt Service Credit	per Student <sup>(9)</sup>					\$692

Table 7 Debt Service Credit per Student

1) Source: Orange County Public Schools

2) Present value of the remaining capacity related payments due, based on the interest rate of each payment and the number of years of remaining payments

3) Source: Table 1. Represents the estimated average annual enrollment over the life of remaining payments

4) Present value of total remaining payments (Item 2) divided by the average annual enrollment over the life of the remaining payments (Item 3)

5) Portion of the total debt service credit per student funded with ad valorem tax revenues

6) Portion of the total debt service credit per student funded with sales tax revenues

7) Adjustment factor to reflect higher ad valorem taxes paid by new homes

8) Portion of the total debt service credit per student funded with ad valorem tax revenues (Item 5) multiplied by the credit adjustment factor (Item 7)

9) Adjusted credit per student (Item 8) plus the portion of the total debt service funded with sales tax revenues (Item 6)

## **Net Impact Cost per Student**

The net impact fee per student is the difference between the cost component and the credit component. **Table 8** summarizes the three-step process used to calculate the net impact cost per student for public schools in Orange County.

First, the total impact cost per student is determined, which is the sum of the weighted average facility impact cost per student from Table 4 and the transportation and ancillary facility cost components per student from Table 5. As previously mentioned, the transportation and ancillary cost components are calculated on a per-student basis and do not differ by type of school or by type of residential land use. Compared to the 2016 study, the total cost per student increased by 5 percent.

Second, the total revenue credit per student is determined. This is the sum of the capital improvement credit per student and the debt service credit per student found in Tables 6 and 7. The credit per student decreased by 19 percent since the last study, primarily due to OCPS paying off a portion of the outstanding debt.

Third, the net impact cost per student is determined, which is the difference between the total impact cost per student and total revenue credit per student and is calculated at approximately \$23,600 per student. This figure represents an increase of 12 percent compared to the last study.

Net cost per stud	EIIL
Total Impact Cost	Per Student
Facility Impact Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	\$26,805
Transportation Impact Cost <sup>(2)</sup>	\$783
Ancillary Facility Cost <sup>(3)</sup>	\$848
Total Impact Cost <sup>(4)</sup>	\$28,436
Revenue Credit	Per Student
Capital Improvement Credit <sup>(5)</sup>	\$4,138
Debt Service Credit <sup>(6)</sup>	\$692
Total Revenue Credit <sup>(7)</sup>	\$4,830
Net Impact Cost	Per Student
Net Impact Cost <sup>(8)</sup>	\$23,606

	Та	ble	8
Net	Cost	per	Student

1) Source: Table 4

2) Source: Table 5

3) Source: Table 5

 Sum of the total facility impact cost per student (Item 1), transportation impact cost per student (Item 2), and ancillary facility cost per student (Item 3)

5) Source: Table 6

6) Source: Table 7

7) Sum of the capital improvement credit per student (Item 5) and the debt service credit per student (Item 6)

 The net impact cost per student is the total impact cost per student (Item 4) less the total revenue credit per student (Item 7)

## **Student Generation Rates**

The number of students living in a household varies, as does the number of students living in a particular type of residential unit. Therefore, school impact fees are typically assessed based on specific student generation rates (SGR), or students per housing unit, for each type of residential land use, including single family, townhouse, multi family, and mobile home.

To determine SGR by land use, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) is used to link each student address to its respective parcel in the Orange County Property Appraiser's database in order to generate the number of students per unit by land use type for the current school year. This analysis was conducted by OCPS and reviewed by Tindale Oliver and included the following:

- OCPS geocoded student addresses for students attending those schools listed in Appendix A, Table A-1 as of October 2018. The students excluded Voluntary Pre-K students.
- Orange County Property Appraiser 2018 tax year parcel database was used to determine residential categories.

The development of the SGR analysis is a two-step process; as mentioned previously, the analysis was completed by OCPS, and the procedures and results were reviewed by Tindale Oliver. First, using the 2018 Tax Year parcel file provided by the Orange County Property Appraiser's Office, parcels were selected in the following categories: Single Family, Townhouse, Multi Family, and Mobile Home. This provided the total number of units in each category.

Second, geocoded student address data were selected by the land use category and summed. Finally, the number of students were divided by the total number of units in each selected land use category.

**Table 9** presents the total number of students and total number of units by each residential category that were used to determine the SGR. The resulting SGR by residential category represents the number of students anticipated to occupy a dwelling unit over the life cycle of the home.

Residential Category	Total Housing Units <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Number of Students <sup>(2)</sup>	Students per Unit <sup>(3)</sup>			
Traditional Schools						
Single Family (detached)	287,332	116,501	0.405			
Townhouse	20,382	7,612	0.373			
Multi-Family	188,142	52,679	0.280			
Mobile Home	13,368	5,886	0.440			
Total/Weighted Average	509,224	182,678	0.359			

#### Table 9 Student Generation Rates

1) Source: Orange County Public Schools

2) Source: Orange County Public Schools

3) Number of students (Item 2) divided by the number of housing units (Item 1)

In addition, a separate category for high-rise multi-family homes was created for consideration. This analysis was completed in response to recent alternative impact fee studies and a request from the City of Orlando to recognize the differential SGR of these types of structures. In this exercise, high-rise multi-family category is defined as developments that have the following physical characteristics:

- Height of 7-stories or more (includes parking);
- Density of 70 dwelling units per acre; and
- A parking structure.

Table 10 presents the student generation rates of residential categories with the creation of aseparate category for high-rise buildings.

Student Generation Rates – High Rise Separate					
Residential Category	Total Housing Units <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Number of Students <sup>(2)</sup>	Students per Unit <sup>(3)</sup>		
Single Family	287,332	116,501	0.405		
Townhouse	20,382	7,612	0.373		
High Rise	4,193	54	0.013		
Multi-Family (Other)	183,949	52,625	0.286		
Mobile Home	13,368	5,886	0.440		
Total/Weighted Average	509,224	182,678	0.359		

Table 10							
Student Generatio	n Rates – High Rise Senarate	2					

1) Source: Orange County Public Schools

2) Source: Orange County Public Schools

3) Number of students (Item 2) divided by the number of housing units (Item 1)

## **Calculated School Impact Fee Schedule**

To determine the proposed school impact fee for each residential land use, the net impact cost per student from Table 8 was multiplied by the SGR from Table 9 for each residential category. The resulting net impact fees are presented in the calculated impact fee schedule in **Table 11**. In addition to the calculated fee, the current adopted fee is also shown for comparison purposes. In addition, **Table 12** presents the calculated fee schedule when a separate category for the high-rise multi-family units is created, based on the student generation rates included in Table 10.

As discussed previously, net cost per student increased by 12 percent since the 2016 study. The remaining amount of changes in the calculated fee schedules is due to the fluctuations in the student generation rates.

Residential Category	Unit	Students per Unit <sup>(1)</sup>	Net Impact Cost per Student <sup>(2)</sup>	2019 Calculated Impact Fee <sup>(3)</sup>	Current Adopted Fee <sup>(4)</sup>	Percent Change <sup>(5)</sup>
Single Family (detached)	du	0.405	\$23,606	\$9,560	\$8,784	9%
Townhouse	du	0.373	\$23,606	\$8,805	\$6,930	27%
Multi-Family	du	0.280	\$23,606	\$6,610	\$5,919	12%
Mobile Home	du	0.440	\$23,606	\$10,387	\$6,088	71%

Table 11
Calculated School Impact Fee Schedule – Current Residential Categories

Source: Table 9
 Source: Table 8

3) Students per unit (Item 1) multiplied by the net impact cost per student (Item 2)

4) Source: Orange County Development Services

5) Change from current adopted fee to calculated fee

Calculated School Impact Fee Schedule – High-Rise Multi-Family Separated						
Land Use	Unit	Students per Unit <sup>(1)</sup>	Net Impact Cost per Student <sup>(2)</sup>	2019 Calculated Impact Fee <sup>(3)</sup>	Current Adopted Fee <sup>(4)</sup>	Percent Change <sup>(5)</sup>
Single Family (detached)	du	0.405	\$23,606	\$9,560	\$8,784	9%
Townhouse	du	0.373	\$23,606	\$8,805	\$6,930	27%
Multi-Family (High Rise)	du	0.013	\$23,606	\$307	\$5,919	-95%
Multi-Family (Other)	du	0.286	\$23,606	\$6,751	\$5,919	14%
Mobile Home	du	0.440	\$23,606	\$10,387	\$6,088	71%

		Table	12		
Calculated	School Impac	t Fee Schedule	– High-Rise	Multi-Family	Separated

1) Source: Table 10

2) Source: Table 8

3) Students per unit (Item 1) multiplied by the net impact cost per student (Item 2)

4) Source: Orange County Development Services

5) Change from current adopted fee to calculated fee

## School Impact Fee Schedule Comparison

As part of the work effort in updating Orange County's schools impact fee program, a comparison of the adopted and calculated single family school impact fee for Orange County to the single family school impact fees adopted by other counties throughout Florida has been prepared. **Table 13** presents this comparison. For those where information was available, the impact fee adoption percentage and the full rate are also shown.

Approximately 40% of Florida counties implemented a school impact fee.

(1)	Date of Last	Adoption	Single Fami	ly (per du)
County	Updats <sup>(1)</sup>	Percent <sup>(2)</sup>	Adopted Fee <sup>(2)</sup>	Fee 💷 100% <sup>(3)</sup>
Miami-Dade County	1995	100%	\$2,448	\$2,448
Citrus County	2014	50%	\$1,261	\$2,522
Hernando County	2005	50%	\$2,133	\$4,266
Hillsborough County	2004	92%	\$4,000	\$4,348
Volusia County	2013	67%	\$3,000	\$4,483
St. Johns County	2018	100%	\$4,725	\$4,725
Flagler County	2004	76%	\$3,600	\$4,756
Nassau County	2017	100%	\$5,431	\$5,431
St. Lucie County <sup>(4)</sup>	2009	100%	\$6,529	\$5,447
Lee County <sup>(4)</sup>	2015	45%	\$2,605	\$5,484
Martin County	2006	100%	\$5,567	\$5,567
Indian River County	2014	28%	\$1,702	\$6,077
Manatee County	2017	100%	\$6,127	\$6,127
Palm Beach County	2015	61%	\$4,237	\$6,956
Marion County <sup>(4)</sup> *	2006	48%	\$3,967	\$7,375
Sarasota County	2015	26%	\$2,032	\$7,835
Orange County (Current)	2016	100%	\$8,784	\$8,784
Pasco County	2017	79%	\$7,128	\$9,028
Broward County <sup>(5)</sup>	2017	n/a	\$6,756	\$9,049
Clay County	2009	77%	\$7,034	\$9,096
Lake County	2015	100%	\$9,324	\$9,324
Orange County (Calculated)	2019	100%	\$9,560	\$9,560
Brevard County	2015	50%	\$5,097	\$10,193
Polk County	2015	50%	\$5,242	\$10,484
Collier County <sup>(4)</sup>	2015	67%	\$8,790	\$11,164
Osceola County	2017	100%	\$11,823	\$11,823
Seminole County	2017	73%	\$9,000	\$12,322

Table 13School Impact Fee Schedule Comparison

1) An asterisk (\*) indicates that fees are currently suspended

2) Source: Published impact fee schedules and discussions with representatives from each County

3) Represents the full calculated fee from each respective technical study

4) Fees are indexed annually

5) Rate shown under Single Family Impact Fee at 100% (Item 3) reflect most recent on-going technical study Note: In the case of tiered fee schedules, fee for a 2,000-sf home is shown.

## **Alternative Impact Fee Calculations**

Given Orange County's demographic characteristics and the need to provide additional schools, cost of providing schools, and reduction in available revenues for capacity projects, a set of alternative calculations are prepared to better reflect the full cost associated with providing new school infrastructure. This section provides a summary of this analysis.

#### Credit Component

In addition to impact fees, Orange County Public Schools (OCPS) uses a half-cent infrastructure sales tax, capital millage, and other revenue sources to fund new/additional school capacity. The current school impact fee methodology adopted by Orange County provides a credit for all revenues even though a portion of these revenues is generated from non-residential land uses that do not pay a school impact fee. This analysis provides an alternative methodology that accounts for contributions from only the residential land uses that do pay the fee.

As mentioned previously, the primary revenue sources used by OCPS for capital capacity expansion projects include the following:

- Half-cent school infrastructure sales tax;
- Capital millage; and
- Miscellaneous revenue sources, such as mitigation revenues, sale of property, etc.

Of these, the capital millage is distributed between the residential and non-residential land uses based on data obtained from the Orange County Property Appraiser database. More specifically, the study evaluated the distribution of taxable values and square footage between residential and non-residential land uses. Results of this analysis suggested that 58% of the tax base consists of residential properties and 63% of total square footage in the county consists of residential structures. Given these figures, 63% of the total credit related to ad valorem revenues is allocated to residential land uses.

This same figure is also used for infrastructure sales tax. This estimate is consistent with the findings of the following studies:

• Analysis of Orange County, Florida – Local Option Sales Surtax Incidence and Impact on County Residents by the Washington Economics Group, Inc., December 6, 2002: This

study estimated that 55% of sales tax collections coming from non-residents. This would suggest at the most 45% of collections originating from residential land uses.

• The Office of Economic and Demographic Research (EDR) publishes estimated distribution of statewide sales tax revenues. Between 2016 and 2018, estimated portion generated from households ranged rom 64% to 66%.

As presented in Table 14, this approach results in a credit of \$3,088 per student, down from \$4,830 per student. This change increases the net impact cost previously presented in Table 8 to \$25,348 from \$23,606, a 7% increase. This change is presented in Table 15.

Revenue Sources <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Credit	Residential Allocation <sup>(14)</sup>	Adjusted Credit <sup>(15)</sup>
"Cash" Funding			
Annual Half-Cent Sales Tax Allocation	\$44,429,028	63%	\$27,990,288
Annual Capital Millage Allocation	\$5,151,138	63%	\$3,245,217
Annual Other Local Sources (Mitigation, Property Sales, etc.)	\$1,563,520	100%	\$1,563,520
Annual "Cash" Funding	\$51,143,686		\$32,799,025
Average Annual Enrollment <sup>(2)</sup>	190,652	Contraction of Contraction	190,652
Revenue Credit per Student <sup>(3)</sup>	\$268.26	1	\$172.04
- Portion Funded with Ad Valorem Tax Revenues <sup>(4)</sup>	\$27.02	ALC: NOT THE OWNER	\$17.02
- Portion Funded with Other Revenues <sup>(5)</sup>	\$241.24	distanting of the	\$155.02
Credit Adjustment Factor <sup>(6)</sup>	1.40		1.40
Adjusted Revenue Credit per Student (Ad Valorem Portion Only) <sup>(7)</sup>	\$37.83		\$23.83
Total Adjusted Revenue Credit per Student <sup>(8)</sup>	\$279.07		\$178.85
Capitalization Rate <sup>(9)</sup>	4.50%		4.50%
Capitalization Period, Years <sup>(10)</sup>	25		25
Present Value of "Cash" Credit per Student <sup>(11)</sup>	\$4,138		\$2,652
Debt Service Funding			
Total Debt Service Credit per Student <sup>(12)</sup>	\$692	63%	\$436
Total Credit per Student <sup>(13)</sup>	\$4,830		\$3,088

#### Table 14 Alternative Credit Calculations

1) Source: Orange County Public Schools for 2014 - 2023

- 2) Average enrollment over the 10-year time span (2014-2023)
- 3) Average annual expenditures divided by the average annual enrollment (Item 2)
- 4) Portion of the revenue credit per student funded with ad valorem tax revenues only
- 5) Revenue credit per student (Item 4) less the portion funded with ad valorem tax revenues (Item 5)
- 6) Adjustment factor to reflect higher ad valorem taxes paid by new homes
- Revenue credit per student funded with ad valorem tax revenues (Item 5) multiplied by the credit adjustment factor (Item 6)
- Sum of the revenue credit per student funded with other revenues (Item 5) and the adjusted revenue credit per student (Item 7)

- 9) Interest rate the District is likely to pay for future bonds, estimated by Orange County Public Schools
- 10) Time period after which major repairs are needed
- Present value of the total adjusted revenue credit per student (Item 8) at 4.50% interest rate (Item 9) over a 25year capitalization period (Item 10)

Table 15

- 12) Source: Table 7
- 13) Sum of Cash Credit (Item 11) and Debt Service Credit (Item 12)
- 14) Residential adjustment factor based on tax base and square footage distribution
- 15) Total credit multiplied by the residential adjustment factor (Item 14)

Revised Net	Impact Cost			
	Net Impact Cost per Student by Scenario			
Total Impact Cost	2019 Study <sup>(1)</sup>	W/Alternative Credit <sup>(2)</sup>		
Total Impact Cost				
Facility Impact Cost	\$26,805	\$26,805		
Transportation Impact Cost	\$783	\$783		
Ancillary Facility Cost	<u>\$848</u>	<u>\$848</u>		
Total Impact Cost	\$28,436	\$28,436		
Revenue Credit				
Capital Improvement Credit	\$4,138	\$2,652		
Debt Service Credit	<u>\$692</u>	<u>\$436</u>		
Total Revenue Credit	\$4,830	\$3,088		
Net Impact Cost	\$23,606	\$25,348		

1) Source: Table 8

2) Total impact cost less revised revenue credit

#### **Demand Component**

As part of the impact fee update study, OCPS Demographics Department developed student generation rates (SGR) by size of home for all land uses. As shown in **Table 16**, of these, the most consistent trend was observed in the case of single-family homes.

				;
< 500 sq. ft.	0.111	N/A	0.062	N/A
500 - 749 sq. ft.	0.295	0.147	0.159	N/A
750 - 999 sq. ft.	0.328	0.326	0.285	N/A
1,000 - 1,249 sq. ft.	0.391	0.304	0.374	N/A
1,250 - 1,499 sq. ft.	0.379	0.361	0.180	N/A
1,500 - 1,999 sq. ft.	0.374	0.412	0.173	N/A
2,000 - 2,499 sq. ft.	0.403	0.364	0.112	N/A
2,500 - 2,999 sq. ft.	0.483	0.131	0.126	N/A
3,000 - 3,999 sq. ft.	0.509	0.383	0.091	N/A
>= 4000 sq. ft.	0.406	0.250	0.337	N/A
All	0.405	0.373	0.280	0.440
< 2,000 sq. ft.	0.374	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 16 Student Generation Rates by Size of Home

Source: Orange County Public Schools

As presented in the following two charts, the average size of new single-family homes in Orange County have increased over time. Figure 1 presents the median size of a single-family home by decade and suggests that the median sized home in the county has more than doubled since pre-1960. Additionally, Figure 2 presents recent single-family development by size and year built. As shown, recent development of single-family homes in Orange County are larger, as approximately 85% of new homes are 2,000 square feet or greater.

Using a tiered structure for single-family home impact fees will better reflect the cost associated with new homes.



Figure 1 Median Size of Single-Family Home by Decade

Source: Florida Department of Revenue, 2019 Final Assessment Roll (NAL File)



Figure 2 Orange County Distribution of Single-Family Homes by Size and Year Built

Source: Florida Department of Revenue, 2019 Final Assessment Roll (NAL File)

Table 17 presents calculated impact fees and incorporates the changes discussed in this section. As shown, there are two different fee calculations:

- Tiered single-family homes by size.
- Tiered single-family homes by size as well as a "residential only" credit calculation.

In addition, a second version of calculated impact fees is presented in Table 18 which includes a separate category for high-rise multi-family homes.

	Student	Calculate	Current		
Residential Land Use	Generation Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	2019 Study <sup>(2)</sup>	Tiered SGR for	w/Alternative Credit <sup>(4)</sup>	Adopted Impact Fee <sup>(5)</sup>
Single Family Detached					
< 2,000 sq. ft.	0.374	N/A	\$8,829	\$9,480	N/A
2,000 - 2,499 sq. ft.	0.403	N/A	\$9,513	\$10,215	N/A
2,500 - 2,999 sq. ft.	0.483	N/A	\$11,402	\$12,243	N/A
3,000 - 3,999 sq. ft.	0.509	N/A	\$12,015	\$12,902	N/A
>= 4,000 sq. ft.	0.406	N/A	\$9,584	\$10,291	N/A
All Single Family Detached	0.405	\$9,560	\$9,560	\$10,266	\$8,784
Townhouse	0.373	\$8,805	\$8,805	\$9,455	\$6,930
Multi-Family	0.280	\$6,610	\$6,610	\$7,097	\$5,919
Mobile Home	0.440	\$10,387	\$10,387	\$11,153	\$6,088

Table 17Calculated Impact Fee by Option

1) Source: Table 16

2) Source: Table 11

3) Net impact cost of \$23,606 (Table 15) multiplied by student generation rate (Item 1)

4) Net impact cost of \$25,348 (Table 15) multiplied by student generation rate (Item 1)

5) Source: Orange County Development Services

	Student	Calculate	d Impact Fee by	Scenario	Current		
Residential Land Use	Generation Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	2019 Study <sup>(2)</sup>	Tiered SGR for Single Family <sup>(3)</sup>	w/Alternative Credit <sup>(4)</sup>	Adopted Impact Fee <sup>(5)</sup>		
Single Family Detached							
< 2,000 sq. ft.	0.374	N/A	\$8,829	\$9,480	N/A		
2,000 - 2,499 sq. ft.	0.403	N/A	\$9,513	\$10,215	N/A		
2,500 - 2,999 sq. ft.	0.483	N/A	\$11,402	\$12,243	N/A		
3,000 - 3,999 sq. ft.	0.509	N/A	\$12,015	\$12,902	N/A		
>= 4000 sq. ft.	0.406	N/A	\$9,584	\$10,291	N/A		
All Single Family Detached	0.405	\$9,560	\$9,560	\$10,266	\$8,784		
Townhouse	0.373	\$8,805	\$8,805	\$9,455	\$6,930		
Multi-Family (High Rise)	0.013	\$307	\$307	\$330	\$5,919		
Multi-Family (Other)	0.286	\$6,751	\$6,751	\$7,250	\$5,919		
Mobile Home	0.440	\$10,387	\$10,387	\$11,153	\$6,088		

 Table 18

 Calculated Impact Fee by Option – High-Rise Multi-Family Separated

1) Source: Table 16 for single family and Table 10 for other residential uses

2) Source: Table 12

3) Net impact cost of \$23,606 (Table 15) multiplied by student generation rate (Item 1)

4) Net impact cost of \$25,348 (Table 15) multiplied by student generation rate (Item 1)

5) Source: Orange County Development Services

Table 19 presents impact fee revenue projections based on the different scenarios presented in Table 17. The estimates are based on review of recent construction to determine the distribution of new homes by type of home (single-family, townhouse, and multi-family), as well as the size of single-family homes. These assumptions include the following:

- 10,000 new homes annually.
- New homes are distributed as: 50% single-family, 10% townhouses, and 40% multi-family homes. Because the number of high rises permitted is negligible; the estimated multi-family units did not separate this category.
- Of the 5,000 single-family houses, distribution of housing size is determined by review of single-family houses built between 2015 and 2018, as shown in Figure 2.

	Estimated	Calculated Revenue by Scenario <sup>(2)</sup>								
Residential Land Use	Units <sup>(1)</sup>	Current Adopted Impact Fee	2019 Study	Tiered SGR for Single Family	w/Alternative Credit					
Single Family Detached										
< 2,000 sq. ft.	817	N/A	N/A	\$7,213,293	\$7,745,160					
2,000 - 2,499 sq. ft.	1,260	N/A	N/A	\$11,986,380	\$12,870,900					
2,500 - 2,999 sq. ft.	928	N/A	N/A	\$10,581,056	\$11,361,504					
3,000 - 3,999 sq. ft.	1,295	N/A	N/A	\$15,559,425	\$16,708,090					
>= 4000 sq. ft.	700	N/A	N/A	\$6,708,800	\$7,203,700					
All SFD	5,000	\$43,920,000	\$47,800,000	\$52,048,954	\$55,889,354					
Townhouse	1,000	\$6,930,000	\$8,805,000	\$8,805,000	\$9,455,000					
Multi-Family	4,000	\$23,676,000	\$26,440,000	\$26,440,000	\$28,388,000					
Mobile Home	<u>0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>					
Total	10,000	\$74,526,000	\$83,045,000	\$87,293,954	\$93,732,354					
% Change from Current Ado	pted Impact Fee <sup>(3)</sup>	N/A	11%	17%	26%					
% Change from Study Calcul	ated Impact Fee <sup>(4)</sup>	N/A	N/A	5%	13%					

Table 19Projected Revenue by Fee Option

 Estimated units are based on recent construction in Orange County. Single family unit distribution by size of home is based on recent development that is presented in Figure 2. Actual units may vary based on economic cycles and development trends.

2) Estimated units (Item 1) multiplied by the calculated impact fee by scenario presented in Table 17. Actual revenues may vary based on economic cycles, development trends and fee levels.

3) Percent change from revenues estimated to be generated with the current adopted fee

4) Percent change from the revenues estimated to be generated using the 2019 study calculated fees presented in Table 11

Appendix A Orange County Public Schools Inventory

## **Appendix A – Inventory**

This Appendix includes an inventory of traditional schools that are owned and operated by OCPS and included in the impact fee calculations. The inventory includes all existing traditional schools as well as three new schools that are under construction and scheduled to open for the 2019/2020 school year.

Count	School Name <sup>[1]</sup>	me <sup>(1)</sup> Low/ Year Acres <sup>(1)</sup> High Grade <sup>(1)</sup> Acquired <sup>(1)</sup>				Permanent Capacity <sup>(2)</sup>
Elementar	y Schools:					
1	25-E-SW-4 (Horizon West/Lakeside Village Relief)*	PK 05	2005	14	837	837
2	49-E-W-4 *	PK 05	2015	15	837	837
3	Aloma Elementary	Aloma Elementary PK 05 1968 14				
4	Andover Elementary	PK 05	1999	17	774	774
5	Apopka Elementary	PK 05	1968	16	830	830
6	Avalon Elementary	PK 05	1999	15	754	754
7	Azalea Park Elementary	PK 05	1953	21	696	696
8	Baldwin Park Elementary	PK 05	2006	14	842	842
9	Bay Lake Elementary	PK 05	2015	16	837	837
10	Bay Meadows Elementary	PK 05	1990	18	848	848
11	Bonneville Elementary	PK 05	1960	10	938	938
12	Brookshire Elementary	PK 05	1954	11	680	680
13	Camelot Elementary	PK 05	1999	13	754	754
14	Castle Creek Elementary	PK 05	1999	18	828	828
15	Catalina Elementary (New)	PK 05	1964	10	832	832
16	Cheney Elementary	PK 05	1958	20	754	754
17	Chickasaw Elementary	PK 05	1960	10	870	870
18	Citrus Elementary	PK 05	1999	17	758	758
19	Clarcona Elementary	PK 05	1985	16	558	558
20	Clay Springs Elementary	PK 05	1991	23	832	832
21	Columbia Elementary	PK 05	2005	14	842	842
22	Conway Elementary	PK 05	1918	10	627	627
23	Cypress Springs Elementary	PK 05	1993	15	832	832
24	Deerwood Elementary	PK 05	1984	19	663	663
25	Dillard Street Elementary	PK 05	1951	9	750	750
26	Dommerich Elementary	PK 05	1964	13	585	585
27	Dover Shores Elementary	PK 05	1959	10	660	660
28	Dr Phillips Elementary	PK 05	1979	12	660	660
29	Dream Lake Elementary	PK 05	1954	20	832	832
30	Eagle Creek Elementary	PK 05	2014	14	832	832
31	Eagle's Nest Elementary	PK 05	2001	15	758	758
32	East Lake Elementary	PK 05	2002	14	756	756
33	Eccleston Elementary	PK 05	1956	17	684	684
34	Endeavor Elementary	PK 05	1999	16	758	758
35	Engelwood Elementary	PK 05	1963	9	837	837

 Table A-1

 Orange County Public Schools Inventory

Count	School Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Low/ Year High Grade <sup>(1)</sup> Acquired <sup>(1)</sup>		Acres <sup>(1)</sup>	Permanent Stations <sup>(1)</sup>	Permanent Capacity <sup>(2)</sup>
Elementary	Schools:					
36	Forsyth Woods Elementary	PK 05	2009	25	862	862
37	Hiawassee Elementary	PK 05	1958	10	758	758
38	Hidden Oaks Elementary	PK 05	1991	91 20		664
39	Hillcrest Elementary	PK 05	1924	4	528	528
40	Hungerford Elementary	PK 05	1950	15	709	709
41	Hunters Creek Elementary	PK 05	1993	15	736	736
42	Independence Elementary	PK 05	2005	15	832	832
43	Ivey Lane Elementary	PK 05	1963	14	660	660
44	John Young Elementary	PK 05	1990	15	832	832
45	Keenes Crossing Elementary	PK 05	2000	15	859	859
46	Killarney Elementary	PK 05	1947	10	520	520
47	Lake Gem Elementary	PK 05	1995	20	837	837
48	Lake George Elementary	Elementary PK 05 1997 16		679	679	
49	Lake Silver Elementary	PK 05	1951	10	723	723
50	Lake Sybelia Elementary	PK 05	1967	13	645	645
51	Lake Weston Elementary	PK 05	1957	10	762	762
52	Lake Whitney Elementary	PK 05	1996	15	646	646
53	Lakemont Elementary	PK 05	1953	11 766		766
54	Lakeville Elementary	PK 05	1997	20	607	607
55	Lancaster Elementary	PK 05	1959	10	819	819
56	Laureate Park Elementary	PK 05	2016	13	837	837
57	Lawton Chiles Elementary	PK 05	1990	24	758	758
58	Little River Elementary	PK 05	1991	23	500	500
59	Lockhart Elementary	PK 05	1885	12	659	659
60	Lovell Elementary	PK 05	1959	10	832	832
61	Maxey Elementary (New)	PK 05	2016	9	661	661
62	Mccoy Elementary	PK 05	1963	13	824	824
63	Meadow Woods Elementary	PK 05	1989	15	837	837
64	Metrowest Elementary	PK 05	1988	13	837	837
65	Millennia Elementary	PK 05	2005	17	832	832
66	Millennia Gardens Elementary	PK 05	2014	12	837	837
67	Mollie Ray Elementary	PK 05	1959	9	674	674
68	Moss Park Elementary	PK 05	2001	15	842	842
69	Northlake Park Elementary	PK 05	1998	22 878		878
70	Oak Hill Elementary	PK 05	1973	14	664	664

Count	School Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Low/ High Grade <sup>(1)</sup>	Year Acquired <sup>(1)</sup>	Acres <sup>(1)</sup>	Permanent Stations <sup>(1)</sup>	Permanent Capacity <sup>[2]</sup>
Elementary	Schools:					
71	Oakshire Elementary	PK 05	1998	20	752	752
72	Ocoee Elementary	PK 05	1977	10	830	830
73	Orange Center Elementary	PK 05	1966	7	465	465
74	Orlo Vista Elementary	PK 05	1930	5	735	735
75	Palm Lake Elementary	PK 05	1986	15	767	767
76	Palmetto Elementary	PK 05	1973	14	1,163	1,163
77	Pinar Elementary	PK 05	1973	15	673	673
78	Pine Hills Elementary	PK 05	1955	15	845	845
79	Pineloch Elementary	PK 05	1950	15	830	830
80	Pinewood Elementary	PK 05	1996	19	655	655
81	Prairie Lake Elementary	PK 05	2007	17	885	885
82	Princeton Elementary	PK 05	1919	6	545	545
83	<b>Ridgewood Park Elementary</b>	PK 05	1969	15	896	896
84	Riverdale Elementary	PK 05	1997	40	607	607
85	Riverside Elementary	PK 05	1967	16	837	837
86	Rock Lake Elementary	PK 05	1955	9	664	664
87	<b>Rock Springs Elementary</b>	PK 05	1988	19	832	832
88	<b>Rolling Hills Elementary</b>	PK 05	1960	10	816	816
89	Rosemont Elementary	PK 05	1991	18	860	860
90	Sadler Elementary	PK 05	1966	15	870	870
91	Sally Ride Elementary	PK 05	1959	10	633	633
92	Sand Lake Elementary	PK 05	2004	17	828	828
93	Shenandoah Elementary	PK 05	1969	14	720	720
94	Shingle Creek Elementary	PK 05	1990	17	832	832
95	Southwood Elementary	PK 05	1996	15	643	643
96	Spring Lake Elementary	PK 05	1960	11	627	627
97	Stone Lakes Elementary	PK 05	1999	18	828	828
98	Sun Blaze Elementary	PK OS	2012	15	832	832
99	Sunridge Elementary	PK 05	2004	12	859	859
100	Sunrise Elementary	PK 05	1996	15	663	663
101	Sunset Park Elementary	PK 05	2005	14	838	838
102	Tangelo Park Elementary	PK 05	1959	17	664	664
103	Thornebrooke Elementary	PK 05	2001	14	744	744
104	Three Points Elementary	PK 05	2000	13	758	758
105	Tildenville Elementary	PK 05	1963	12	792	792

Count	School Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Low/ High Grade <sup>(1)</sup>	Year Acquired <sup>(1)</sup>	Acres <sup>(1)</sup>	Permanent Stations <sup>(1)</sup>	Permanent Capacity <sup>(2)</sup>	
Elementar	y Schools:						
106	Timber Lakes Elementary	PK 05	1998	13	838	838	
107	Union Park Elementary	PK 05	1876	20	673	673	
108	Ventura Elementary	PK 05	1986	15	837	837	
109	Vista Lakes Elementary	PK 05	2001	12	828	828	
110	Washington Shores Elementary	PK 05	1948	13	694	694	
111	Waterbridge Elementary	PK 05	1991	18	861	861	
112	Waterford Elementary	PK 05	1991	12	800	800	
113	West Creek Elementary	PK 05	2003	15	758	758	
114	West Oaks Elementary	PK 05	2003	11	754	754	
115	Westbrooke Elementary	PK 05	2004	16	842	842	
116	Westpointe Elementary	PK 05	1988	12	837	837	
117	Wetherbee Elementary	PK 05	2010	15	817	817	
118	Wheatley Elementary	Wheatley Elementary PK 05 1935 13					
119	Whispering Oak Elementary	Whispering Oak Elementary PK 05 2000 14					
120	William Frangus Elementary	PK 05	1992	15	765	765	
121	Windermere Elementary	ntary PK 05 1968 15		15	842	842	
122	Winegard Elementary	PK 05	1964	15	778	778	
123	Wolf Lake Elementary	PK 05	2003	16	828	828	
124	Wyndham Lakes Elementary	PK 05	2003	14	828	828	
125	Zellwood Elementary	PK 05	1892	15	623	623	
ML-1	Arbor Ridge School K-8	PK 08	1989	18	569	512	
ML-2	Audubon Park School K8	PK 08	1953	14	902	812	
ML-3	Blankner K-8 School	PK 08	1999	13	663	597	
ML-4	Lake Como School K8	PK 08	1950	13	907	816	
ML-5	OCPS Academic Center For Excellence	PK 08	2014	13	1,016	915	
ML-6	Pershing - Pine Castle K-8	PK 08	1960	10	836	751	
ML-7	Wedgefield School K8	PK 08	2008	52	761	685	
ML-8	Windy Ridge School K8	PK 08	1990	28	976	879	
Subtotal -	Elementary Schools			1,821	101,653	100,990	
Middle Sc	hools						
1	37-M-SW-4 (Bridgewater Relief)*	06 08	2015	26	1,330	1,197	
2	Apoka Middle	06 08	1950	44	1,196	1,076	
3	Avalon Middle	Avalon Middle 06 08 2005 19 1,		1,188	1,069		
4	Bridgewater Middle	06 08	2005	35	1,307	1,176	
5	Carver Middle	06 08	1962	40	1,363	1,227	
6	Chain Of Lakes Middle	06 08	1998	25	1,262	1,136	

Count	School Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Low/ High Grade <sup>(1)</sup>	Year Acguired <sup>(1)</sup>	Acres <sup>(1)</sup>	Permanent Stations <sup>(1)</sup>	Permanent Capacity <sup>(2)</sup>	
Middle Scho	pols					90%	
7	College Park Middle	06 08	1955	18	871	784	
8	Conway Middle	06 08	1968	21	1,069	962	
9	Corner Lake Middle	06 08	1996	26	1,197		
10	Discovery Middle	06 08	1993	37	1,146	1,031	
11	Freedom Middle	06 08	2004	30	1,238	1,114	
12	Glenridge Middle	06 08	2001		1,390	1,251	
13	Gotha Middle	06 08	1991	28	1,347	1,212	
14	Howard Middle	06 08	1925	9	1,348	1,213	
15	Hunters Creek Middle	06 08	1993	25	1,122	1,010	
16	Innovation Middle	06 08	2016	26	1,355	1,220	
17	Jackson Middle	06 08	1963	20	1,559	1,403	
18	Lake Nona Middle	06 08	2007	21	1,373	1,236	
19	Lakeview Middle	06 08	1926	24	1,298	1,168	
20	Legacy Middle	06 08	2003	26	1,264	1,138	
21	Liberty Middle	06 08	1974	30	1,665	1,499	
22	Lockhart Middle	06 08	1958	37	894	805	
23	Maitland Middle	06 08	1958	30	1,135	1,022	
24	Meadow Woods Middle	06 08	1996 25		1,300	1,170	
25	Meadowbrook Middle	06 08	1968	30	1,383	1,245	
26	Memorial Middle	06 08	1964	26	1,324	1,192	
27	Ocoee Middle	06 08	1885	20	1,583	1,425	
28	Odyssey Middle	06 08	2000	29	1,261	1,135	
29	Piedmont Lakes Middle	06 08	1990	40	1,237	1,113	
30	Robinswood Middle	06 08	1962	20	1,115	1,004	
31	South Creek Middle	06 08	2001	30	1,250	1,125	
32	Southwest Middle	06 08	1991	20	1,410	1,269	
33	Sunridge Middle	06 08	2004	26	1,375	1,238	
34	Timber Springs Middle	06 08	2015	28	1,373	1,236	
35	Union Park Middle	06 08	1961	36	1,643	1,479	
36	Walker Middle	06 08	1964	20	1,251	1,126	
37	Westridge Middle	06 08	1962	38	1,208	1,087	
38	Wolf Lake Middle	06 08	2003	34	1,278	1,150	
ML-1	Arbor Ridge School K-8	PK 08	1989	18	334	301	
ML-2	Audubon Park School K8	PK 08	1953	14	486	437	
ML-3	Blankner K-8 School	PK 08	1999	13	424	381	
ML-4	Lake Como School K8	PK 08	1950	13	467	421	
ML-5	OCPS Academic Center For Excellence	PK 08	2014	13	339	305	
ML-6	Pershing - Pine Castle K-8	PK 08	1960	10	511	460	
ML-7	Wedgefield School K8	PK 08	2008	52	410	369	
ML-8	Windy Ridge School K8	PK 08	1990	28	308	277	
Subtotal - N	Middle Schools			1,191	52,320	47,091	

Table A-1 (continued) Orange County Public Schools Inventory

Count	School Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Low/ High Grade <sup>(1)</sup>	Year Acquired <sup>(1)</sup>	Acres <sup>(1)</sup>	Permanent Stations <sup>(1)</sup>	Permanent Capacity <sup>(2)</sup>	
High Schoo	bls					95%	
1	Apoka High	09 12	1974	63	3,638	3,456	
2	Boone High	09 12	1949	41	3,144	2,987	
3	Colonial High	09 12	1958	87	3,994	3,794	
4	Cypress Creek High	09 12	1990	90	2,908	2,763	
5	Dr Phillips High	09 12	1985	79	2,907	2,762	
6	East River High School	09 12	1969	91	3,161	3,003	
7	Edgewater High	09 12	1949	26	2,440	2,318	
8	Evans High	2,599	2,469				
9	Freedom High	09 12	2001	57	2,812	2,671	
10	Jones High	09 12	1925	47	1,693	1,608	
11	Lake Nona High	09 12		60	2,955	2,807	
12	Oak Ridge High			36	2,440	2,318	
13	Ocoee High	09 12	2003	52	2,924	2,778	
14	Olympia High	09 12	1998	53	3,546	3,369	
15	Timber Creek High	09 12	1998	90	2,871	2,727	
16	University High	09 12	1990/1991	107	3,013	2,862	
17	Wekiva High	09 12	2005	34	2,945	2,798	
18	West Orange 9Th Grade Center	09 09	1991	21	314	298	
19	West Orange High	09 12	1974	45	3,152	2,994	
20	Windermere High	09 12	2004	66	2,898	2,753	
21	Winter Park 9Th Grade Center	09 09	1903	11	1,093	1,038	
22	Winter Park High	09 12	1968	56	2,885	2,741	
Subtotal -	High Schools			1,148	60,332	57,314	
Grand Tot	al - All School	anthor or the two addings and add		4,161	214,305	205.395	

1) Source: Orange County Public Schools

2) Permanent capacity refers to adjusted FISH capacity, which are calculated as 100% of permanent stations for elementary schools, 90% for middle schools, and 95% for high schools.

Notes: \* Indicates schools that are scheduled to open in the 2019/20 school year.

Multi-level schools are indicated with an "ML" and the number of stations and capacity are distributed between elementary and middle schools based on the distribution of enrollment. Acreage associated with these schools are included in the total shown for middle schools.

Appendix B Building and Land Cost Analysis This Appendix provides additional information on the data and analysis used to estimate building and land values for the Orange County school impact fee.

### **Building Construction Costs**

To determine the architect/site improvement, construction, FF&E, and other costs associated with building a new school in Orange County, the following information was evaluated:

- Recently built schools (2016-17) and schools currently under construction in Orange County;
- OCPS cost estimates used in the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP);
- Insurance values of the existing schools;
- School cost information from other Florida counties; and
- Discussions with representatives from OCPS.

The following paragraphs provide further detail on this research and analysis.

## Construction Cost

In 2016 and 2017, OCPS constructed 17 schools. In addition, the District is in the process of constructing another 12 schools. Of these 29 schools, 11 are new schools. The remaining 18 schools are considered replacement schools, yet they represent complete reconstruction of a school and are at times built on vacant lots as swing schools. Construction cost of these schools averaged \$149 per gross square foot for elementary schools, \$136 per gross square foot for middle schools, and \$146 per gross square foot for high schools. During this time period, the cost for new schools ranged from \$134 per gross square foot to \$146 per gross square foot.

Figure B-1 presents the construction cost trends for elementary schools in Orange County. The costs for OCPS' elementary schools decreased between 2007 and 2012, and then started to increase again. Although the budgeted costs for schools that are currently under construction suggest a continuing increase in construction costs, the cost of elementary schools was estimated at \$145 per gross square foot in this study for impact fee calculations purposes.



Figure B-1 Elementary School Construction Cost Analysis – Orange County

As shown in Table B-1, the insurance values of the existing elementary schools average approximately \$173 per gross square foot, \$150 per square foot for middle schools, and \$189 for high schools. It is important to note insurance values typically do not include the full cost of constructing a school since certain components of a building, such as the foundation, are excluded from these values. As such, insurance values are considered to be a conservative estimate.

In addition, the District uses the following construction cost estimates in the CIP: \$168 per gross square foot for elementary schools, \$136 per gross square foot for middle schools, and \$213 per gross square foot for high schools.

Based on data obtained from the Florida Department of Education for schools built in 2015 through 2017, the construction cost for other Florida jurisdictions ranged from \$132 per gross square foot to \$198 per gross square foot.

Given this information, a construction cost of \$145 per gross square foot is used for elementary schools, \$135 per gross square foot for middle schools, and \$150 per gross square foot for high schools. Tables B-1 through B-3 provides the summary and detail of this information.

New School Co	onstruction:									
2016-2019	Elementary	\$142	\$119	\$157						
2017	Middle/K-8	\$134	\$122	\$148						
2017	High	\$146		-						
Replacement School Construction:										
2017-2019	Elementary	\$152	\$132	\$177						
2017-2019	Middle/K-8	\$159								
CIP Estimates	(1):									
2019	Elementary	\$168								
2019	Middle									
2019	High	\$213								
Insurance Valu	ues of Existing School	Buildings (Building	gs Only) <sup>(1)</sup> :							
	- Elementary School	S		\$173						
	- Middle Schools/K-8	3		\$150						
	- High Schools			\$189						
School Constru	action Cost in Other Fl	orida Jurisdictions	s <sup>(3)</sup> :							
2015 - 2017	Elementary	\$156	\$132	\$172						
2015 - 2017	Middle	\$159	\$88	\$198						
2015 - 2017	High	\$145	\$145	\$145						
Estimates Use	d in the Study:									
	- Elementary School	S		\$145						
	- Middle Schools			\$135						
	- High Schools			\$150						

Table B-1Construction Cost Analysis – Orange County

1) Source: Orange County Public Schools

2) Source: Table B-2

3) Source: Table B-3

Table B-2									
School	Facility	Cost	Detail	_	Orange	County	(1)		

1	1		1	Construction	Gross Sollare	Construction Cost	Architerthural	Site		Facility Susport	Other (Not	Castins		Percentage of G	enstruction Car	-	
School	Type	Description	Year	Cost	Feet	per Gross Square Foot	Engineeting Cost	Improvement   Cost	FF&E Cost	Cost*	included in cost report to State)**	Land Purchase	Architectural/	Site Improvement	FF&E Cost	Content of the second s	Other Cell
Millenia Gardens ES	Elem	New	2016	\$10,659,959	89,384	\$119	\$660,780	\$1,802,063	\$1,129,925	\$355,970	\$634,375	\$15,243,072	6.2%	16 9%	10 6%	3 3%	6%
Laureate Park ES	Elem	New	2017	\$12,791,307	94,588	\$135	\$636,009	\$1,229,287	\$1,365,945	\$1,310,962	\$613,144	\$17,946,654	5.0%	9 6%	10 7%	10 2%	5%
Bay Lake ES	Elem	New	2017	\$12,290,816	94,279	\$130	\$715,680	\$2,371,208	\$1,414,425	\$602,354	\$73,818	\$17,468,301	5.8%	19 3%	11.5%	4 9%	1%
Engelwood ES	Elem	Replacement	2017	\$12,340,163	87,926	\$140	\$659,183	\$1,389,126	\$1,284,730	\$585,097	\$631,047	\$16,889,346	5 3%	11 3%	10.4%	4 7%	5%
Ivey Lane ES	Elem	Replacement	2017	\$12,088,430	80,768	\$150	\$599,596	\$1,526,111	\$1,204,983	\$445,591	\$866,385	\$16,731,096	5.0%	12.6%	10.0%	3 7%	7%
Meadow Woods ES	Elem	Replacement	2017	\$13,397,484	95,656	\$140	\$782,369	\$1,358,748	\$1,110,974	\$330,192	\$983,431	\$17,963,198	5.8%	10.1%	8.3%	2 5%	7%
Mollie Ray ES	Elem	Replacement	2017	\$11,683,841	81,086	\$144	\$693,404	\$1,525,138	\$1,226,272	\$551,305	\$870,340	\$16,550,300	5 9%	13 1%	10 5%	4 7%	7%
Oak Hill ES	Elem	Replacement	2017	\$12,427,300	84,376	\$147	\$581,863	\$1,629,450	\$972,235	\$581,166	\$987,909	\$17,179,923	4.7%	13 1%	7 8%	4 7%	8%
Rock Lake ES	Elem	Replacement	2017	\$13,247,608	84,376	\$157	\$672,601	\$2,685,941	\$1,235,894	\$526,345	\$717,366	\$19,085,755	5.1%	20 3%	9.3%	4.0%	5%
Ventura ES	Elem	Replacement	2017	\$13,342,673	95,892	\$139	\$780,745	\$2,458,354	\$1,262,836	\$826,873	\$2,012,099	\$20,683,580	5.9%	18.4%	9.5%	6.2%	15%
Tangelo Park ES	Elem	Replacement	2016	\$10,966,573	83,338	\$132	\$766,295	\$1,682,616	\$1,115,037	\$1,160,020	\$513,999	\$16,204,540	7 0%	15 3%	10.2%	10 6%	5%
Hungerford ES	Elem	Replacement	2018	\$12,468,750	68,263	\$183	\$650,486	\$1,729,516	\$898,313	\$867,738	\$1,092,197	\$17,707,000	5.2%	13.9%	7.2%	7.0%	9%
Maxey ES	Elem	Replacement	2018	\$12,476,306	82,448	\$151	\$626,928	\$1,251,281	\$1,115,173	\$799,190	\$1,521,122	\$17,790,000	5.0%	10.0%	8.9%	6.4%	12%
Hidden Oaks ES	Elem	Replacement	2018	\$12,263,072	76,944	\$159	\$640,642	\$1,933,345	\$1,069,297	\$847,360	\$1,422,284	\$18,176,000	5.2%	15.8%	8.7%	6.9%	12%
Frangus ES	Elem	Replacement	2018	\$15,404,517	96,412	\$160	\$642,656	\$2,762,668	\$1,176,891	\$819,352	\$1,323,916	\$22,130,000	4.2%	17.9%	7.6%	5.3%	9%
Pine Hills ES	Elem	Replacement	2018	\$15,466,797	101,828	\$152	\$693,105	\$1,304,107	\$1,222,976	\$709,740	\$2,212,066	\$21,608,791	4.5%	8.4%	7.9%	4.6%	14%
25-E-SW-4	Elem	New	2019	\$16,184,434	104,342	\$155	\$858,860	\$1,631,157	\$1,562,459	\$999,786	\$2,063,304	\$23,300,000	5.3%	10.1%	9.7%	6.2%	13%
49-E-W-4	Elem	New	2019	\$16,184,434	97,104	\$167	\$728,764	\$1,163,894	\$1,509,628	\$805,974	\$2,867,306	\$23,260,000	4.5%	7.2%	9.3%	5.0%	18%
Deerwood ES	Elem	Replacement	2019	\$14,755,047	83,499	\$177	\$757,812	\$3,235,439	\$1,346,870	\$845,000	\$1,420,832	\$22,361,000	5.1%	21.9%	9.1%	5.7%	10%
Wedgefield	KB	New	2017	\$20,111,884	138,560	\$145	\$2,153,131	\$3,151,392	\$1,787,827	\$4,848,575	\$1,432,916	\$33,485,725	10.7%	15 7%	8 9%	24 1%	7%
OCPS Academic Center for Excellence	KB	New	2017	\$30,678,582	251,812	\$122	\$2,342,381	\$1,503,611	\$2,174,838	\$5,147,322	\$17,298,865	\$59,145,599	7 6%	4 9%	7 1%	16 8%	56%
Lake Como School	KB	Replacement	2018	\$24,557,069	154,255	\$159	\$2,050,230	\$2,010,534	\$1,389,540	\$2,754,155	\$5,048,472	\$37,810,000	8.3%	8.2%	5.7%	11.2%	21%
Audubon Park School	KB	Relief	2018	\$26,374,198	178,149	\$148	\$2,972,528	\$2,183,957	\$1,891,815	\$2,717,438	\$2,903,064	\$39,043,000	11.3%	8.3%	7.2%	10.3%	11%
Pershing School	KB	Replacement	2019	\$24,287,386	164,870	\$147	\$2,178,256	\$3,275,935	\$2,297,325	\$3,193,521	\$3,774,577	\$39,007,000	9.0%	13.5%	9.5%	13 1%	16%
Innovation	Middle	New	2017	\$22,320,667	174,686	\$128	\$1,954,764	\$1,856,965	\$1,789,440	\$1,812,590	\$1,612,263	\$31,346,689	8 8%	8 3%	8.0%	8 1%	7%
Timber Springs MS	Middle	New	2017	\$24,333,075	192,319	\$127	\$2,460,335	\$3,047,594	\$1,776,313	\$2,544,695	\$1,528,668	\$35,690,680	10 1%	12.5%	7 3%	10 5%	6%
Carver MS	Middle	Replacement	2017	\$22,812,870	191,978	\$119	\$1,519,638	\$3,648,736	\$1,743,238	\$3,316,159	\$2,264,435	\$35,305,076	6 7%	16.0%	7 6%	14 5%	10%
37-M-W-4	Middle	New	2019	\$26,239,520	181,896	\$144	\$1,397,591	\$2,006,531	\$2,167,145	\$2,548,800	\$4,254,413	\$38,614,000	5.3%	7.6%	8.3%	9.7%	16%
Windermere HS	High	New	2017	\$54,879,598	376,016	\$146	\$4,993,625	\$8,003,699	\$3,600,435	\$6,157,703	\$7,005,989	\$84,641,049	9.1%	14 6%	6.6%	11.2%	13%
Totals (New Elementany)	Elem	Menu	1.	\$68 110 950	479.697	\$142	\$3,600,093	\$8 197 609	\$6.987.387	\$4.075.046	\$6 251 947	\$97 218 027	5.3%	12.0%	10.3%	6.0%	9.7%
Totals (New Muddle)	Middle	New/Relief	-	\$150.057.926	1 117 422	\$134	\$13 280 730	\$13,750,050	\$11 587 378	\$19 619 420	\$29 030 188	\$237 325 692	8.9%	9.2%	7 7%	13 1%	19 3%
Totals (New Windure)	Mich	New	-	C54 970 508	376.016	\$146	\$4 993 625	\$2,003,600	\$3 600 435	\$6 157 703	\$7,005,089	\$84 641 049	0.01%	14 6%	6.6%	11 2%	12.8%
Theale	100	100 m		\$223 048 420	1.0211.010	C130	573.676.444	date line and	122 120 105	1211 4112 2111		GATE THAT IS I	a cost	11 010		10.0%	15.5%
Induing ACT and Bay Lake				n na shekarar na shekarar na sabad				All and a finite set	Content to the spectra		and a start and a start and a	Laten a ladored financi					20.0%
Totals (Elem Replacement)	Elem	Replacement	-	\$182,328.561	1,202,812	\$152	\$9,547,685	\$26,471,840	\$16,242,481	\$9,894,969	\$16,574,994	\$261,060,530	5.2%	14 5%	8 9%	5 4%	9 1%
Totals (Middle Replacement)	Middle	Replacement		\$71.657.325	511,103	\$140	\$5,748,124	\$8,935,205	\$5,430,103	\$9,263,835	\$11.087.484	\$112.122.076	8.0%	12 5%	7 6%	12 9%	15 5%
Totals (Elem & Middle) Replacement		Replacement		\$253,985,886	1,713,915	\$148	\$15,295,809	\$37,036,495	\$22,644,819	\$19,739,970	\$28,650,387	\$390,362,529	6 0%	14 6%	8.9%	7 8%	11 3%
Totals (Elementary School Only)	Elem	All		\$250,439,511	1.682.509	\$149	\$13,147,778	\$34,669,449	\$23,224,863	\$13,970,015	\$22,826.941	\$358,278,557	5 2%	13.8%	9,3%	5 6%	9 1%
Totals (Middle School Only)	Middle	All		\$221,715,251	1.628,525	\$136	\$19,028,854	\$22,685,255	\$17.017.481	\$28,883,255	\$40,117,672	\$349,447,768	8 6%	10.2%	7.7%	13 0%	18 1%
Totak (High School Only)	High	All	1 .	\$54 879 598	376 016	\$146	\$4 993 625	\$8,003,699	\$3,600,435	\$6 157 703	\$7 005,989	\$84,641,049	9 1%	14.6%	6.6%	11.2%	12.8%
Grand Tutale (all Schools)	-	AF		2527 035 300	Shidton	\$141	447 190 257	5mm 25m 401	543 Bad 779	540.010.073	208,050,612	\$777.124.302	7.1%	12.4%	8.3%	9.3%	12.3%
Exclusing ALL and Key Lake				the second second second second	and the second second second				and the second		the second second second second						30.57

1) Source: Orange County Public Schools

2) Calculated as each component's percentage of total construction costs

\* Facility support cost includes cost associated with hurricane shelters, to make public utilities and/or roeds available, drainage, site environmental issues
\*\* Other costs include moving costs, classroom technology, curriculum items, HVAC Commissioning, building envelope consultant/PM fees, audit costs, etc.

Year Opened	District	Туре	Facility Name	Literaction Cost	Gross Sq Ft	Student Stations	Construction Cost per GSF	Cost per
Sementary Sci	houtes	_						
2011	Charlotte	Ellery	Meedow Park Elementary	\$12,696,116	116,251	843	\$109	\$15,D6L
3011	Duval	Elem	Waterleaf Elementary	\$14,882,021	106,269	871	\$140	517,047
2011	Escambla	Elam	Global Learning Academy	\$17,019,155	127,600	856	\$133	519,882
STAT.	12mmps	Elem	Quarbannas Eleventa	111.715200	TURNE	818	5154	514 457
2011	Pasco	Elem	Connertan Elementary "R"	\$11,598,590	92,B42	762	\$125	535,221
2012	Alachoa	Elem	Meadowbrook Elementary	\$12,388,973	104.867	760	5118	516.301
2012	Indian River	Elem	Vero Beach Elementary	\$17,243,103	121,037	796	5142	\$21,652
2012	Lee	Elem	Tortuga Preserve	\$16.031.554	141,643	L:050	\$113	\$15,259
2012	1 IC	Elem	LINFINGS ENVIRONMENTS	NUMBER.	s≊ ∐iê	241	5.11	115.111
2013	St. Johns	Elam	Patenila Elementary	\$12,677,682	115,913	/38	\$109	\$17,178
2012	Volusia	Elimon	Citrus Grove Elementary	\$13,854,183	106,177	764	51:10	518,134
2013	L U WEE	Elem	In Blaze - In any	10 10 10	111.29	211	ALLEL	EN 141
		Elem	Road Area	ALLENDER				11.5.0
2014	kat n	Elem	SULT ES	RENTERU		50		16112
2014		Elem	ES	12130111				16.5
2014	1.000 B	Elem	to ES	DUAL		10	10.1	10.21
2014	Don the	Elem	I II ES	47.50	(0.62)			10.2
1000	0 0 0 0 mm	Elem	ULI Lake ES	ED ULA ELO	100 000		1 40.01	119,510
- 10	001.00	Flem	White Lon Shores ES	U.S.P. LEEP	, 19	615	1174	110.710
2014	1013.005	Elen	1 Lide 20	101 101 102	417.1	-	40M	LIC HILL
2014	the state	Flem	A LONG BES	14111444	10			THE THE
2014	Paem	Eleny	Schinder Elementary	\$10 A/0 827	M4.383	498	2175	521.327
1010	o Varia	Flain	Freek Hard H	0.013.844	ALC: NO	ELL	ADDA	COLOR OF COLOR
2015		LIGHT	Cicck	1 11 746	41.12	-	1116	-
2015	Thus	Flem	FS	i allahu	84.0			111.51
2015	11.15	Elem	Shrings Elementary	511.0 100			115.5	
2015	Laure	Flem	Weston Franklin	F , F 197	7	-		- 1000
2015	laure	Elem		310, 146,051		100	36.0	
2015	Esim Saarts	Flam	Glade (Sew Elementary	\$24 554 545	87 365	403	5167	\$16.116
3015	Palm Bearth	Elerry	Revenues of Flammentary	\$11.Rd1 192	86 542	128	SI781	\$36.103
3015	Parra	Elem	Sanders Mamorial Flamentary	517.016.823	PERMIT	1.084	\$151	\$15,648
hnie	1 Kodi	Fieró	with which Eardans Flamantany	310 697 455	12.001	1.000	SUL	TI VAL
2010	- Internet	Elen	Law ale Dark ES	all demaids	55 # 180	454		0.51
3016	Etrot	Flam	Winamas Elementary School (Elem 1901)	514 357 231	91.113	857	\$157	\$16.753
SITE	Machinetton	Fierry	Esta South Elementary School	\$20,520,897	146.311	041	\$122	510 212
2017	(data inglos)	Elóm	Plate shart clementary school	the port of	011/01	oht	h (10)	200,011
2017	Mahuan	Elem	Parrie 15	\$16 BED 475	06.374	69.0	\$125	514 005
2017	- Course	Fiere	Premating and an and a second s	519,629,415	SLUE	100	THE	
2017		Elem	Lasters the same	ALL STATE			1 100	
2017	- Cont	Elem	in the back the			200		
2017	1.	Liem	have a set of the months	In the Ite	11 Che			
2017	is n		initia como los Elementary		1,4114			
2017	and and a second se	clem	1 100 20 20	all and	the following the second s		1 1 1 1	15.010
2017	and the second s	Elem	the table and	51011110	1000	-		100
2017	Parento .	Elem	Lake ES		1.100		1197	10.85
2017		Elem	V TW ES	2012-21	11340		-110	
2017	Course of the second	- 4119	Workitiano ES	01 860 175	10.000		102	and the se
Total/Weighte	d Average - Elam	ientary Schoo	45	1554.875.303	9,200,336	44.788	\$129	366,252
Total/Weighte	d Average Elem	ientary School	its - (Excluding Orange County)	5234 107 496	1,704,855	12,828	\$135	£18,996

 Table B-3

 Construction Cost Analysis – Orange County and Other Florida Jurisdictions

Table B-3 (Continued)	
Construction Cost Analysis – Orange County and Other Florida Juri	sdictions

						Student		
Year Opened	District	Туре	Facility Name	- Cost	Gross Sq Ft	Stations	- per GSF	Cost per
u udie Sand	h;		The Part at an				-	
2011	(Hermando	Middle	Winding Waters X-9	\$21.101.BAG	241,211	1,605	588	513,198
2011	Polk	Middle	Boone Middle	\$17,990,983	108,653	305	\$165	556.692
2011	Walton	Middle	Emerild Coast Middle	515 918,884	123,066	820	\$125	519 413
1012	Califier	Middle	Bethune Education Center	\$5,518,135	38,924.	182	5142	530.429
2012	Dade	Middle	North Dade Middle	518.921,534	100,245	993	\$199,	513,055
3012	Lev	Middle	mares Marsh Middle	\$23,750,925	171 050	1.545	\$115	\$12,688
2012	171 x 005	Middle	1 Nona Middle	17 711 185.	N /= T	1.17	51.01	517 11
2012	citi mar	SMH00	ALC: MALLE	50 12.11	11 017	1.44	11/51	-1
2013	Mannoe	Middle	Horace D'Bryant	530,396,297	74c)(080)	1,217	53.27	525,541
2015	St Johns	Middle	Patrios Oaks Academy	521.224.724	157.062	1.710	\$138	\$17.541
2015	St Johns	Middle	Valley Ridge Academy	521.116,842	157,062	1.210	\$134	517 462
- 6	off non-	Middle	···	- 114_ 38	LITER	+ 1VE	51010	LUI
3016	Palle	Middle	Citrus Ritige: A Civits Academy	553,560,787	216,483	1,852	\$195	520.615
2017	Semilacia	Middle	Millannum Midale	541,136,637	207,471	1,573	5.198	526,353
11	Changes.	Middle	Academic Center for Excellence		-11 -11	1.00	11	0 E
2017	Juno	Middle	5ab		(24)		11.00	11184
2017	10 8 005	Middle	mune Middle	21-15-1	15 1	160	11.5	100
2017	JANE	Middle	Middle	al al au	201012	1.22	-1.41	
Total/Weighte	d Average - Mide	ile Schools		5411 546.079	3,083,001	11.371	53,24	10.07
Total/Weight	d Average - Midd	he Schoole - (I	(duding Orange County)	5751.050.0241	2,757,481	itaut	5102	120.041
Thtal/Weighte	d Average - Mide	lle Scherals - (E	actualing Ovange County) (2015-2017)	5117,040,660	130,076	5,045	\$159	520,784
High Schools:			Strange Strange			_		
2011	Broward	Filgii	Lanier James Education Center	\$8,889,147	60,862	262	5146	\$38,978
2011	Calibouri	High	BioUntritowo High	\$19,407,910)	197,311	925	5147	\$23,525
2011	Charittine	High	Charlotte Higry	561,755,842	415.184	1.828	\$149	\$38,789
2011	Luke.	vilgh	Lake Minnepla high	540,985,193	312.34a	1,992	\$1,50	104311
2011	Overcrobee	H(g)	Okeelliobee Achievement Academy	55,499,975	48,351	347	\$114	315 890
2011	000400	-101	5	10 17 20	5 11	100	1100	-
3011	Polk	night	Winne Haven Settion	\$26,374,234	219,149	2,039	\$120	312,918
2011	Po(k)	Might	Auburndale Senior	\$19,522,283	197.921	1.736	3134	\$15,798
2011	Pala	vilgh	Devenport School of the Arts	\$29,136,552	345,420	1,510	\$119	519,296
2011	Pole	HIER	Kattneen Senior	\$24,323,662	153,029	800	\$158	\$30,405
2012	Date	High.	International Studies SHS	\$7,192,325	37,120	903	5194	511,928
5013	Dade	High	Medical Academy or Science and Technology	\$9,303,705	63,360	800	5152	\$11,680
2012	St. Lucie	High	Uncoln Park Academy	\$10.93£,736	98,787	978	5151	\$11,175
2013	Martin	righ-	Martin County High	\$7,023,338	70,038	60%	\$109	510,744
2016	Chailinno	High	Lemon Bay High School	\$51,569,511	355 109	1.075	\$145	334,587
2017	CONTROL OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE	-1	What shall it H\$	XLTICA	Illia ta		15.0	ALC: N
Total/Weight	o Average - High	Schools		5411,707,910	3.171,521	520,635	5138	521.055
Total/Weight	sd Average – High	Schools - (Exc	tuding Grange County)	337/1,515,171	2 390,373	\$15,388	\$137	521,410
letal/Weights	d Average (All Sci	loolal		11.404 MIG. 780	LUBOLYSS	770012	\$132	111 245

Source: Florida Department of Education and previous Tindale Oliver school impact fee studies, when available

#### Architectural, Design, Site Preparation, Furniture, Fixture and Equipment Costs

The architectural, design, site preparation (including on-site improvement and traffic control costs), and FF&E costs (including technology) are calculated based on the ratio of these costs to the construction costs observed in Orange County and other jurisdictions. These figures were also discussed with the District representatives and are estimated at 28 percent of construction cost for facility planning, which includes 7 percent for architectural/ design, 11 percent for site preparation, and 10 percent for facility support costs. Of these, facility support costs include cost associated with constructing schools as hurricane shelters, making public utilities available, drainage, etc. In addition, furniture, fixture, and equipment (FF&E) cost is estimated at 8 percent of the construction cost. Finally, other support cost is estimated at 10 percent and includes costs associated with classroom technology, curriculum items, HVAC commissioning, building envelop consultant fees, moving and audit costs. Costs included under the "other support" line item were inadvertently omitted in the last technical study, and were captured in this study through a more detailed review of line items. Table B-4 provides a summary of costs in Orange County compared to other Florida jurisdictions. As presented, Orange County's experience is consistent with other Florida juridictions.

		-		
A/E - Design	8%	7%	1% - 17%	7%
Site Preparation	11%	7%	0% - 72%	11%
FF&E	8%	9%	2% - 30%	8%
Facility Support	11%	N/A	N/A	10%
Other Support	11%	N/A	N/A	10%

Table B-4 Other Building Costs

1) Source: Orange County Public Schools, new schools only

2) Source: Florida Department of Education

3) Final estimate used in the 2019 school impact fee study for Orange County Public Schools

Tables B-5 and B-6 provide further detail on	the cost experienced in	other Florida jurisdictions.
--	-------------------------	------------------------------

# Table B-5Architectural/Civil Design and FF&E Cost AnalysisOrange County and Other Florida Jurisdictions

2011	Broward	High	Lanier James Education Center	\$1,075,459	12%	\$1,304,137	15%
2011	Calhoun	High	Blountstown High	\$1,968,893	10%	\$994,719	5%
2011	Charlotte	Elem	Meadow Park Elementary	\$944,273	7%	\$674,842	5%
2011	Charlotte	High	Charlotte High	\$6,502,129	11%	\$2,676,408	4%
2011	Duval	Elem	Waterleaf Elementary	\$1,621,628	11%	\$1,899,236	13%
2011	Escambia	Elem	Global Learning Academy	\$1,682,415	10%	\$2,861,931	17%
2011	Hernando	Middle	Winding Waters K-8	\$880,709	4%	\$4,279,500	20%
2011	Lake	High	Lake Minneola High	\$3,030,934	6%	\$6,483,383	14%
2011	Okeechobee	High	Okeechobee Achievement Academy	\$453,761	8%	\$427,114	8%
t inte				No. Andrea			
L sei e				a an	<i>.</i> 95		
2011	Pasco	Elem	Connerton Elementary "R"	\$858,671	7%	\$1,298,389	11%
2011	Polk	High	Winter Haven Senior	\$853,483	3%	\$2,360,389	9%
2011	Polk	High	Auburndale Senior	\$1,462,146	7%	\$3,124,050	16%
2011	Polk	High	Davenport School of the Arts	\$1,042,674	4%	\$2,330,971	8%
2011	Polk	High	Kathleen Senior	\$875,094	4%	\$2,267,250	9%
2011	Polk	Middle	Boone Middle	\$1,080,157	6%	\$1,331,348	7%
2011	Walton	Middle	Emerald Coast Middle	\$1,709,689	11%	\$700,000	4%
2012	Alachua	Elem	Meadowbrook Elementary	\$1,010,997	8%	\$1,974,896	16%
2012	Collier	Middle	Bethune Education Center	\$561,233	10%	\$734,057	13%
2012	Dade	High	International Studies SHS	\$684,965	10%	\$757,496	11%
2012	Dade	Middle	North Dade Middle	\$867,900	5%	\$1,122,762	6%
2012	Dade	High	Medical Academy or Science and Technology	\$762,932	8%	\$919,966	10%
2012	Indian River	Elem	Vero Beach Elementary	\$1,476,006	9%	\$1,342,512	8%
2012	Lee	Middle	Hams Marsh Middle	\$721,076	3%	\$1,814,273	8%
2012	Lee	Elem	Tortuga Preserve	\$214,042	1%	\$1,487,461	9%
1.11				209, bes			
1.1.1				1 - 51,0233			
parts.	ي ٿي. جيا <u>ت آن</u>	्वत्यव्यः	Janada Stoleta	§U137,393	243		5
2012	St. Johns	Elem	Palencia Elementary	\$956,170	8%	\$1,500,000	12%
2012	5t. Lucie	High	Lincoln Park Academy	\$1,623,543	15%	\$3,246,193	30%
2012	Volusia	Elem	Citrus Grove Elementary	\$1,098,766	8%	\$1,555,729	11%
2013	Marion	Elem	Legacy Elementary	\$675,267	7%	\$1,680,825	17%
2013	Martin	High	Martin County High	\$1,274,200	17%	\$419,893	6%
2013	Monroe	Middle	Horace O'Bryant	\$3,221,414	11%	\$1,320,362	4%
2013				\$537,445	624		
013	orage -	(laa)	Harlasy Conio Rajd Araa Gamandary	5390,934	325	51,057.132	
2013	Palm Beach	Elem	Gove Elementary	\$1,871,815	7%	\$917,852	3%
2013	Palm Beach	Elem	Galaxy Elementary	\$1,595,664	7%	\$790,823	4%
- 2013				5636,633			
) ( E				So 14, 195			
				5632,763			
2013			der Salltes is	(37,933			
				55.6.0(P)			
				Sidu Ani			
				NEU1, 48			
2014	Palas Read	E Gland	The Community (Coloradora)	5730,290	1.1.a	5-001 - UL	
2014	Parco	Elem	Schrader Elementary	\$1,/4b,/23	8%	\$781,394	4%
2014	Hillshorough	Elem	Thomoson Elementary	¢1 117 633	- /%	\$161,052	1/0
2010	i misoorougit	Liem	i nompson ciementary	21,117,023	6%	\$1,014,030	12%

## Table B-5 (Continued)Architectural/Civil Design and FF&E Cost AnalysisOrange County and Other Florida Jurisdictions

1							
ł							
2015	Palm Beach	Elem	Glade View Elementary	\$1 142 611	8%	\$661.409	5%
2015	Palm Beach	Elem	Rosenwald Elementary	\$942.748	8%	\$593.229	5%
2015	Pasco	Elem	Sanders Memorial Elementary	\$1,442,401	8%	\$2.095,402	12%
2015	St Johns	Middle	Patriot Oaks Academy	\$1,492,491	7%	\$2,200,000	10%
2015	St Johns	Middle	Valley Ridge Academy	\$856,884	4%	\$2,200,000	10%
2016	Charlotte	High	Lemon Bay High School	\$6,486,215	13%	\$3,010,405	6%
2016	Hillsborough	Elem	Lamb Elementary	\$1,159,221	8%	\$1,494,022	11%
i ara e							•
	<u></u>	ting.	filmeric machineanaire	<u> </u>	:		1. *.
2016	Pasco	Elem	Wiregrass Elementary School (Elem "W")	\$993,089	7%	\$1,594,261	11%
2016	Polk	Middle	Citrus Ridge: A Civics Academy	\$1,235,864	4%	\$3,060,826	9%
2016	Washington	Elem	Kate Smith Elementary School	\$1,799,321	9%	\$1,567,022	8%
2017	Hillsborough	Elem	Hope Dawson Elementary	\$781,268	5%	\$0	0%
2017	Seminole	Middle	Millennium Middle - Bid	\$2,513,897	6%	\$3,300,000	8%
·							
1							
::							
· · ·							
- sta							
- 40 .							
1.0							
Ni.							
	HOLENDAY	<u>t Uca</u>	Westaciate Bonoatary	(****)			
Total/We	ighted Average	t Uraa	We do alte the mattery		er. Geologia	Stating P	
Total/We Total/We	ighted Average lighted Average O	tdom range Cour	(Massociated Shanani ary http://www.shanani.ary http://www.shanani.ary	ise and the Castern Children in State Castern Children in State			(-)

Source: Florida Department of Education and previous Tindale Oliver school impact fee studies, when available

## Table B-6Site Development Cost AnalysisOrange County and Other Florida Jurisdictions

2011	Rroward	High	Lanier James Education Conter	ÉP 990 147	¢018.042	10%
2011	Calbour	+ High	Playattown High	\$8,669,147	5918,943	10%
2011	Charlotte	High	Charlotte High	\$15,407,510	\$1,362,604	129/
2011	Charlotte	Flem	Meadow Park Elementary	\$12,606,116	\$1,304,370	1.1%
2011	Duval	Elem	Waterleaf Elementary	\$12,090,110	\$1,802,683	14%
2011	Eccambia	Elem	Global Learning Academy	\$17,010,155	\$1,301,300	370
2011	Hernando	Middle	Winding Waters K-8	\$21 182 865	\$200,000	
2011	lake	High	Lake Minneola High	\$21,132,300	\$454.710	19/
2011	Okeechobee	High	Okeechobee Achievement Academy	\$5,499,975	\$454,710	1/0
1	ORECENDEE		okeenobee Achevenent Academy		()))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	0%
2011	Pasco	Elem	Connerton Elementary "R"	\$11,598,590	\$2,313,586	20%
2011	Polk	High	Auburndale Senior	\$19,522,053	\$0	0%
2011	Polk	Middle	Boone Middle	\$17,900,963	\$0	0%
2011	Polk	High	Davenport 5chool of the Arts	\$29,136,512	\$0	0%
2011	Polk	High	Kathleen Senior	\$24,323,662	\$0	0%
2011	Polk	High	Winter Haven Senior	\$26,374,234	SO	0%
2011	Walton	Middle	Emerald Coast Middle	\$15,918,884	\$1,717,116	11%
2012	Alachua	Elem	Meadowbrook Elementary	\$12,388,973	\$86,278	1%
2012	Collier	Middle	Bethune Education Center	\$5,538,155	\$479,652	9%
2012	Dade	High	International Studies SHS	\$7,192,325	\$0	0%
2012	Dade	High	Medical Academy or Science and Technology	\$9,303,705	\$0	0%
2012	Dade	Middle	North Dade Middle	\$18,921,534	\$0	0%
2012	Indian River	Elem	Vero Beach Elementary	\$17,243,103	\$1,196,000	7%
				•		
2012	Lee	Middle	Hams Marsh Middle	\$23,750,925	\$2,100,258	9%
2012	Lee	Middle Elem	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613	9% 9%
2012 2012	Lee Lee Carsan	Elem	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Action (2000)	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613	9% 9%
2012 2012 1915 1915	Lee Lee Operantic Chemanic	Middle Elem	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Alaglay and all add Aga (Alagragian)	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613	9%
2012 2012 1017 017 017	Lee Lee Constant Cons	Middle Elem	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Propaga 2000 Galation 2000 Security 2000	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$14,5\$14,4 \$14,4 \$14,4\$14,4\$14,4\$14,4\$14,4\$14	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 4. 44 44 St. 44 44	9% 9%
2012 2012 0110 0110 50111 2012	Lee Lee Caraan Caraan Caraan Caraan St. Johns	Middle Elem Elem Elem	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve - A caloan 2 A - 1 	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$14,515,54 \$14,515,54 \$12,677,682	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$1,001 \$1,001 \$0	9% 9% 0%
2012 2012 3013 3014 2012 2012 2012	Lee Lee Charactory Charactory Charactory Charactory St. Johns St. Lucie	Middle Elem High High	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve - A colonal Call - A colonal	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$4,64 54 \$13,54 54 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$0 \$7,901,452	9% 9% 0% 72%
2012 2012 3012 3012 3014 2012 2012 2012 2012	Lee Lee Christer Nation St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia	Middle Elem Elem Elem High Elem	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Contact and the descent and	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$14,021,554 \$14,021,554 \$14,021,554 \$14,021,554 \$13,854,183 \$13,854,183	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$415,026	9% 9% 9% 0% 72% 3%
2012 2012 3012 3012 2012 2012 2012 2012	Lee Lee Chrand Start St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach	Middle Elem Elem High Elem Elem	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Scieglage (2011) Scieglage (2011) Scieglage (2011) Scieglage (2011) Scieglage (2011) Palencia Elementary Lincoln Park Academy Citrus Grove Elementary Galaxy Elementary	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,141 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$415,026 \$1,929,530	9% 9% 9% 0% 72% 3% 9%
2012 2012 3012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012	Lee Lee Character State St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Palm Beach	Middle Elem Elem High Elem Elem Elem	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Acceloration of the second read to all reactors read to all reactors Palencia Elementary Lincoln Park Academy Citrus Grove Elementary Galaxy Elementary Gove Elementary	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,111 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$415,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903	9% 9% 9% 0% 72% 3% 9% 5%
2012 2012 313 314 2012 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013	Lee Lee St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Palm Beach Marion	Middle Elem Elem Elem Elem Elem Elem	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Pacebook Call Hams Marsh Middle Palencia Elementary Lincoln Park Academy Citrus Grove Elementary Galaxy Elementary Gove Elementary Legacy Elementary	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$12,677,682 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$415,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903 \$477,607	9% 9% 9% 0% 72% 3% 9% 5%
2012 2012 3415 3415 2012 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013	Lee Lee Character St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Palm Beach Marion Martin	Middle Elem Elem Elem Elem Elem Elem High	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Participano (Arc 1) (arc Arc 2) Palencia Elementary Lincoln Park Academy Citrus Grove Elementary Galaxy Elementary Gaoze Elementary Legacy Elementary Martin County High	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,347,613 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$415,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903 \$477,607 \$536,994	9% 9% 0% 72% 3% 9% 5% 5% 5% 7%
2012 2012 2017 2017 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013	Lee Lee St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Marion Martin Monroe	Middle Elem Elem Elem Elem Elem Elem High High	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Tortuga Preserve Tortuga Preserve Tortuga Preserve Tortuga Contention Palencia Elementary Lincoln Park Academy Citrus Grove Elementary Galaxy Elementary Gove Elementary Legacy Elementary Martin County High Horace O'Bryant	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,596,297	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$415,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903 \$477,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572	9% 9% 0% 72% 3% 9% 5% 5% 7% 9%
2012 2012 2017 2017 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013	Lee Lee Statute St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Marion Marion Marion Karin	Middle Elem Elem Elem Elem Elem High Middle	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Acceptoration torattile politicity Palencia Elementary Lincoln Park Academy Citrus Grove Elementary Galaxy Elementary Gove Elementary Legacy Elementary Legacy Elementary Martin County High Horace O'Bryant Utorknay Schola do La Acon Charbackary	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$14,34,34,554 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,34,414	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$415,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903 \$477,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572	9% 9% 0% 72% 3% 9% 5% 5% 7% 9%
2012 2012 2014 2017 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013	Lee Lee Lee Status St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Palm Beach Martin Martin Monroe Contact St.	Middle Elem Elem High Elem Elem Elem High Middle	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Couga Dreserve Couga Dreserve Couga Dreserve Couga Dreserve Palencia Elementary Lincoln Park Academy Citrus Grove Elementary Galaxy Elementary Galaxy Elementary Galaxy Elementary Hergacy Elementary Martin County High Horace O'Bryant History Couga State Couga State Couga State State State Couga State State Couga State State Couga	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$13,21,554 \$13,3 + 145 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,3,4,403 \$10,34,403 \$10,34,403	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1001 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$415,026 \$1,229,530 \$1,284,903 \$477,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572 \$33,0,14	9% 9% 0% 72% 3% 5% 5% 7% 7%
2012 2012 2014 2012 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013	Lee Lee Character State St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Palm Beach Marion Martin Monroe Constat N Options Constat N	Middle Elem Elem Elem Elem Elem Elem High Middle Class Class	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Accelon of Accelon	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$14,64 1, 54 \$11,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,34,404 \$3,154,045	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$0,57,901,452 \$4,15,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903 \$477,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572 \$536,994 \$536,994 \$536,994 \$536,994	9% 9% 9% 72% 3% 5% 5% 5% 7% 9%
2012 2012 011 015 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013	Lee Lee Crassing Standard St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Palm Beach Marion Martin Monroe Crassing Crassing Crassing Crassing Crassing Crassing	Middle Elem Elem High Elem Elem Elem High Middle Elem High	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$13,021,554 \$13,021,554 \$13,021,554 \$13,021,554 \$13,021,57,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,33,014 \$31,150,503 \$31,150,503 \$33,150,503,503 \$33,150,503,503 \$33,150,503 \$33,150,5	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$415,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903 \$477,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572 \$336,994 \$2,740,572 \$336,994 \$2,740,572 \$336,994	9% 9% 0% 72% 3% 9% 5% 5% 7% 9%
2012 2012 3413 3413 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 20	Lee Lee Lee Characteristics St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Palm Beach Marion Martin Monroe Contactor Contact	Middle Elem High Elem Elem Elem Elem High Middle Elea High	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Photophon Call I Hause boot Call I Hause boot Call I Hause boot Call I Palencia Elementary Lincoln Park Academy Citrus Grove Elementary Galaxy Elementary Galaxy Elementary Legacy Elementary Legacy Elementary Legacy Elementary Martin County High Horace O'Bryant Horace O'Bryant Horace Job Status et Acon Close Sectory To a Black et by Status et Acon Close Sectory To a Black et Black et Black et Black et Acon Close Sectory To a Black et Black e	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$13,021,554 \$13,12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,024,031 \$10,024,031 \$30,596,297	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$1,37,901,452 \$415,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903 \$1,284,903 \$477,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572 \$3,536,994 \$2,740,572 \$3,536,994 \$2,740,572 \$3,54,145 \$1,440,377	9% 9% 9% 0% 72% 3% 9% 5% 5% 7% 9%
2012 2012 3413 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 20	Lee Lee Lee Characteristics St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Palm Beach Martin Monroe Constant C	Middle Elem High Elem Elem Elem Elem High Middle Obse Obse Obse Obse Obse Obse Obse Obs	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Cougan of Arc 1 Cougan State 1 Cougan of Arc 1 Cougan of Ar	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$13,21,554 \$13,21,554 \$13,21,577,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,323,316 \$12,677,682 \$13,677,692 \$13,677,692 \$13,677,692 \$13,677,692 \$13,677,692 \$14,677,692 \$15,67	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$415,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903 \$1,284,903 \$1,284,903 \$2,740,572 \$3,694 \$2,740,572 \$3,694 \$2,740,572 \$3,694 \$2,740,572 \$3,694 \$2,740,572 \$3,694 \$1,940,846 \$1,940,847 \$1,940,847	9% 9% 0% 72% 3% 9% 5% 5% 7% 9%
2012 2012 2014 2017 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013	Lee Lee Lee Cracket St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Martin Monroe Cracket Coracle Corac	Middle Elem High Elem Elem Elem High Middle Class Class Class Class	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve  A colora of A = 1  Galaxy CA = 1  Francia Elementary  Lincoln Park Academy  Citrus Grove Elementary  Galaxy Elementary  Galaxy Elementary  Legacy Elementary  Martin County High Horace O'Bryant  TheSapy Production of Acon Elementary  Galaxy Statistics of Acon Elementary  Galaxy Elementary  Martin County High Horace O'Bryant  TheSapy Production of Acon Elementary  Galaxy Elementary  Galaxy Elementary  Galaxy Elementary  Martin County High Horace O'Bryant  TheSapy Production of Acon Elementary  Galaxy Eleme	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$13,554 \$13,554 \$13,554 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,455 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,553,717 \$12,553,716 \$13,553,716 \$13,554,716 \$13,554,716 \$13,554,716 \$13,554,716 \$13,554,716 \$13,554,716 \$13,554,716 \$13,554,716 \$13,554,716 \$13,554,716 \$14,554,716\$1555,716 \$1555,716,716 \$1555,716,716,716,716\$1555,716,716 \$1555,716,716,716,716\$1555,716,716 \$1555,716,716,716,716,716,716,716,716,716,716	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$415,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903 \$477,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572 \$3,36,44 \$1,06,4512 \$1,06,1512 \$1,0512\$\$1,0512\$\$1	9% 9% 9% 72% 3% 9% 5% 5% 7% 9% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3%
2012 2012 2017 2017 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013	Lee Lee Lee Start	Middle Elem Elem Elem Elem Elem High Middle High Middle High Middle High Middle High Middle	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve  A capazità (a. 1)  Galax (a. 1)  Palencia Elementary  Lincoln Park Academy  Citrus Grove Elementary  Galaxy Elementary  Gove Elementary  Legacy Elementary  Martin County High Horace O'Bryant  Thefany (b) do	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$13,454,554 \$13,454,1554 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,245,301 \$10,245,301 \$10,245,301 \$10,245,301 \$10,245,301 \$10,245,301 \$10,245,301 \$10,245,301 \$10,245,301 \$10,245,301\$}	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$415,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903 \$477,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572 \$437,607 \$1,284,903 \$477,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572 \$4,954,94 \$1,043,572 \$1,045,572\$1,045,572 \$1,045,572 \$1,045,572\$1,045,572 \$1,045,572\$1,045,572 \$1,045,572\$1,045,572 \$1,045,572\$1,045,572 \$1,045,572\$1,045,572 \$1,045,572\$1,045,572 \$1,045,572\$1,045,572 \$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572 \$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572 \$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,572\$1,045,57555\$1,04555\$1,04555\$1,04555\$1,04555\$1,0455\$1,	9% 9% 9% 72% 3% 9% 5% 5% 7% 9% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3%
2012 2012 2017 2017 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013	Lee Lee Character Standard Standard St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Palm Beach Marion Marion Marion Marion Marion Contacter Conta	Middle Elem Elem Elem Elem High Elem High Middle Hisa Elsa Hisa Elsa Hisa Elsa Elsa Elsa	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$13,424,554 \$13,454,163 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,36,047 \$0,97,533,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,36,047 \$0,97,533,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,36,047,310 \$3,150,704 \$3,180,704 \$3,150,704\$}}	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$0 \$1,301,452 \$415,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903 \$477,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572 \$437,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572 \$4,954,45 \$1,954,45 \$1,954,45 \$1,954,45 \$1,954,45 \$1,954,45 \$1,954,45 \$1,954,45 \$1,954,45	9% 9% 9% 72% 3% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5%
2012 2012 3413 3413 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 20	Lee Lee Crassing St. Johns St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Palm Beach Marion Martin Monroe Crassing Cras	Middle Elem High Elem Elem Elem High Middle Hisa Hisa Hisa Hisa Hisa Hisa Hisa Hisa	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve  Accelon of Accelon constraints Palencia Elementary  Lincoln Park Academy Citrus Grove Elementary Gove Elementary Gove Elementary Hartin County High Horace O'Bryant Utreforay 20 Has along Acon Elementary Martin County High Horace O'Bryant Utreforay 20 Has along Acon Elementary Definitional Defi	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$19,21,554 \$19,31,21,554 \$19,31,21,57,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,326,047 \$10,057,533 \$3,150,055 \$3,150,055\$}	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$0 \$1,929,530 \$1,929,530 \$1,929,530 \$1,929,530 \$1,929,530 \$1,929,530 \$1,929,530 \$1,929,530 \$1,929,530 \$4,77,607 \$336,994 \$2,740,572 \$336,994 \$2,740,572 \$336,994 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,953,954 \$1,954,955 \$1,954,955 \$1,954,955 \$1,954,955 \$1,954,955 \$1,954,955 \$1,954,955 \$1,954,955 \$1,955,954 \$1,954,955 \$1,955,954 \$1,955,955 \$1,955,954 \$1,955,954 \$1,955,954 \$1,955,954 \$1,955,954 \$1,955,954 \$1,955,954 \$1,955,954 \$1,955,954 \$1,955,954 \$1,955,957 \$1,955,954,955,954 \$1,955,954,955,955,955,955,955,955,955,955	9% 9% 9% 72% 3% 5% 5% 5% 7% 9%
2012 2012 3413 3413 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 20	Lee Lee Lee Crassing St. Johns St. Johns St. Lucie Volusia Palm Beach Marion Martin Monroe Crassing Cr	Middle Elem High Elem Elem Elem High Middle High Middle High Middle High High High High High High High High	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$13,750,925 \$13,750,925 \$13,854,183 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,596,297 \$11,36,494 \$10,27,503 \$3,156,297 \$11,36,494 \$10,27,503 \$3,156,297 \$11,36,494 \$10,27,503 \$3,156,297 \$11,36,494 \$10,27,503 \$3,156,297 \$11,36,494 \$10,27,503 \$3,156,297 \$11,36,494 \$10,27,503 \$3,156,297 \$11,36,494 \$10,27,503 \$3,156,297 \$11,36,494 \$10,27,503 \$3,156,297 \$11,36,494 \$10,27,503 \$3,156,297 \$11,36,494 \$10,27,503 \$10,27,503 \$10,27,503 \$10,27,503 \$10,27,503 \$10,27,503 \$10,27,503 \$10,27,503 \$10,27,503 \$10,27,503 \$10,297,503 \$10,27,503\$ \$10,27,503\$ \$10,27,503\$ \$10,27,503\$ \$10,27,503\$ \$10,	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$1,381,502 \$0 \$7,901,452 \$1,929,530 \$1,329,530 \$1,329,530 \$1,329,530 \$1,329,530 \$477,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572 \$3,536,994 \$2,740,572 \$3,536,994 \$2,740,572 \$3,536,994 \$2,740,572 \$3,536,994 \$2,740,572 \$3,536,994 \$1,940,572\$1,940,572	9% 9% 9% 72% 3% 5% 5% 5% 7% 9%
2012 2012 3(1) 3(1) 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013	Lee Lee Character Stratester Stratester Stratester Stratester Palm Beach Marion Martin Monroe Cristic	Middle Elem High Elem Elem Elem High Middle Class Clas	Hams Marsh Middle Tortuga Preserve Palencia Elementary Palencia Elementary Golve Elementary Golve Elementary Golve Elementary Legacy Elementary Legacy Elementary Legacy Elementary Legacy Elementary Martin County High Horace O'Bryant Horkony 201000 do 10 Acon (Doabathy) Tortuga Palencia Doba Vaulora Sigtostocom sub Lindi s Brites Cl Theologica Tortuga Sigtostocom sub Lindi s Brites Cl Theologica Tortuga Sigtostocom sub Doba Vaulora Sigtostocom sub Lindi s Brites Cl Theologica Tortuga Sigtostocom sub Doba Sigtostocom	\$23,750,925 \$16,021,554 \$13,354,143 \$12,677,682 \$10,928,736 \$13,854,183 \$22,515,045 \$28,528,459 \$10,047,310 \$7,623,316 \$30,556,297 \$10,247,310 \$30,556,297 \$10,247,310 \$30,556,297 \$10,247,310 \$30,556,297 \$10,247,310 \$30,556,297 \$10,247,310 \$30,556,297 \$10,247,310 \$30,556,297 \$10,247,310 \$30,556,297 \$10,247,310 \$30,556,297 \$10,247,310 \$30,562,297 \$10,247,310 \$30,562,207 \$10,247,310 \$30,562,215 \$10,620,622	\$2,100,258 \$1,367,613 \$1,367,613 \$1,314,52 \$415,026 \$1,929,530 \$1,284,903 \$1,284,903 \$417,607 \$536,994 \$2,740,572 \$4,740,773 \$4,740,773 \$4,740,773	9% 9% 9% 72% 3% 9% 5% 7% 9% 5% 7% 9% 5% 7% 9% 9% 9% 11%

#### Table B-6 (Continued) Site Development Cost Analysis Orange County and Other Florida Jurisdictions

						13
14.						· .
2015	Palm Beach	Elem	Glade View Elementary	\$14,554,646	\$1,652,065	11%
2015	Palm Beach	High	Rosenwald Elementary	\$11,841,132	\$1,853,846	16%
2015	Pasco	Elem	Sanders Memorial Elementary	\$17,016,823	\$1,478,220	9%
2015	St Johns	Middle	Patriot Oaks Academy	\$21,224,724	\$0	0%
2015	St Johns	Middle	Valley Ridge Academy	\$21,116,642	\$0	0%
2016	Charlotte	High	Lemon Bay High School	\$51,569,511	\$7,169,846	14%
2016	Hillsborough	Elem	Lamb Elementary	\$13,673,880	\$3,025,879	22%
:013	<u></u>	30.9	Toa stadiat, dis craticy	i statione d	1977-202	· · .
2016	Pasco	Elem	Wiregrass Elementary School (Elem "W")	\$14,362,434	\$1,213,282	8%
2016	Polk	Middle	Citrus Ridge: A Civics Academy	\$33,560,797	\$0	0%
2016	Washington	High	Kate Smith Elementary School	\$20,670,897	\$2,568,867	12%
2017	Hillsborough	Elem	Hope Dawson Elementary	\$14,863,889	\$1,425,699	10%
2012						1.8 y
						- 1 A
1:21 -						÷ 4
- etc.						
500 A						.015 A
						1.45
1411						· · · ·
- 54			Jakollo Ray afi			
- 10						
et'						5
51 ·						4 <sup>1</sup> 1
11						5 - C
- 40L2						
enter de la companya	ulter solo	18 <u>.</u> h	Mod Sanse B	h a the second	51011, N.	
2017	Seminole	Middle	Millennium Middle - Bid	\$41,138,637	\$2,468,318	6%
2015	e je setet	l (lan	pwertpolata Elananiaay	je se je na stali na Na stali na s	fate (11,478) Bullis Appendix (20,000,000)	and Versional and Representation
Total/Weighte	d Average					
Total/Weighte	ed Average (Orange	County Schools (	JNLY}			
Total/Weighte	ed Average (Excludi	ng Orange County	(Schools)		21	

Source: Florida Department of Education and previous Tindale Oliver school impact fee studies, when available

## Land Value Analysis

To estimate the current land value, the following analysis is conducted:

- Recent land purchases (2015-18) by OCPS as well as estimates for future proposed purchases;
- A review of the current market value of land from the Property Appraiser database where the existing schools are located;
- An analysis of vacant residential land sales (non-OCPS purchases) in Orange County over the past three years for parcels of similar size;
- An analysis of market value of vacant residential land from the Property Appraiser database for parcels of similar size and location to the current inventory; and
- Discussions with OCPS representatives.

OCPS purchased seven sites that were over 10 acres between 2015 and 2018. The purchase price of these parcels averaged \$87,100 per acre with a range of \$0 to \$410,500 per acre. OCPS has 11 parcels that are over 10 acres and are currently under contract. The average price per acre for parcels under contract is \$117,100 with a range of \$22,000 per acre to \$485,800 per acre.

The value of parcels where the existing schools are located, as estimated by the Orange County Property Appraiser, indicates an average land value of \$66,500 per acre with a median value of \$46,400 per acre. Property Appraiser estimates tend to be on the conservative side for publicly owned tax exempt properties. Vacant residential land sales of similar size in Orange County between 2012 and 2015 averaged \$215,100 per acre. In addition, based on Property Appraiser's estimates, the average value of all vacant land of similar size in Orange County is \$117,000 per acre. Given this information, a unit cost of \$115,000 per acre is considered to be a reasonable estimate for impact fee calculation purposes. Tables B-7 and B-8 provide a summary of this information as well as the detail for recent and upcoming land purchases by OCPS.

	Table	B-7
Land	Value	Analysis

·		
Recent Purchases (10 + acres) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$87,066	\$0 - \$410,500
Land Under Contract (10 + acres) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$117,129	\$22,000 - \$485,800
Value of Existing Parcels <sup>(2)</sup>	\$66,500	\$46,400
Vacant Land Sales (10-110 acres) <sup>(2)</sup> *	\$215,100	\$150,400
Vacant Land Values (10-110 acres) <sup>(2)</sup>	\$116,700	\$60,400

1) Source: B-8

2) Source: Orange County Property Appraiser Database

\*Sales between 2012 and 2015

	2015	Occase Assesses Deed	¢0	27.40	¢0
/3-1-W-/	2015		\$U 6518.303	37.49	\$U 61 220 51 7
131-PS8-SW-5	2015	808 West Amelia Street	\$518,393	0.387	\$1,339,517
_ <u>131-PS8-SW-5</u>	2015	920 West Amelia Street	\$340,237	0.254	\$1,339,516
131-PS8-SW-5	2015	924 West Amelia Street	\$281,298	0.21	\$1,339,514
131-PS8-SW-5	2015	918 West Amelia Street	\$518,393	0.387	\$1,339,517
131-PS8-SW-5	2015	455 North Westmoreland Drive	\$941,680	0.703	\$1,339,516
117-E-SW-4	2015	Silverlake Park Drive	\$356,490	15.84	\$22,506
21-M-E-2	2015	650 Guy Road	\$325,000	3.643	\$89,212
21-M-E-2	2015	702 Guy Road	\$325,000	3.643	\$89,212
21-M-E-2	2015	638 Guy Road	\$420,000	4.164	\$100,865
37-M-SW-4	2015	Winter Garden Vineland Road	\$321,750	21.45	\$15,000
37-M-SW-4	2015	S. of Main Tract	\$60,000	4	\$15,000
52-M-SE2	2016	Innovation Way & Connector Road	\$8,700,000	26	\$334,615
44-E-SE-2	2016	Laureate Boulevard	\$1,637,500	13.11	\$124,905
72-E-W-7	2016	Ocoee Crown Point Parkway	\$0	13.54	\$0
204-U-N-7	2016	1410 S Central Avenue	\$412,670	0.22	\$1,875,773
85-E-W-4	2016	Porter Road	\$333,270	14.811	\$22,502
207-E-W-7	2016	602 East Story Road	\$1,800,000	8.576	\$209,888
80-H-SW-4	2016	Daryl Carter Parkway	\$25,000,000	31.51	\$793,399
80-H-SW-4	2016	Daryl Carter Parkway	\$0	10.97	\$0
80-H-SW-4	2016	Daryl Carter Parkway	\$0	1.79	\$0
80-H-SW-4	2016	Palm Parkway Row	\$0	5.49	\$0
207-E-W-7	2017	356 East Lafayette Street	\$123,733	0.58	\$213,333
207-E-W-7	2017	497 W J Peters Lane	\$36,267	0.17	\$213,335
3-E-SE-2	2017	9101 Randal Park Boulevard	\$0	0.025	\$0
212-E-N-6	2017	3827 White Heron Drive	\$78,519	2.118	\$37,072
212-E-N-6	2017	3909 White Heron Drive	\$81,481	2.2	\$37,037
80-H-SW-4	2017	Daryl Carter Parkway	\$0	0.24	\$0
208-K8-SE-3	2017	Pershing-Expansion Site	\$2,200,170	4.84	\$454,581
22-M-W-4	2017	Schoolhouse Pond Road	\$0	0.76	\$0
22-M-W-4	2017	Schoolhouse Pond Road	\$0	1	\$0
20-E-SW-4	2018	ES of   Dr. S 700's of Lake Forest Drive	\$6,129,293	14.93	\$410,535
20-E-SW-4	2018	ES of I Dr S of Lake Forest Drive	\$2,070,707	5.04	\$410,855
131-PS8-SW-5	2018	807 West Livingston Street(1)	\$10,000	0.11	\$90,909
90-E-N-7	2018	4650 Jason Dwelley Parkway	\$290,000	5.06	\$57,312
90-E-N-7	2018	4700 Jason Dwelley Parkway	\$1,214,400	10.12	\$120,000
129-M-SE-2	Contract	13870 Narcoossee Road	\$7,350,000	30	\$245,000
83-E-SE-3	Contract	6249 South Goldenrod Road	\$7,866,000	16.192	\$485,795
49-E-W-4	Contract	Water Springs Boulevard	\$337,500	15	\$22,500
82-H-N-7	Contract	5207 Sadler Road	\$3,542.000	161.32	\$21,956
43-E-SE-2	Contract	Lake Nona Boulevard	\$5,400.000	18.32	\$294.760
114-F-W-4	Contract	Seidel Road	\$303.750	13.5	\$22.500
89-F-W-4	Contract	17511 Lake Ingram Road	\$337,500	15	\$22,500
65-M-W-4	Contract	Avalon Road	\$562.500	25	\$22.500
				-	

 Table B-8

 Recent Purchases and Parcels Under Contract (Orange County Public Schools)

Facilities Name/Real Estate ID <sup>(1)</sup>	Year Acquired <sup>(1)</sup>	Location <sup>(1)</sup>	Contract Price <sup>(1)</sup>	Acreage <sup>(1)</sup>	Price Per Acre <sup>(2)</sup>
122-A-E-1	Contract	NS of Hamilton Drive	\$512,500	15.69	\$32,664
134-E-N-7	Contract	2995 Peterson Road	\$1,800,000	15	\$120,000
118-E-SW-5	Contract	1130 Holden Avenue	\$2,401,000	4.894	\$490,601
119-H-SE-3	Contract	J Lawson Boulevard	\$16,500,000	55	\$300,000
131-PS8-SW-5	Contract	817 W Livingston	\$210,000	0.34	\$617,647
Parcels Under Contract with 10 acres or more <sup>[3]</sup>			\$44,511,750	380.022	\$117,129
Recent Purchases with 10 acres or more <sup>(a)</sup>			\$9,992,703	114.77	\$87,066
All Parcels Under Contract			\$47,122,750	385.256	\$122,315
All Recent Purchases			\$54,526,251	265.381	\$205,464

Table B-8 Recent Purchases and Parcels Under Contract (Orange County Public Schools)

1) Source: Orange County Public Schools

2) Purchase price divided by acres

3) Summary includes recent purchases or parcels under contract of 10 or more acres

Appendix C Orange County School Impact Fee Glossary of Acronyms and Terms

.

## Orange County School Impact Fee Glossary of Terms

The following list of acronyms and/or terms frequently used during the discussion of the school impact fee is provided for reference.

**Adjusted FISH Capacity** - the number of students who can be served in a permanent public school facility as provided in the Florida Inventory of School Houses adjusted to account for the design capacity of Modular or In-Slot Classrooms on the campuses designed as Modular or In-Slot schools, but not to exceed Core Capacity. The adjusted FISH Capacity is calculated in accordance with the *Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning and Implementation of Concurrency* (ILA) between the Orange County School Board, Orange County and the Municipalities, for purposes of school capacity review and concurrency.

Advisory Committee – Orange County School Impact Fee Advisory Committee.

**Capacity Adjustment** – Adjustment made to the cost per permanent student station to account for the percentage of students anticipated to receive a permanent student station, based on the ratio of enrollment to available permanent capacity.

**Capital Improvement "Cash" Credit** – Capital expansion expenditures included in the credit calculation that are paid for out of cash accounts in the capital budget (as opposed to being paid for through a bond/COP issue). Types of expenditures include district-wide capital purchases for new schools, land acquisition for future school sites, security systems upgrades or for new buildings, and network technology for new buildings.

**Capital Outlay Tax** – Ad valorem revenue collected under the 1.5 mills levied by Orange County to be used by OCPS for school capital outlay expenditures.

**Certificate of Participation (COPs)** – A form of lease purchase financing. COPs are the primary form of debt service incurred by OCPS.

**Class Size Requirements** – By the 2010 school year, school districts are mandated under the Florida Constitution to ensure that the maximum number of students per teacher does not exceed the numbers set forth below:

• Prekindergarten thru Grade 3 – maximum of 18 students per classroom

- Grade 4 thru Grade 8 maximum of 22 students per classroom
- Grade 9 thru Grade 12 maximum of 25 students per classroom

**Exceptional Student Education Program (ESE)** – Program to provide students with disabilities and gifted students the opportunities to receive a free appropriate public education in the least restrictive environment.<sup>1</sup>

**Facility Service Delivery** – Gross square feet per permanent student station for each type of school, based on OCPS's prototype design standards.

**Five-Year Work Program** – Five-year planning document required by the State of Florida to be developed annually by each School Board; includes a schedule of major repair and renovation projects necessary to maintain the educational facilities and ancillary facilities of the district, and a schedule of capital outlay projects necessary to ensure the availability of satisfactory student stations for the projected student enrollment in K-12 programs. The Work Program is developed based on data included in the approved OCPS five-year capital improvement plan.

## Florida Department of Education (FDOE)

**Florida Inventory of School Houses (FISH)** – An inventory of OCPS's school, administrative, and ancillary facilities that is maintained for FDOE.

**Florida Inventory of School Houses (FISH) Capacity** – A formula designed by the State of Florida, which includes the following utilization rate percentages by school type: 100% for elementary schools; 90% for middle schools; 90% for K-8 Schools; 90% for ninth-grade centers; 95% for high schools.

**Furniture, Fixtures, and Equipment (FF&E)** – Includes all furniture, fixtures, and equipment provided at a school, administrative, or ancillary facility.

**Geographic Information Systems (GIS)** – Geo-spatial analysis used to link a student address to its respective parcel in the parcel database maintained by the Orange County Property Appraiser.

**Level of Service Standards** – Standards included in the Interlocal Agreement (ILA) between the School Board, Orange County, and the Municipalities. Section 15.1 of the ILA states "To ensure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Florida Legislature Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability

that the capacity of schools is sufficient to support student growth and prevent the overcrowding of schools, the School Board, the County, and the Municipalities have established the following uniform Level of Service standards for elementary, middle and high schools within each School Concurrency Service Area.

- (a) Elementary Schools: 110% of Adjusted FISH Capacity for each Elementary School Concurrency Service Area. The Elementary School LOS shall also include Arbor Ridge K-8, Windy Ridge K-8 and grades Kindergarten through 5 of Blankner K-8.
- (b) Middle schools: 100% of Adjusted FISH Capacity for each Middle School Concurrency Service Area. The Middle school LOS shall also include grades 6-8 of Blankner K-8.
- (c) High schools, including ninth grade centers: 100% of Adjusted FISH Capacity for each High School Concurrency Service Area."

Orange County Public Schools (OCPS)

**Permanent Gross Square Feet (GSF)** – Total square footage of the building within all exterior walls.

**Permanent Program Capacity** – Formula developed by OCPS for calculating permanent capacity for each school. As shown in the formula below, the Permanent Program Capacity considers FISH capacity, in-slot capacity, utilization factor, and ESE adjustment for each school.

#### **Permanent Program Capacity Formula:**

[(Permanent FISH Capacity + In-Slot Capacity) \* (School Utilization Factor) – ESE Capacity Loss]

Permanent Student Station – Permanent square footage required per student (as defined in Section 6.1 of the State Requirements for Educational Facilities) for instructional program based on the particular course content to be offered.

**Student Generation Rate (SGR)** – Average number of public school students per dwelling unit over the life of a home.

Tindale Oliver (TO)

Voluntary Pre-Kindergarten (VPK)